2019 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 148

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, JACKSON, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO, LAFLEUR, AND MORRELL

1	AN ACT						
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the						
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans						
4	Parish, and other courts; to provide for an effective date; and to provide for related						
5	matters.						
6	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:						
7	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty Million Eight Hundred Thirty-Six						
8	Thousand Eight Hundred Forty-Six No/100 (\$180,836,846) Dollars, or so much thereof as						
9	may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including						
10	the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of						
11	Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.						
12	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:						
13	03-8170 SUPREME COURT						
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.						
24 25 26 27	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.						
28	Goal: To protect the rule of law.						
29 30 31 32	Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. <i>General Performance Information:</i> 201620172018						
33	Total Filings 2,283 2,181 2,117						

1	Total Appeals Filed	9	4	6	
1 2 3	Total Writs Filed	2,092	1,997	1,925	
3	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,142	2,039	2,344	
4	Goal: To promote the rule of law.				
5	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	er.			
5 6 7 8 9	General Performance Information:	•1.			
7		2016	2017	2018	
8	Percentage of noncriminal case				
9	applications acted on within Supreme				
10	Court standard of 120 days of filing	94.1%	88%	83.4%	
11	Percentage of criminal case applications				
12	acted on within Supreme Court				
13	standard of 120 days of filing	48.7%	34.9%	34.1%	
14 15	Percentage of pro se post conviction				
15	applications acted on within Supreme	2(0/	20.00/	220/	
10	Court standard of 120 days of filing	26%	20.8%	23%	
18	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings acted upon within Supreme Court				
19	standard of 120 days from filing	93.6%	89.3%	85%	
$\dot{20}$	Percentage of opinions rendered within	25.070	07.570	0270	
21	Supreme Court standard of 84 days				
21 22	from argument	66.7%	73.2%	74%	
23	Goal: To ensure the public trust.				
24	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions		
24 25 26 27	General Performance Information:		decisions.		
$\frac{26}{26}$	General I erjormance Injormation.	2016	2017	2018	
27	Percentage of written opinions available	2010	_01/	2010	
28	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
29 30	Objective: To inform the public of operations	s and activit	ties.		
30	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i>			2018	
30 31	• • •	s and activit 2016	ties. 2017	2018	
30 31 32	• • •			2018 84	
30 31 32 33	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions	2016 60 78	2017	84 56	
30 31 32 33 34	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters	2016 60	2017 70	84	
30 31 32 33 34 35	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on	2016 60 78 24	2017 70 65 18	84 56 20	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2016 60 78	2017 70 65	84 56	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other	2016 60 78 24 1,775	2017 70 65 18 1,932	84 56 20 2,054	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2016 60 78 24	2017 70 65 18	84 56 20	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	84 56 20 2,054 5,835	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	84 56 20 2,054 5,835	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 I conduct, in 2016	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c 2017	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c 2017 29.03	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 I conduct, in 2016	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c 2017	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c 2017 29.03	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c 2017 29.03 533	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 ntegrity, and c 2017 29.03	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47	e bench.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 attegrity, and co 2017 29.03 533 546	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 attegrity, and co 2017 29.03 533 546	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 attegrity, and co 2017 29.03 533 546	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582 1 conduct, in 2016	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 htegrity, and c 2017 29.03 533 546 htegrity, and c 2017	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428 ompetence of th 2018	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582 1 conduct, in 2016 15.08	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 htegrity, and c 2017 29.03 533 546 htegrity, and c 2017 14.76	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428 ompetence of th 2018 14.75	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582 1 conduct, in 2016	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 htegrity, and c 2017 29.03 533 546 htegrity, and c 2017	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428 ompetence of th 2018	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582 1 conduct, in 2016 15.08 2,922	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 Integrity, and co 2017 29.03 533 546 Integrity, and co 2017 14.76 2,795	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428 ompetence of th 2018 14.75 2,534	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 1 conduct, in 2016 30.08 545 582 1 conduct, in 2016 15.08	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 htegrity, and c 2017 29.03 533 546 htegrity, and c 2017 14.76	84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ompetence of th 2018 29.47 543 428 ompetence of th 2018 14.75	

17

31 32

33

1 2 3 4 5	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	<u>I</u> \$	FY 19 EOB 1,125,252	<u>FY 20</u> \$ 1,125,252
6 7 8	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	4,963,652	\$ 7,838,391
9 10 11 12 13 14	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.			
15 16	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	4,758,857	\$ 5,297,755

Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme Court in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; and support for judicial branch reporting obligations. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides support for initiatives to ensure access to the courts for limited English proficiency individuals; provides outreach services to state and local courts, applies for and administers grants to improve judicial administration; and staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics. The Judicial Administrator's office administers several programs, including the Supreme Court Drug Court / Specialty Court Office, the Louisiana Protective Order Registry, and CASA and FINS through the Division of Children and Families. The Judicial Administrator's office also provides staff support for the Louisiana Judicial College, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.

B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission \$	1,918,921	\$	2,105,692
--	-----------	----	-----------

Program Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional body established under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure, suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.

39 40	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$ 355,504	\$ 375,767
41 42	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$ 164,749	\$ 169,950
43 44 45	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000
46 47 48 49 50	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$ 1,166,951	\$ 1,295,129
51 52 53	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$ 1,728,322	\$ 1,847,306

1 2		Am Description: <i>The Law Library of Louisiana ser ry, and is open to members of the bar and public.</i>	ves the	legal information	ı need	ds of the state
3 4 5 6	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	86,663	\$	86,663
7 8 9 10	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	916,846	\$	935,183
11 12 13 14	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984	\$	1,469,984
15	10	Judicial College	\$	33,072	\$	49,579
16 17		m Description: <i>The Judicial College was establis</i> <i>ide continuing legal education to Louisiana judge</i>		order of the Supr	eme (Court in 1976
18 19 20 21 22	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	18,155,129	Ŷ	19,296,743
22	12	Civil commitment matters as	Ψ	10,100,127	Ψ	17,270,745
24	12	required by R.S. 28:54	\$	231,546	\$	280,350
25 26 27	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000	\$	60,000
28 29 30 31	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,048,254	\$	1,292,795
32	15	Information Technology	\$	1,107,733	\$	1,246,008
33 34 35 36	16	Payable out of State General Funds for Operational expenses of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,995,709	\$	2,256,389
37 38 39 40 41 42	by deve manda cases; c reporti	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance eloping and implementing a needs-based allocation ting the use of a uniform data system for tracking, developing and mandating the use of programmatic ng performance indicators and measures; requiring al accountability; and, generally supervising and as	n formi manaş standa gand m	ıla; developing, i ging, and reporti rds; developing, i onitoring periodi	mple ng F imple c fisco	menting, and INS informal menting, and al reports and
43 44	17	Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts	\$	9,755,000	\$	10,054,396

45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 Program Description: Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts, such as Drug/DWI Treatment Courts, authorized in 1977 by R.S. 13:5301-5304, Reentry Courts, authorized in 2010 by R.S. 13:5401, Mental Health Courts, authorized in 2013 by R.S. 13:5353 - 5358, and Veterans Treatment Courts, authorized in 2014 by R.S. 13:5361 - 5368, are proven and effective alternatives to traditional criminal justice interventions. These programs provide integrated treatment for substance use and mental health disorders, including the appropriate use of sanctions and incentives along with case management, to place participants in need of services into appropriate community-based and judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational,

	IID NO. I	140			EIROLLE
$\frac{1}{2}$	employ model.	ment, and treatment requirements pursuant to curr	rent bes	st practices and th	ne proven national
3	18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	\$	1,794,035	<u>\$ 2,552,873</u>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of child of Chil recruit, qualific advoca	am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistand dren in need of care in permanent, safe and stable dren's Code articles 424-426. Services are provent screen, train and supervise community advocate ed advocates serve children by providing indep uting for the best interest of the children, monitoring vising and assisting the judge in the determination	homes ided thi es. Up endent ig case.	, in accordance w rough local CAS2 on appointment l factual informat s to which they ha	with the provisions A programs which by the trial judge, tion to the judge, twe been assigned,
11	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)	\$	52,839,179	<u>\$ 59,639,205</u>
12 13 14 15 16 17		Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at am Description: <i>The Judges' Supplemental Con</i>			established by the
18 19 20	commi	ature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and ssioners. The funding source is a non-refundable fine 13:10.3.			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	20	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court Case Management Information Fund, for the Case Management Information System, Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, be it more or less			
28		estimated at	<u></u> \$	4,017,201	<u>\$ 4,017,201</u>
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Supren crimina from cc branch & Corr	am Description: The Case Management Inform ne Court in 1993 to provide a statewide inform al, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as w purts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, agencies. Additional information will also be avail rections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed ed under C.Cr.P. 887(F).	ation s vell as p and ma ilable fi	system for trackin protective orders. ade available to co rom the Departme	ng and managing Data is received urts and executive nt of Public Safety
36 37		STATE GENERAL FUND TATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>
38 39 40 41 42	21	Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$	5,400,000	\$ 5,400,000
43 44 45 46 47	22	Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$	3,992,850	\$ 3,992,850
48 49 50		STATE GENERAL FUND THROUGH RAGENCY TRANSFERS	<u>\$</u>	9,392,850	<u>\$ 9,392,850</u>
50 51	TOTAL S	SUPREME COURT	<u>\$</u>	72,472,954	<u>\$ 79,272,980</u>

234567

8 9

10

11

13

14

1 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

12 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals.

15 16	Genera	15. al Performance Information:	2016	2017	2018	
17 18	Total w	ppeals filed vrits filed	1,783 3,670	1,897 3,420	1,827 3,345	
19	Total d	lispositions rendered	4,761	4,439	4,431	
20	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.				
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Genera Averag appeal Time S Crimin	ive: To resolve cases expeditiously. al Performance Information: we number of days from lodging of the to argument: tandard = no more than 175 days. al cases	2016 162 155	2017 147	2018	
29	Civil C Mediar	n number of days for all cases	155	162 159	148 149	
30 31 32 33 34 35	Averag render Time S Crimin Civil co	te number of days from argument to ing of the opinion: tandard = no more than 70 days. al cases	43 42 43	43 44 44	44 44 44	
36	Goal:	To preserve public trust.				
37 38 39 40 41	Gener Percen	tive: To facilitate public access to the al Performance Information: tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	decisions o 2016 100%	of the courts of a 2017 100%	appeal. 2018 100%	
42 43 44 45 46 47	Payable o 01	ut of the State General Fund (D Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	ges		EOB	<u>FY 20</u> \$ 7,913,159
48 49 50	02	Salaries and expenses of opera and maintenance of the Court Appeal, First Circuit		\$ 10,28	36,973	\$ 11,081,160
51 52 53	03	Salaries and expenses of opera and maintenance of the Court Appeal, Second Circuit		\$ 5,80)7,610	\$ 6,158,296
54 55	04	Salaries and expenses of opera and maintenance of the Court				

1 2 3	05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$	8,221,408	\$ 8,812,936
4 5 6	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit	<u>\$</u>	6,019,956	<u>\$ 6,385,178</u>
7	TOTAL	COURTS OF APPEAL	<u>\$</u>	47,088,543	<u>\$ 49,587,810</u>

8 03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic court in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

29 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. *General Performance Information:*

	2016	2017	2018
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating actions taken in			
FY 2017-2018 to improve compliance			
with the Americans with Disabilities Act			
(ADA)	81.3%	83.3%	87.5%

Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. *General Performance Information:*

Genera	ar i eijermanee injermanem			
		2016	2017	2018
Percen	tage of surveyed district court chief			
ju	dges indicating actions taken in			
F	Y 2017-2018 to assist self-represented			
	igants	85.4%	85.4%	91.7%
	0			

Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

49Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing.50General Performance Information:51201652Number of parishes reporting criminal53disposition data to CMIS6464

ENROLLED

	112 1101 1					
1 2		tage of parishes reporting criminal position data to CMIS	100%	100%	100%	
3			2016	2017	2018	
3 4 5 6 7 8	ju tak del	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts had ten steps within FY 2017-2018 to redu lays and improve the timeliness case processing		93.8%	87.5%	
9		····· To only on item comise				
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Genera Percen jua tak ma	ive: To enhance jury service. al Performance Information: tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their court had ten steps within FY 2017-2018 to the jury service more convenient or fective	2016 90.7%	2017 92.9%	2018 88.4%	
17 18		To provide due process and equal pro- and to demonstrate integrity in all pro-			who have b	usiness before the
19	-	ive: To recognize new conditions of	or emerging	g events and t	o adjust co	ourt operations as
20 21	necessa Genera	ary. Il Performance Information:				
22 23 24 25	Percen jud	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in	2016	2017	2018	
25 26 27 28	tra Percen	⁷ 2017-2018 to improve employee tining and development tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in	85.4%	87.5%	85.4%	
29 30	FY	<i>Y 2017-2018 to install or implement</i> <i>Phologies</i>	91.7%	95.8%	95.8%	
31 32		To maintain judicial independence, wh as and accountability to the public.	ile observin	g the principle	ofcomity i	n its governmental
33		ive: To inform the community of the	court's strue	cture and func	tion.	
34 35	Genera	Il Performance Information:	2016	2017	2018	
36 37 38	jua	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education	2010		2010	
39 40	an	d public outreach services in 2017-2018	87.5%	91.7%	89.6%	
41	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund (D	virect):			
42 43	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety		<u>FY 1</u>	<u>9 EOB</u>	<u>FY 20</u>
44 45	01	(191) District Judges as provi by R.S. 13:691		\$ 27,1	87,585	\$ 27,187,585
46 47 48	02	Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 and R.S. 13:694, respectively		\$ 1,2	285,250	\$ 1,285,250
49 50 51	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judg Civil District Court, Orleans I as provided by R.S. 13:691		\$ 1,9	992,807	\$ 1,992,807
52 53 54 55 56	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil E Court, Parish of Orleans, for s of stenographers, clerks, law l stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S.	alaries books,	\$	80,500	\$ 80,500

ENROLLED

1 2 3 4	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	114,514	\$	117,507
5 6	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
7 8 9 10	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	6,834,271	\$	6,950,507
11 12 13 14 15 16	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	569,959	\$	580,720
17 18 19 20	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	400,707	\$	405,383
21 22 23 24	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	286,234	\$	290,891
25 26	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	49,311	\$	49,311
27 28 29	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000	<u>\$</u>	35,000
30	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	38,846,138	<u>\$:</u>	38,985,461
31	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans				
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	38 all cases tried before the Municipal and Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory					
40 41 42	А.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,850,465	\$	1,850,465
		a a 7 i				

43 44 45	Criminal C	enses of Judges of ourt, Orleans Parish as y R.S. 13:698	\$ 74,750	\$ 74,750
46 47 48	for personr	e of group insurance el of Criminal Court l by R.S. 42:851	\$ 733,422	\$ 733,422

D. Salaries of thirteen (13) minute 1 2 clerks as provided by R.S. 3 13:1373.1 \$ 288,064 \$ 293,811 E. Salaries of twenty-six (26) court 4 5 reporters as provided by R.S. 6 13:1373.1 \$ 449,821 \$ 456,097 7 F. Salaries of four (4) commissioners 8 of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, 9 including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347 10 \$ 503,587 \$ 512,929 11 G. Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by 12 13 R.S. 13:1347 \$ 7,950 \$ 10,600 14 H. Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, 15 one for each commissioner 16 as provided by R.S. 13:1347 \$ 75,372 \$ 76,845 17 Salaries of four (4) court reporters, I. 18 one for each commissioner 19 as provided by R.S. 13:1347 \$ 57,312 \$ 58,422 20 J. Salaries of Judicial Administrator, 21 and assistants, including 22 related benefits \$ 910,443 \$ 996,431 23 K. Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks \$ 695,417 \$ 771,026 24 L. Salaries of four (4) secretaries \$ 223,442 204,258 \$ 25 M. Sanity Commissions \$ 87,744 \$ 90,008 26 N. Board of Jury Commissioners \$ 391,859 422,539 \$ 27 **SUBTOTAL** \$ 6,330,464 \$ 6,570,787 28 14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges 29 A. Salaries of thirteen (13) Juvenile 30 Court Judges as provided by 31 R.S. 13:691 \$ 1,850,465 \$ 1,850,465 32 B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 33 \$ 569,374 \$ 569,374 34 C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile 35 and Family Court Judges as 36 provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively 37 103,500 103,500 \$ \$ 38 **SUBTOTAL** \$ 2,523,339 <u>\$ 2,523,339</u>

ENROLLED

HB NO. 148

39

TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS

47,699,941

\$

\$ 48,079,587

1 2	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AS REQUIRED BY STATUTE
3 4	Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal and traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).
5 6 7 8 9	Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.
10	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.
11 12 13 14	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. <i>General Performance Information:</i>
15 16	2016 2017 2018 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief
17 18	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2017-2018 to assist pro se litigants 96.2% 98.1% 94.1%
19 20	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.
21 22	Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. <i>General Performance Information:</i>
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	201620172018Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2017-2018 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing92.3%90.4%82.4%
29 30	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public.
31	Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. <i>General Performance Information:</i>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	2016 2017 2018 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2017-2018 88.5% 88.5% 78.4%
39 40	Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary.
41 42	<i>General Performance Information:</i> 2016 2017 2018
43 44 45	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2017-2018 to improve employee
46 47 48	training and development 96.2% 92.3% 78.4% Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2017-2018 to install
49	or implement technologies 90.4% 90.4% 78.4%

	HB NO. 14	18		<u>ENROLLED</u>	
1 2	Payable ou	t of the State General Fund (Direct):	FY 19 EOB	FY 20	
2 3 4	01 Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875		\$ 2,757,559	\$2,757,559	
5 6 7 8	Tra Jud	aries of seven (7) Municipal and ffic and one (1) Parish Court ge as provided by R.S. 13:2492 2563.5, respectively	<u>\$ 399,682</u>	<u>\$ 399,682</u>	
9 10	TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE		<u>\$ 3,157,241</u>	<u>\$3,157,241</u>	
11 12	03-8174 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES NO REQUIRED BY STATUTE			NSES NOT	
13 14	Payable ou	t of the State General Fund (Direct):	FY 19 EOB	FY 20	
14 15 16	01 Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program		\$ 536,132	<u>F1 20</u> \$\$95,770	
17 18 19	Program Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect cases in the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need of Services Program.				
20 21	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$ 77,178	\$ 79,985	
22 23	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	<u>\$ 61,877</u>	<u>\$ 63,473</u>	
24 25		n Description: <i>The Judges' Assistance Program provia</i> udges with substance abuse problems.	les counseling and c	other assistance	
26 27	TOTAL O' BY STATU	THER COURTS NOT REQUIRED UTE	<u>\$ 675,187</u>	<u>\$ 739,228</u>	
28	Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the				
29	State General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total				
30	amount of Nine Million Seven Hundred Forty-Two Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty and				
31	No/100 (\$9,742,980) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary				
32	Control Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.				
33	Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and				
34	appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or				
35	for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the				
36	state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all				
37	other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and				
38	warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant				
39	provided by this Act.				

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the
 judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located
 in the state.

C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the State General Fund on or before September 1, 2020.

9 D. For Fiscal Year 2019-2020, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made 10 in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal 11 year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as 12 approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

17 F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, 18 objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and 19 are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and 20 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G, General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana. 21 22 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other 23 judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation 24 upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the 25 Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office 26 relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. 27 Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, 28 the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's 29 Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or 30 other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in 31 this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2019; if vetoed by the
 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective
 on July 1, 2019, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is
 later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2019-2020 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2018-2019 as contained in the original bill.

	Operating Budget	Appropriation Request	
Courts and Programs	FY 2018-2019	FY 2019-2020	
Supreme Court			
Total Supreme Court	\$ 62,232,029	\$ 69,032,055	
Courts of Appeal			
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 47,088,543	\$ 49,587,810	
District Courts			
Total District Courts	\$ 47,699,941	\$ 48,079,587	
Other Courts			
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,157,241	\$ 3,157,241	
Other Programs			
Total Other Programs	\$ 675,187	\$ 739,228	
Non-Judicial State Expenses			
Total State Expenses	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>	

HB NO. 148		ENROLLED
Total State General Fund		
and Interagency Transfer		
All Line Items	<u>\$162,923794</u>	<u>\$ 172,666,774</u>
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>
Total Funding	<u>\$173,164,719</u>	<u>\$ 182,907,699</u>

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: _____