#### 2017 Regular Session

#### HOUSE BILL NO. 620

# BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, BROADWATER, FOIL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

# APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2017-2018

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Seven Million Three Hundred Ninety-
7	Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-Nine No/100 (\$187,394,369.00) Dollars, or so much
8	thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>Program Description:</b> The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and
 promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to
 ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench
 and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts
 under its authority.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

1

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. General Performance Information:

4	General Performance Information:			
4 5 6 7		2014	2015	2016
6	Total Filings	2,716	2,365	2,283
7	Total Appeals Filed	12	6	9
8	Total Writs Filed	2,496	2,172	2,092
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,592	2,486	2,142
10	<b>Goal:</b> To promote the rule of law.	2,072	2,100	2,172
	-			
11	<b>Objective:</b> To resolve cases in a timely mann	ier.		
12	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
13		2014	2015	2016
14	Percentage of noncriminal case			
15	applications acted on within Supreme	01.00/	07.10/	0 / 10 /
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	91.8%	97.1%	94.1%
17	Percentage of criminal case applications			
18	acted on within Supreme Court	20 70/	26.10/	10 70 /
19	standard of 120 days of filing	30.7%	36.1%	48.7%
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction			
21	applications acted on within Supreme	0 10/	20 (	2.60/
$\overline{22}$ $\overline{23}$	Court standard of 120 days of filing	2.1%	3%	26%
23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings			
24	acted upon within Supreme Court	0.5.00/	0.70 (	0.0 (0)
25	standard of 120 days of filing	95.2%	97%	93.6%
26	Percentage of opinions rendered within			
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days	01 50 (	<b></b>	
28	from argument	81.5%	75.8%	66.7%
20				
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.			
30	Obiestines Te fesilitate multis second to Com		4	
31	<b>Objective:</b> To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions.	
31	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
32	Barren et al a familitaria anticipara anticipara de la la la	2014	2015	2016
33 34	Percentage of written opinions available	1000/	1000/	1000/
54	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%
25			4:	
35	<b>Objective:</b> To inform the public of operation	s and activi	ties.	
36	<b>Objective:</b> To inform the public of operation <i>General Performance Information:</i>			2016
36 37	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
36 37 38	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs	<b>2014</b> 58	<b>2015</b> 100	60
36 37 38 39	<i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Number of outreach programs</i> <i>Number of media releases on court decisions</i>	<b>2014</b> 58 64	<b>2015</b> 100 66	60 78
36 37 38 39 40	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters	<b>2014</b> 58	<b>2015</b> 100	60
36 37 38 39 40 41	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17	60 78 24
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	<b>2014</b> 58 64	<b>2015</b> 100 66	60 78
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632	60 78 24 1,775
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17	60 78 24
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs</li> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the second s</li></ul>	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs</li> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> </ul>	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs</li> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the second s</li></ul>	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs</li> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> </ul>	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485 3,633	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	60 78 24 1,775 6,010
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> </ul> </li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired</li> </ul>	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> </ul> </li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor 2015	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the <b>2016</b>
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> </ul> </li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> </ul>	<b>2014</b> 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor 2015 34.25	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor 2015	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the <b>2016</b>
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor 2015 34.25	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59 495	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor 2015 34.25 529	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor 2015 34.25	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 npetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor <b>2015</b> 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor <b>2015</b> 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar.</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor <b>2015</b> 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, inte	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and corr 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and corr	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the
$\begin{array}{c} 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477	<b>2015</b> 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and cor <b>2015</b> 34.25 529 601	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582
$\begin{array}{c} 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, inte 2014	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and corr 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and corr 2015	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the <b>2016</b>
$\begin{array}{c} 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, into 2014 14.92	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and corr 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and corr 2015 15.12	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 15.08
$\begin{array}{c} 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, inte 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, inte 2014	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and corr 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and corr 2015	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the <b>2016</b>
$\begin{array}{c} 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs <ul> <li>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> <li>Number of media releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on court decisions</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge</li> </ul>	2014 58 64 25 1,485 3,633 conduct, into 2014 33.59 495 477 conduct, into 2014 14.92	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 egrity, and corr 2015 34.25 529 601 egrity, and corr 2015 15.12	60 78 24 1,775 6,010 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 30.08 545 582 mpetence of the <b>2016</b> 15.08

	HLS 17R	S-651		<u>GROSSED</u> 1B NO. 620
1	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):		
2 3 4	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	\$	1,125,128
5 6 7	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	10,654,654
8 9 10 11 12	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
13	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	5,278,486
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	admini. Louisia of new provide retirem technol The Jua advisen	<b>Am Description:</b> The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Superation of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judician. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendation of justices and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administration and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court hum logical services to courts; and, manages the Trial Court Case Management dicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, nent, provides outreach services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.	liciary ( lations f 4dminis istratior 1an reso 1t Inform monitor	Commission of or the creation trator's Office 1 of a judicial ource system; nation System. 15 cases under
24	B.	Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	2,068,854
25 26 27 28	Article of judio	<b>Am Description:</b> The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional b V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and cial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supre- sion, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical	prosecu ne Coui	ute complaints rt the censure,
29	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	420,020
30	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	158,650
31 32	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000
33 34 35 36	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,416,000
37 38 39	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	2,060,337
40 41		<b>Am Description:</b> The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal informatory, and is open to members of the bar and public.	ion nee	ds of the state
42 43 44 45	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	146,663
46 47 48 49	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669

				IID NO. 020
1	09	Pensions for widows of justices and		
2	0)	judges of all courts, as provided by		
2 3 4		R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it		
4		more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984
5	10	Indicial College	\$	303,725
		Judicial College		-
6 7		<b>am Description:</b> <i>The Judicial College was established by order of the e continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.</i>	Supreme C	ourt in 1976 to
8	11	State contribution to judicial		
9		retirement provided for in Article V,		
10 11		Section 23 of the Constitution and		
11		R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	19,013,380
12		less estimated at	φ	19,013,380
13	12	Civil commitment matters as		
14		required by R.S. 28:54	\$	204,000
15	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses	<b>•</b>	
16		of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
17	14	Funding for statewide operations of the		
18	17	Louisiana Protective Order Registry		
19		(R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case		
20		Management Information System	\$	1,368,548
21	15	Information Technology	\$	1,104,532
22	16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the		
23		expenses associated with the operation of the		
24		Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,354,780
25	Progr	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to ass	vist local FIN	IS processes by
26		ping and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, imp		
27		e of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS	0	1 0
28 29		undating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, tors and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports an		
30		enerally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
31	17	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	11,745,514
32	Progra	am Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13::	5301 throug	h R.S. 13:5304.
33 34	provide	ed integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with	th case proc	essing to place
34 35		vel, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judiciall		
36		ums. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with edu ent requirements set by the court.	cational, en	npioymeni, ana
37	18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	\$	2,965,884
38	Drogw	am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to p	romoto timo	ly placement of
39		en in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordate		
40	Childre	en's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CAS	A programs	which recruit,
41 42		, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by utes serve children by providing independent factual information to the ju		
42 43		t of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and		
44		in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.	0	0
45	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND	<u>\$</u>	65,287,808
46	19	Payable out of the State General Fund		
47		from Statutory Dedications, Judges'		
48		Supplemental Compensation Fund,		
49 50		R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less	Φ.	
50		estimated at	S.	6.223.724

9

Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature
 in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding
 source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.

4 20 Payable out of the State General Fund
5 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court
6 Case Management Information Fund, for
7 the Case Management Information System,
8 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal

Procedure, be it more or less estimated at

\$ 4,017,201

 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies.
 Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F).

17 18 19	<ul> <li>TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND</li> <li>BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS</li> <li>21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable</li> </ul>	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>
20 21	out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and	
22	Family Services	\$ 5,400,000
23 24	22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers	3
25 26	from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$ 3,992,850
27	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND	
28	THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS	<u>\$ 9,392,850</u>
29	TOTAL SUPREME COURT	\$ 84,921,583

#### **30 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL**

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge,
 Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over
 all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory
 jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction
 over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all
 criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases
 appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

38 Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide
 39 meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory
 40 jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the
 41 rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

- 42 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.
- 43 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions
   44 made by lower tribunals.
   45 General Performance Information:

10	General I erjørndande Injørndandent			
46		2014	2015	2016
47	Total appeals filed	2,050	2,053	1,783
48	Total writs filed	4,325	4,048	3,670
49	Total dispositions rendered	5,741	5,019	4,761

50 Goal: To promote the rule of law.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Genera Averag appeal Time S Crimin Civil C	tive: To resolve cases expeditiously. al Performance Information: the number of days from lodging of the to argument: tandard = no more than 175 days. al cases tases tases in number of days for all cases	<b>2014</b> 151 149 149	2015 143 147 146	<b>2016</b> 162 155 158		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	render Time S Crimin Civil co Median	te number of days from argument to ing of the opinion: tandard = no more than 70 days. al cases ases n number of days for all cases To preserve public trust.	38 44 43	43 43 43	43 42 43		
17		tive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions of	the courts of a	appeal.		
18 19		al Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016		
20 21		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
22	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
23 24 25	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:				\$	7,912,546
26 27 28	02	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit				\$	10,469,867
29 30 31	03	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit				\$	5,868,157
32 33 34	04	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit				\$	8,930,244
35 36 37	05	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fourth Circuit				\$	8,347,759
38 39 40	06	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fifth Circuit				<u>\$</u>	6,022,679
41	TOTAL (	COURTS OF APPEAL				<u>\$</u>	47,551,252

### 42 **03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS**

1 2 3 4 5 criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes. 6 7 8 9 Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural 10 and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public. 11 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice. 12 Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, 13 and convenient. 14 15 **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 16 17 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in 18 FY 2015-2016 to improve compliance 19 with the Americans with Disabilities Act 2097.9% (ADA) 93.8% 81.3% 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist self-represented  $\overline{30}$ 97.9% 97.9% 85.4% litigants 31 32 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. **General Performance Information:** 2014 2016 2015 Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS 64 64 64 Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS 100% 100% 100% 40 41 42 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to 43 reduce delays and improve the timeliness 44 91.7% 97.9% 87.5% of case processing 45 **Objective:** To enhance jury service. 46 47 General Performance Information: 2014 2015 2016 48 Percentage of surveyed district court chief 49 judges indicating that their court had 50 51 taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to make jury service more convenient or effective 93% 100% 90.7% 52 53 Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions. 54 55 56 57 58 59 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 Percentage of surveyed district court chief

1 2 3 4	jua FY	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in 7 2015-2016 to install or implement Phnologies	95.8%	100%	91.7%		
5 6		To maintain judicial independence, w ernmental relations and accountability			le of comity	in	
7		ive: To inform the community of the	court's structu	are and funct	ion.		
7 8 9 10	Genera	al Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016		
10 11		tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating that their courts regula	arlv				
12	pro	ovided public education and public		07.00/	07.50/		
13		treach services in FY 2015-2016	89.6%	97.9%	87.5%		
14	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund:					
15	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety					
16 17		(191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	ded			\$	27,187,586
1/		0y R.S. 15.091				φ	27,187,380
18	02	Office and travel expenses of					
19 20		Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively	:698 and			\$	1,285,250
							, ,
21 22	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judg Civil District Court, Orleans I					
23		as provided by R.S. 13:691	arisii,			\$	1,992,807
24	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil D	Vistriot				
24	04	Court, Parish of Orleans, for s					
26		of stenographers, clerks, law b					
27 28		stationery, telephone, and like as provided by R.S. 13:698	expenses			\$	80,500
		1				Ψ	00,000
29 30	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Repo of the Twentieth Judicial Dist					
31		including retirement contribut					
32		provided by R.S. 13:966.1				\$	117,697
33	06	Clerk of Civil District Court,	Orleans				
34		Parish, as provided by R.S. 13	:1212(A)			\$	10,000
35	07	State share of Group, Workers	5'				
36		Compensation, General Liabil	ity,				
37 38		and Property Insurance Premir as provided by R.S.42:851	ums			\$	7,471,735
						Ψ	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
39 40	08	Salaries of two (2) commissio the Nineteenth Judicial District					
41		one (1) commissioner of the F					
42		Judicial District as provided b	У				
43 44		R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively				\$	569,959
						Ŧ	
45 46	09	Office expenses for the Judici Expense Fund of the Nineteer					
47		District Court as provided by					
48		R.S. 13:711-713				\$	470,893
49 50	10	Office expenses for the Judici Expense Fund of the Fifteenth					

11

1

2

3

4

-	<b>GROSSED</b> B NO. 620
\$	304,827
\$	51,118
	H \$

5 6 7	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	\$	35,000
8	SUBT		<u>\$</u>	39,577,372

9 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

 $\begin{array}{r}
 10 \\
 11 \\
 12 \\
 13 \\
 14 \\
 15 \\
 16 \\
 17 \\
 \end{array}$ Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

18 19 20	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,850,464
21 22	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750
23 24	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	736,127
25 26	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	288,063
27 28	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	454,091
29 30 31	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	503,908
32 33	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,600
34 35	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	75,371
36 37	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	57,311
38 39	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	1,007,453
40	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	733,824
41	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	212,661
42	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$	200,000
43	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$</u>	434,248

	HLS 17RS-65	1		HB NO. 620
1	SUBTOTA	AL	<u>\$</u>	6,638,871
2	14 Juv	venile and Family Court Judges		
3 4		aries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court lges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,992,807
5 6		aries of four (4) Family Court Judges provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	569,374
7 8 9	Co	fice and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family urt Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 l R.S. 13:694, respectively	<u>\$</u>	103,500
10	SUBTOTA	AL	\$	2,665,681
11	TOTAL DIST	RICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	48,881,924
12 13	03-8173 OTH BY STAT	ER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AND OFFICE EXPENSES	AS F	REQUIRED

14 15 16 Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).

17 Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide 18 19 access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial 20 21 independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

22 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

23 24 25 26	d public offic hether measu sonable, fair, a	red in terms		
27 28	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
28 29 30	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist pro se litigants	100%	96.2%	96.2%

31 32 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

•	formance Information	se management and p	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	, <u>,</u>	2014	2015	201
Percentage of	of surveyed city/parish	court chief		
0	ndicating that their cou	v		
	eps within FY 2015-201			
	nd improve the timeline			
processi	1	84.6%	88.5%	92.39

41 42 Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public.

43 44 45 **Objective:** To inform the community of the court's structure and function. **General Performance Information:** 2014 2015 2016 46 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief 47 judges indicating that their courts

48 regularly provided public education and 49 public outreach services in FY 2015-50 92.3% 92.3% 2016 88.5%

51 52 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary.

1 2	Genera	al Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016		
$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\     \end{array} $		tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating actions taken in					
5 6	FY	2015-2016 to improve employee tining and development	94.2%	98.1%	96.2%		
7 8	Percen	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating actions taken in			,, .		
9 10	FY	2015-2016 to install or implement	92.3%	96.2%	90.4%		
		chnologies	92.3%	90.2%	90.4%		
11	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
12 13	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Cour				\$	2 757 560
15		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1	18/3			Ф	2,757,560
14 15	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, Traffic and one (1) Parish Cour					
16		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2					
17		13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respe				\$	444,777
18	TOTAL (	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED B	BY STAT	UTE		<u>\$</u>	3,202,337
19 20	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALA REQUIRED BY STATUTE	ARIES .	AND OFF	ICE EX	PEN	SES NOT
21	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
22	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect	ive				
23		Care Monitoring Program				\$	614,938
24 25	-	am Description: The program tracks an Juvenile Court. It also provides assistan			-		
26	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court F	Reporters			\$	88,244
27	03	For the expenses of the Judges'					
28		Assistance Program				<u>\$</u>	63,238
29 30		<b>am Description:</b> <i>The Judges' Assistant</i> <i>with substance abuse problems.</i>	ce Progran	n provides cou	inseling and	l other	• assistance to
31	TOTAL (	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIR	RED BY S	STATUTE		<u>\$</u>	766,420
32	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EX	PENSES	5			
33	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
34	01	Legal representation of children	n in child	protection of	cases	<u>\$</u>	2,070,853
35	Progra	m Description: As recommended by th	e Task For	ce on Legal re	presentation	n	
36 37		d Protection Cases and at the request					
38		to advance the administration of just g to provide qualified legal representa					
39		s required to fulfill the state's statutory			1		
40	TOTAL N	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPEN	ISES			<u>\$</u>	2,070,853
41	Sectio	on 2. The appropriations, and the a	allocation	s of such ap	propriation	ns, fro	om the State
42	General F	und (Direct) contained in Section	1 of this	Act shall be	reduced b	oya t	otal amount
43	of Twent	ty Million Seventeen Thousand	l Nine H	Hundred Tv	venty Th	ee a	nd No/100

(\$20,017,923.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control
 Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary
in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state
general fund on or before September 1, 2018.

D. For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in
this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year
in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the
Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

### HLS 17RS-651

1 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 2 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the 3 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 4 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the 5 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the 6 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 7 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be 8 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency 9 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017; if vetoed by the
 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on
 July 1, 2017, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2016-2017 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2017-2018 as contained in the original bill.

Operating Budget		Appropriation Request	
Courts and Programs	FY 2016-2017	FY 2017-2018	
Supreme Court			
Total Supreme Court	\$ 63,673,627	\$ 74,680,658	
Courts of Appeal			
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 45,101,587	\$ 47,551,252	
District Courts			
Total District Courts	\$ 46,311,638	\$ 48,881,924	
Other Courts			
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,132,025	\$ 3,202,337	
Other Programs			
Total Other Programs	\$ 634,064	\$ 766,420	
Non-Judicial State Expenses			
Total State Expenses	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>	

#### Total State General Fund

and Interagency Transfer

All Line Items	<u>\$160,923,794</u>	<u>\$ 177,153,444</u>
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,407,485</u>	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>
Total Funding	<u>\$171,331,279</u>	<u>\$ 187,394,369</u>

#### DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 620 Engrossed	2017 Regular Session	Henry
e	•	•

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2017-2018 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2017-2018 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$167,376,446 from the following sources: \$147,742,671 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$9,392,850 through interagency transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services; and, \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$	84,921,583
(2)	Courts of Appeal		47,551,252
(3)	District Courts		39,577,372
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans		6,638,871
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts		2,665,681
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)		3,202,337
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)		766,420
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses		2,070,853
	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	<u>187,394,369</u>

Requires that the appropriations out of the State General Fund (Direct) contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$20,017,923, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

Effective July 1, 2017.

### Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the <u>original</u> bill:

1. Provide for a change in means of financing to decrease State General Fund (Direct) and increase Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services by \$9,392,850 for drug court maintenance and enhancement and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA).

## HLS 17RS-651

2. Add requirement that appropriations contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$20,017,923 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.