House Bill 26 HLS 20RS-216
Original

This Note has been prepared by the Actuarial Services Department of the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) with assistance from either the Fiscal Notes staff of the Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO). The Author: Representative Ivey
Date: March 3, 2020
LLA Note HB 26.01

Organizations Affected:
State Retirement Systems

This Note has been prepared by the Actuarial Services Department of the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) with assistance from either the Fiscal Notes staff of the Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO). The attachment of this Note provides compliance with the requirements of R.S. 24:521 as amended by Act 353 of the 2016 Regular Session.

Lowell P. Good, ASA, EA, MAAA

**<u>Bill Header:</u>** RETIREMENT BENEFITS: (Constitutional Amendment) Limits the application of the guarantee of retirement benefits for state employees to certain types of benefits.

**Actuarial Services Manager** 

#### **Cost Summary:**

OR NO IMPACT APV

The estimated net actuarial and fiscal impact of this proposed legislation on the retirement systems and their plan sponsors is summarized below. Net actuarial costs pertain to estimated changes in the *net actuarial present value of future benefit payments and administrative expenses incurred by the retirement system*. Net fiscal costs or savings pertain to changes to all cash flows over the next five year period including retirement system cash flows, OPEB cash flows, or cash flows related to local and state government entities.

An increase in actuarial costs is denoted throughout the actuarial note by "Increase" or a positive number. Actuarial savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. An increase in expenditures or revenues (fiscal impact) is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A decrease in expenditures or revenues is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

#### **Estimated Actuarial Impact:**

The top part of the following chart shows the estimated change in the *net actuarial present value of future benefit payments and expenses*, if any, attributable to the proposed legislation. The bottom part shows the effect on cash flows (i.e., contributions, benefit payments, and administrative expenses).

Net Actuarial Costs (Liabilities) Pertaining to:		Net Actuarial Cost
The Retirement Systems		\$0
Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEB)		0
Total		\$0
Five Year Net Fiscal Cost Pertaining to:	Expenditures	Revenues
The Retirement Systems	\$0	\$0
Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEB)	0	0
Local Government Entities	0	0
State Government Entities	0	0
Total	\$0	\$0

## **Bill Information**

#### **Current Law**

The Constitution of Louisiana (Article X, Section 29(A) and (B)) guarantees the benefits payable to a member or retiree of a state retirement system or to a lawful beneficiary of a member or retiree of a state retirement system.

Article X, Section 29(A) and (B) of the Constitution state:

- (A) Public School Employees. The legislature shall provide for retirement of teachers and other employees of the public educational system through establishment of one or more retirement systems. Membership in such a retirement system shall be a contractual relationship between employee and employer, and the state shall guarantee benefits payable to a member or retiree or to his lawful beneficiary upon his death.
- (B) Other Officials and Employees. The legislature shall enact laws providing for retirement of officials and employees of the state, its agencies, and its political subdivisions, including persons employed jointly by state and federal agencies other than those in military service, through the establishment of one or more retirement systems. Membership in any retirement system of the state or of a political subdivision thereof shall be a contractual relationship between employee and employer, and the state shall guarantee benefits payable to a member of a state retirement system or retiree or to his lawful beneficiary upon his death.

In addition, the Constitution of Louisiana (Article X, Section 29(E)(5)) prohibits the accrued benefits of state and statewide retirement system members from being diminished or impaired.

Article X, Section 29(E)(5) of the Constitution states:

(5) All assets, proceeds, or income of the state and statewide public retirement systems, and all contributions and payments made to the system to provide for retirement and related benefits shall be held, invested as authorized by law, or disbursed as in trust for the exclusive purpose of providing such benefits, refunds, and administrative expenses under the management of the boards of trustees and shall not be encumbered for or diverted to any other purpose. The accrued benefits of members of any state or statewide public retirement system shall not be diminished or impaired.

#### **Proposed Law**

HB 26 would place the following proposition on the ballot for the statewide election on November 3, 2020:

Do you support an amendment to limit the constitutional guarantee of accrued retirement benefits for certain public employees? (Amends Article X, Section 29(A), (B), and (E)(5); adds Article X, Section 29(E)(6))

The proposed bill together with a successful passage by the electors affects: (a) the constitutional guarantee of benefits by the state and (b) the constitutional protection of accrued benefits from diminishment or impairment. The proposed bill together with a successful passage by the electors affects state retirement systems and does not affect statewide retirement systems.

Upon favorable passage by the electors, according to the proposed bill, the constitutional guarantees would be limited to:

- 1. Benefits to teachers and other employees of the public educational system provided through one or more retirement systems which are annuitized by and payable from the retirement system. The proposed bill eliminates the state guarantee of benefits if they are not *annuitized by such a retirement system*.
- 2. Benefits to officials and employees of the state, its agencies and its political subdivisions through one or more retirement systems which are annuitized by and payable from a state retirement system. The proposed bill eliminates the state guarantee of benefits if they are not *annuitized by a state retirement system*.

Upon favorable passage by the electors, according to the proposed bill, the constitutional protection against accrued benefits being diminished or impaired would be limited to:

- 1. Accrued benefits of members of any statewide public retirement system. The proposed bill eliminates such protection for some members of state public retirement systems.
- 2. Accrued benefits of a member of any state system that are (a) annuitized by a state retirement system, and (b) based on membership in a plan or tier of a state retirement system that calculates normal retirement benefits using an accrual rate and an average of the member's compensation or salary. The proposed bill eliminates the protection from diminishing and impairing of accrued benefits before being annuitized, or that are not annuitized but paid in a lump sum, or that employ a different model for accrued benefits such as an account balance in a defined contribution program or other model.

For the purpose of this Actuarial Note, "annuitized by a state retirement system", or any variation thereon, is interpreted as referring to the member's defined benefit periodic payments remaining payable (usually for life including survivorship benefits thereafter) directly from the state retirement system's assets and not referring to (a) benefits payable from a third party after a risk-transfer transaction has been completed, or (b) benefits payable by a state retirement system in a single sum, or (c) payable from holdings that are not part of a state retirement system.

## **Implications of the Proposed Changes**

HB 26 eliminates the state guarantee of benefits if they are not annuitized by a state retirement system.

HB 26 eliminates the protection for members of state public retirement systems from diminishing and impairing accrued benefits before being annuitized, or that are not annuitized but paid in a lump sum, or that employ a different model for accrued benefits such as an account balance in a defined contribution program or other model.

#### I. ACTUARIAL IMPACT ON RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND OPEB [Completed by LLA]

#### A. Analysis of Net Actuarial Costs

(Prepared by LLA)

This section of the actuarial note pertains to net actuarial costs or savings associated with the retirement systems and with OPEB.

## 1. Retirement Systems

The net actuarial cost or savings of the proposed legislation associated with the retirement systems is estimated to be \$0. The actuary's analysis is summarized below.

The proposed bill does not change the retirement benefits of any current or future employee, nor does it change any plan provisions or system expenses. Therefore, the proposed bill does not have any actuarial cost or savings.

#### 2. Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEB)

The net actuarial cost or savings of the proposed legislation associated with OPEB, including retiree health insurance premiums, is expected to be \$0. The actuary's analysis is summarized below.

The liability for post-retirement medical insurance subsidies provided to retirees is not affected by the proposed bill's elimination of certain guarantees or protections of the accrued benefits of state retirement system members.

## B. Actuarial Data, Methods and Assumptions (Prepared by LLA)

Unless indicated otherwise, the actuarial note for the proposed legislation was prepared using actuarial data, methods, and assumptions as disclosed in the most recent actuarial valuation report adopted by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC). The data, methods and assumptions are being used to provide consistency with the actuary for the retirement system who may also be providing testimony to the Senate and House retirement committees. With certain exceptions, the actuary for the LLA finds the assumptions used by the retirement systems and PRSAC to be reasonable.

#### C. <u>Actuarial Caveat</u> (Prepared by LLA)

There is nothing in the proposed legislation that will compromise the signing actuary's ability to present an unbiased statement of actuarial opinion.

#### II. FISCAL IMPACT ON RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND OPEB [Completed by LLA]

This section of the actuarial note pertains to fiscal (annual) costs or savings associated with the retirement systems (Table A) and with OPEB (Table B). Fiscal costs or savings in Table A include benefit-related actuarial costs and administrative costs incurred by the retirement systems.

## A. Estimated Fiscal Impact – Retirement Systems (Prepared by LLA)

#### 1. Narrative

Table A shows the estimated fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on the retirement systems and the government entities that sponsor them. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. A revenue increase is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A revenue decrease is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

Retirement System Fiscal Cost: Table A

EXPENDITURES	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

REVENUES	2020-21	2021-22	2022-	23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	(	0		0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	(	0		0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	(	0		0	0	0	0
Local Funds	(	 0		0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

All expenditures for employer contributions are reflected on a single line in the table above. The actual sources of funding (e.g., Federal Funds, State General Fund) may vary by employer and are not differentiated on the table.

The proposed legislation will have the following effects on retirement related fiscal costs and revenues during the five year measurement period.

## 2. Expenditures:

No measurable effects.

#### 3. Revenues:

No measurable effects.

# B. Estimated Fiscal Impact – OPEB (Prepared by LLA)

#### 1. Narrative

Table B shows the estimated fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on actuarial benefit and administrative costs or savings associated with OPEB and the government entities that sponsor these benefit programs. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. A revenue increase is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A revenue decrease is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

**OPEB Fiscal Cost: Table B** 

EXPENDITURES	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

REVENUES	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

All expenditures for employer contributions are reflected on a single line in the table above. The actual sources of funding (e.g., Federal Funds, State General Fund) may vary by employer and are not differentiated on the table.

The proposed legislation will have the following effects on OPEB related fiscal costs and revenues during the five year measurement period.

## 2. Expenditures:

No measurable effects.

#### 3. Revenues:

No measurable effects.

### III. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES [Completed by LLA]

This section of the actuarial note pertains to annual fiscal costs, cost savings, and revenue impacts incurred by local government entities other than those included in Tables A and B. See Table C.

Estimated Fiscal Impact - Local Government Entities (other than the impact included in Tables A and B) (Prepared by Bradley Cryer, Director of Local Government Services)

#### 1. Narrative

From time to time, legislation is proposed that has an indirect effect on expenditures and revenues associated with local government entities (other than the impact included in Tables A and B). Table C shows the estimated fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on such local government entities. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. A revenue increase is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A revenue decrease is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

Fiscal Costs for Local Government Entities: Table C

EXPENDITURES	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	 0	 0	 0	0	 0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

REVENUES	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

The proposed legislation will have the following effects on fiscal costs and revenues related to local government entities during the five year measurement period.

#### 2. Expenditures:

No measurable effects.

#### 3. Revenues:

No measurable effects.

## IV. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT ENTITIES [Completed by LFO]

This section of the actuarial note pertains to annual fiscal costs, cost savings, and revenue impacts incurred by state government entities other than those included in Tables A and B. See Table D.

## Estimated Fiscal Impact – State Government Entities (other than the impact included in Tables A and B) (Prepared by John Carpenter, Legislative Fiscal Officer)

### 1. Narrative

Legislation may be proposed that has an indirect effect on expenditures and revenues associated with state government entities (other than the impact included in Tables A and B). Table D shows the estimated fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on such state government entities. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. A revenue increase is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A revenue decrease is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

Fiscal Costs for State Government Entities: Table D

EXPENDITURES	2020-2	1	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated		)	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other		)	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds		)	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	(	)	0	0	 0	0	 0
Annual Total	\$	\$	5 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

REVENUES	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

The proposed legislation will have the following effects on fiscal costs and revenues related to state government entities during the five year measurement period.

2. Expenditures:

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

3. Revenues:

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

## **Credentials of the Signatory Staff:**

Lowell P. Good is the Actuary for the Louisiana Legislative Auditor. He is an Enrolled Actuary, a member of the American Academy of Actuaries, an Associate of the Society of Actuaries and has met the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries necessary to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

James J. Rizzo is a Senior Consultant and Actuary with Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company, which currently serves as staff for the Actuarial Services Department of the Louisiana Legislative Auditor. He is an Enrolled Actuary, a member of the American Academy of Actuaries, an Associate of the Society of Actuaries and has met the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries necessary to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

#### **Actuarial Disclosure: Risks Associated with Measuring Costs**

This Actuarial Note is an actuarial communication, and is required to include certain disclosures in compliance with Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOP) No. 51. Risk disclosures otherwise required by ASOP No. 51 do not apply to this Actuarial Note because the proposed bill does not significantly change the types or levels of risks of the retirement system.

<u>Information Pertaining to Article (10)(29(F) of the Louisiana Constitution</u>	
HB 26 contains a retirement system benefit provision having an actuarial cost.	
No member of any Louisiana state retirement system would receive a larger benefit with the enactment of HB 26 than what would have received without HB 26.	he
Dual Referral Relative to Total Fiscal Costs or Total Cash Flows:	

The information presented below is based on information contained in Tables A, B, C, and D for the first three years following the 2020 regular session.

<u>Senate</u>		Hou	<u>ise</u>	
13.5.1	Applies to Senate or House Instruments.		6.8F	Applies to Senate or House Instruments.
	If an annual fiscal cost $\geq$ \$100,000, then bill is dual referred to:			If an annual General Fund fiscal cost $\geq$ \$100,000, then the bill is dual referred to:
	<b>Dual Referral: Senate Finance</b>			<b>Dual Referral to Appropriations</b>
13.5.2	Applies to Senate or House Instruments.		6.8G	Applies to Senate Instruments only.
	If an annual tax or fee change $\geq$ \$500,000, then the bill is dual referred to:			If a net fee decrease occurs or if an increase in annual fees and taxes $\geq$ \$500,000, then the bill is dual referred to:
	Dual Referral: Revenue and Fiscal Affairs			<b>Dual Referral: Ways and Means</b>