HLS 10RS-1000 ENGROSSED

Regular Session, 2010

1

HOUSE BILL NO. 1386

## BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND TUCKER AND SENATORS MICHOT AND CHAISSON

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

AN ACT

| 2  | To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the  |
|--|--|
| 3  | Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans   |
| 4  | Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.  |
| 5  | Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:   |
| 6  | Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Sixty-One Million Two Hundred Nineteen   |
| 7  | Thousand One Hundred Two and No/100 (\$161,219,102.00) Dollars, or so much thereof as  |
| 8  | may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including  |
| 9  | the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of   |
| 10   | Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.   |
| 11   | B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:   |
| 12   | 03-8170 SUPREME COURT  |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | <b>Program Description:</b> The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                               | of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27 | of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of   |
| 20<br>21<br>22   | of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiand for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.  Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts |

| 1                                    | Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the |              |                |                  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tri                                       | bunals.      |                |                  |
| 3                                    | General Performance Information:   |              |                |                  |
| 4                                    |  | 2007         | 2008           | 2009             |
| 5                                    |  |              |                |                  |
| 6                                    | Total Filings  | 2,497        | 3,014          | 2,780            |
| 7                                    | Total Appeals Filed  | 16           | 15             | 14               |
| 8                                    | Total Writs Filed  | 2,320        | 2,843          | 2,564            |
| 9                                    | Total Dispositions Rendered  | 2,645        | 2,834          | 2,801            |
| 10                                   | Goal: To promote the rule of law.  |              |                |                  |
| 11                                   | <b>Objective:</b> To resolve cases in a timely mann                                | er.          |                |                  |
| 12                                   | General Performance Information:   |              |                |                  |
| 13                                   | · ·  | 2007         | 2008           | 2009             |
| 14                                   | Percentage of noncriminal case   |              |                |                  |
| 15                                   | applications acted on within Supreme   |              |                |                  |
| 16                                   | Court standard of 120 days of filing   | 93.1%        | 91.4%          | 92.2%            |
| 17                                   | Percentage of criminal case applications   |              |                |                  |
| 18                                   | acted on within Supreme Court  |              |                |                  |
| 19                                   | standard of 120 days of filing   | 29.3%        | 35.4%          | 38.2%            |
| 20                                   | Percentage of pro se post conviction   |              |                |                  |
| 21                                   | applications acted on within Supreme   |              |                |                  |
| 22                                   | Court standard of 120 days of filing   | 7.7%         | 35.3%          | 11.8%            |
| 23                                   | Percentage of bar disciplinary filings   |              |                |                  |
| 24                                   | acted upon within Supreme Court  |              |                |                  |
| 25                                   | standard of 120 days of filing   | 62.9%        | 70.5%          | 76.5%            |
| 26                                   | Percentage of opinions rendered within   |              |                |                  |
| 27                                   | Supreme Court standard of 84 days  |              |                |                  |
| 28                                   | from argument  | 70.0%        | 74.4%          | 81.3%            |
| 29                                   | Goal: To ensure the public trust.  |              |                |                  |
| 30                                   | Objections To facilitate multiple accepts Com-                                     |              | 4              |                  |
| 31                                   | Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr                                     | eme Court    | decisions.     |                  |
| 32                                   | General Performance Information:   | 2007         | 2000           | 2000             |
| 33                                   | Development and of written enimions quailable                                      | 2007         | 2008           | 2009             |
| 34                                   | Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision   | 100%         | 100%           | 100%             |
|                                      | 1  |              |                |                  |
| 35                                   | <b>Objective:</b> To inform the public of operation                                | s and activi | ties.          |                  |
| 36                                   | General Performance Information:   |              |                |                  |
| 37                                   |  | 2007         | 2008           | 2009             |
| 38                                   | Number of outreach programs  | 65           | 59             | 67               |
| 39                                   | Number of media releases on court decisions  | 82           | 82             | 81               |
| 40                                   | Number of media releases on other matters  | 19           | 26             | 26               |
| 41                                   | Number of recipients of releases on  |              |                |                  |
| 42                                   | court decisions  | 64           | 60             | 1,103            |
| 43                                   | Number of recipients of releases on other  |              |                |                  |
| 44                                   | matters  | 3,048        | 5,155          | 5,144            |
| 45                                   | <b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional of                            | conduct into | eority and cor | nnetence of the  |
| 46                                   | bench.   | onduct, me   | eginy, and cor | inpetence of the |
| 47                                   | General Performance Information:   |              |                |                  |
| 48                                   | General Lorgormance Information.   | 2007         | 2008           | 2009             |
| 49                                   | Average number of hours acquired   | 2007         | 2000           | 2007             |
| 50                                   | through continuing legal education   |              |                |                  |
| 51                                   | per judge  | 37.58        | 34.19          | 34.96            |
| 52                                   | Number of complaints filed against   | 27.30        | 5              | 21.70            |
| 52<br>53                             | judges and justices of the peace   | 531          | 818            | 664              |
| 54                                   | Number of complaints against judges  | 551          | 310            | 507              |
| 5 <del>5</del>                       | and justices of the peace resolved or  |              |                |                  |
| 56                                   | disposed of in the calendar year   | 579          | 563            | 690              |
| 20                                   | amposed of in the entertual year   | 217          | 505            | 070              |

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | bar.       | ive: To ensure the highest professional co   | onduct, inte  | grity, and comp  | petence of the | •     |                |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--|---------------|------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| 3<br>4                               | Genera     | l Performance Information:   | 2007          | 2008             | 2009           |       |                |
| 5                                    |            | e number of hours acquired through   |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 6                                    |            | ntinuing legal education per lawyer  | 19.89         | 15.48            | 15.03          |       |                |
| /<br>8                               |            | r of complaints filed against lawyers<br>r of complaints filed against lawyers       | 2,712         | 3,096            | 3,128          |       |                |
| 9                                    |            | olved or disposed of in calendar year  | 1,436         | 1,726            | 3,068          |       |                |
| 10                                   | Payable or | ut of the State General Fund:  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 11                                   | 01         | Salaries of Chief Justice and six  | (6)           |                  |                |       |                |
| 12                                   | 01         | Associate Justices of the Suprer   | . ,           |                  |                |       |                |
| 13                                   |            | as provided by R.S. 13:102   |               |                  |                | \$    | 970,480        |
| 14                                   | 02         | Salaries and other expenses of t   | ho            |                  |                |       |                |
| 15                                   | 02         | Supreme Court Proper and salar   |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 16                                   |            | the Crier of the Supreme Court   | . y 01        |                  |                | \$    | 10,199,815     |
| 10                                   |            | the Cher of the Supreme Court  |               |                  |                | φ     | 10,199,613     |
| 17                                   | 03         | Expenses of Judicial Administra  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 18                                   |            | Office and of the Judiciary Com  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 19                                   |            | provided for in Article V, Section   |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 20                                   |            | 25 of the Constitution of Louisi   |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 21                                   |            | under the provisions of R.S. 13:   | :32 et seq.   |                  |                |       |                |
| 22                                   | A.         | Expenses of Judicial Administra  | ator's Offi   | ice              |                | \$    | 4,560,081      |
| 23                                   | Progra     | m Description: The Judicial Administrat  | or's Office o | assists the Supr | eme Court in   | the   | administration |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27           |            | state court system. It staffs the Judicia  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 25                                   |            | h the Judicial Council, it performs stud   |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 27                                   |            | tips and for improving the administratio<br>and other fiscal services to the Judicia |               |                  |                |       |                |
| $\overline{28}$                      |            | and support for the Supreme Court and  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 29                                   | Admini     | strator's Office provides technological  | services to   | o courts and n   | nanages the    | Tri   | al Court Case  |
| 30                                   |            | ement Information System. It also manag  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 31                                   |            | nent. The Judicial Administrator's Office  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33                 | Judicia    | nmittee on Judicial Ethics, and perform<br>ry.                                       | s numerous    | iegai services   | jor the supr   | eme   | Court and the  |
| 34                                   | B.         | Expenses of Judiciary Commiss  | sion          |                  |                | \$    | 1,884,489      |
| 35                                   | Progra     | m Description: The Judiciary Commissi  | ion of Louis  | iana is a consti | tutional body  | y est | ablished under |
| 36<br>37                             |            | V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 37                                   |            | cial misconduct. As part of its authorit   |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 38                                   | suspens    | sion, removal from office, or involuntary  | y retiremeni  | t of any judge j | for ethical m  | isco  | nduct.         |
| 39                                   | C.         | Court Reporters as required by   | R.S. 13:98    | 81               |                | \$    | 348,174        |
| 40                                   | D.         | Dues to National Center for Sta  | te Courts     |                  |                | \$    | 138,829        |
| 41                                   | 04         | Expenses of Committee on Prof  | fessional     |                  |                |       |                |
| 42                                   |            | Ethics and Grievances including  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 43                                   |            | disbarment proceedings, R.S. 3   | _             | eq.              |                | \$    | 3,000          |
| 44                                   | 05         | Compensation and expenses of   | retired       |                  |                |       |                |
| 45                                   |            | judges assigned under Article V  |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 46                                   |            | Section 5(A) of the Constitution   |               |                  |                |       |                |
| 47                                   |            | Louisiana, be it more or less est  |               |                  |                | \$    | 1,380,560      |
|                                      |            |  | a             |                  |                | ~     | _,_ 00,000     |

|   | HLS 10RS | S-1000   |    | GROSSED<br>B NO. 1386 |
|---|----------|--|----|-----------------------|
| 1<br>2<br>3   | 06       | Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs,   | ф  | 1.070.066             |
| 3   |          | and equipment  | \$ | 1,972,066             |
| 4<br>5  |          | <b>m Description:</b> The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an Act of a the legal information needs of the public, the state judiciary, and the ba     |    | lature in 1855        |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9  | 07       | Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated at                      | \$ | 189,159               |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13  | 08       | Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103                                       | \$ | 1,562,354             |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17  | 09       | Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at                      | \$ | 1,639,394             |
| 18  | 10       | Judicial College   | \$ | 225,668               |
| Program Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Supreme Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.  |          |  |    |                       |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25  | 11       | State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at | \$ | 8,808,611             |
| 26<br>27  | 12       | Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54   | \$ | 143,424               |
| 28<br>29  | 13       | Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records   | \$ | 60,000                |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33  | 14       | Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System                    | \$ | 1,696,371             |
| 34<br>35<br>36  | 15       | Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)                      | \$ | 2,010,940             |
| Program Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist local FINS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reporting performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways. |          |  |    |                       |

|  | HLS 10R  | S-1000   | $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{N}$  | <u>GROSSED</u>   |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  | H   | B NO. 1386   |
| 1                                      | 16   | Drug court maintenance and enhancement   | \$  | 12,514,453   |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6                  | provid<br>low-le<br>progra                       | am Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1977 by R.S. 13 led integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives wel, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicioums. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with each trequirements set by the court.  | vith case proce<br>ally supervised                                  | essing to place<br>rehabilitation                                    |
| 7                                      | 17   | Court Appointed Special Advocates  | \$  | 3,537,501  |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | childre<br>Childr<br>screen<br>advoce<br>interes | am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to en in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance of the CASA Assistance Program is to en in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance of the cates 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment but at easier to estimate the children by providing independent factual information to the est of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, as in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. | lance with the<br>ASA programs<br>by the trial judge, advocat       | provisions of which recruit, dge, qualified ing for the best         |
| 15                                     | TOTAL -  | - GENERAL FUND   | \$  | 53,845,369   |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | 18   | Administrative expenses of the office of the Clerk of the Louisiana Supreme Court attributable to the selection process of attorney chairman of the Medical Review Panel as provided for in R.S. 40:1299.47 to be paid out of the Patient's Compensation Fund  | \$  | 10,000   |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27             | 19   | Payable out of the State General Fund<br>from Statutory Dedications, Judges'<br>Supplemental Compensation Fund,<br>R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less<br>estimated at  | \$  | 6,000,000  |
| 28<br>29<br>30                         | in 198.  | am Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was e<br>5 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and<br>is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in  | lcommissioner   |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36       | 20   | Payable out of the State General Fund<br>from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court<br>Case Management Information Fund, for<br>the Case Management Information System,<br>Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal<br>Procedure, be it more or less estimated at   | <u>\$</u>   | 3,325,904  |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43 | Court<br>juveni<br>transfe<br>Additi             | am Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and le, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and onal information will also be available from the Department of Public led from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic conviction.).   | nd managing c<br>ceived from cou<br>executive bra<br>Safety & Corre | riminal, civil,<br>urts statewide,<br>nch agencies.<br>ections. CMIS |
| 44<br>45                               |  | - STATE GENERAL FUND<br>TATUTORY DEDICATIONS   | \$  | 9,335,904  |
| 46<br>47<br>48                         | 21   | Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Social Services   | \$  | 5,000,000  |

|  | HLS 10RS-1000   | ]  | ENGROSSED<br>HB NO. 1386  |  |   |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1<br>2<br>3  | Court Appointed Special Advo<br>the State General Fund through<br>from the Department of Social   |  | \$ 3,670,000  |  |   |
| 4<br>5   | TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND<br>THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANS   |  | <u>\$ 8,670,000</u>   |  |   |
| 6  | TOTAL SUPREME COURT   |  |   |  | \$ 71,851,273   |
| 7  | 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL  |  |   |  |   |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13                     | Program Description: The five courts of apportunity of Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurishing appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters all criminal cases triable by a jury which arises to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.  Mission Statement: The mission of the appermeaningful access to all who seek review under | jurisdiction isdiction of t, all matters within its cin ellate court. er the Court | over all cases the Supreme C appealed fror cuit, except fo s of Louisiana s' appellate ar | s arising withi<br>Court. Each co<br>n family and ju<br>r those cases a<br>u is to provide<br>nd supervisory | in their respective ourt of appeal also uvenile courts, and uppealable directly |
| 16<br>17   | jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitu rule of law, preserving the public trust, and us   |  |   |  | ?   |
| 18   | Goal: To protect the rule of law.   |  |   |  |   |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25             | Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunate by lower tribunals.  General Performance Information:  Total appeals filed  Total writs filed  | <b>2007</b> 2,790 5,111  | <b>2008</b> 2,671 5,402   | <b>2009</b> 2,597 5,043  | 3   |
| 26   | Total dispositions rendered  Goal: To promote the rule of law.  | 7,586  | 6,676   | 6,913  |   |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35 | Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously.  General Performance Information:  Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument:  Time Standard = no more than 175 days.  Criminal cases  Civil cases  Total  | 2007  137 153 145  | 2008<br>145<br>140<br>142   | <b>2009</b> 150 147 148  |   |
| 36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41                   | Average number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion: Time Standard = no more than 70 days. Criminal cases Civil cases Total  | 36<br>37<br>36   | 37<br>43<br>40  | 37<br>39<br>38   |   |
| 42   | Goal: To preserve public trust.   |  |   |  |   |
| 43<br>44<br>45<br>46                               | Objective: To facilitate public access to the of General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available   | 2007   | 2008  | 2009   |   |
| 47<br>48   | to the public within 5 days of decision  Payable out of the State General Fund:   | 100%   | 100%  | 100%   |   |
| 49<br>50   | O1 Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judg the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:3  | -  |   |  | \$ 6,949,328  |

|                | HLS 10RS-1000 |   |           | GROSSED<br>B NO. 1386 |
|----------------|---------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|
| 1<br>2<br>3    | 02            | Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, First Circuit  | \$        | 9,474,088             |
| 4<br>5<br>6    | 03            | Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Second Circuit | \$        | 5,389,006             |
| 7<br>8<br>9    | 04            | Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Third Circuit  | \$        | 8,414,464             |
| 10<br>11<br>12 | 05            | Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit | \$        | 7,460,466             |
| 13<br>14<br>15 | 06            | Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit  | <u>\$</u> | 5,613,344             |
| 16             | TOTAL C       | COURTS OF APPEAL  | <u>\$</u> | 43,300,696            |
| 17             | 03-8172       | DISTRICT COURTS   |           |                       |

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

**Goal:** To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

**Objective:** To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

38 Objective: To encourage responsible and convenient.
40 General Performance Information:

| 41 |   | 2007  | 2008  | 2009  |
|----|---|-------|-------|-------|
| 42 | Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating |       |       |       |
| 43 | actions taken in FY 2008-2009 to improve compliance with      |       |       |       |
| 44 | the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)                     | 79.2% | 74.5% | 93.5% |
|    |   |       |       |       |

**Objective:** To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.

General Performance Information:

| 50 |   | 2007  | 2008  | 2009 |
|----|---|-------|-------|------|
| 51 | Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating |       |       |      |
| 52 | actions taken in FY 2008-2009 to assist pro se litigants      | 79.2% | 95.7% | 100% |

12 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 **Objective:** To encourage timely case management and processing. General Performance Information: 2007 2008 2009 Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition 59 59 55 data to CMIS Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition 92% 85.9% data to CMIS 92.1% Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2008-2009 to reduce delays and improve the 99.7% timeliness of case processing 95.7% 97.9% **Objective:** To enhance jury service. 16 17 General Performance Information: 2007 2008 2009 18 19 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2008-2009  $\overline{20}$ 99.6% 76.2% 100% to make jury service more convenient or effective 21 22 Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions. 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 **Objective:** To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2007 2008 2009 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2008-2009 to improve employee 99.7% 100% training and development 89.4%  $\overline{30}$ Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2008-2009 to install or implement 32 technologies 99.9% 91.5% 97.9% Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in 34 its governmental relations and accountability to the public. 35 36 37 **Objective:** To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information: 2007 2008 2009 38 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating 39 that their courts regularly provided public education 40 99.8% and public outreach services in FY 2008-2009 100% 97.9% 41 42 Payable out of the State General Fund: 43 01 Salaries of one hundred ninety-one 44 (191) District Judges as provided 45 by R.S. 13:691 23,787,827 46 02 Office and travel expenses of District 47 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694 \$ 1,292,600 48 03 Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of 49 Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, 50 as provided by R.S. 13:691 \$ 1,743,611 51 04 Expenses of Judges of Civil District 52 Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries 53 of stenographers, clerks, law books, 54 stationery, telephone, and like expenses 55 as provided by R.S. 13:694 \$ 78,400

|  | HLS 10R  | S-1000  |   | GROSSED<br>B NO. 1386  |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4                             | 05   | Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters<br>of the Twentieth Judicial District Court,<br>including retirement contributions, as<br>provided by R.S. 13:966.1   | \$  | 104,123  |
| 5<br>6                                       | 06   | Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans<br>Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)  | \$  | 10,000   |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10                            | 07   | State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851  | \$  | 6,369,426  |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16             | 08   | Salaries of two (2) commissioners of<br>the Nineteenth Judicial District and<br>one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth<br>Judicial District as provided by<br>R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715,<br>respectively   | \$  | 442,682  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                         | 09   | Office expenses for the Judicial<br>Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial<br>District Court as provided by<br>R.S. 13:711-713   | \$  | 437,708  |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24                         | 10   | Office expenses for the Judicial<br>Expense Fund of the Fifteenth<br>Judicial District Court as provided<br>by R.S. 13:714-716  | \$  | 270,420  |
| 25<br>26                                     | 11   | Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District<br>Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977  | \$  | 43,735   |
| 27<br>28<br>29                               | 12   | For the expenses of the Judicial Expense<br>Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as<br>provided by Act 57 of 2006  | <u>\$</u>   | 35,000   |
| 30   | SUBT   | TOTAL   | <u>\$</u>   | 34,615,532   |
| 31   | 13   | Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans  |   |  |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39 | of the to<br>Orlean<br>with as<br>the pow<br>cases b | Im Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committees, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, throesistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in wer to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or refore the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory. | d within<br>ough its n<br>all felon<br>r to hold<br>re the Mi | the parish of nagistrate and by charges and for trial, in all unicipal Court |
| 40<br>41<br>42                               | A  | Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of<br>Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided<br>by R.S. 13:691  | \$  | 1,619,067  |
| 43<br>44<br>45<br>46                         | В.   | Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court,<br>Orleans Parish and the state's share of group<br>insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as<br>provided by R.S. 13:694 and R.S. 42:851, respectively  | \$  | 664,642  |
| 47<br>48                                     | C.   | Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1   | \$  | 251,969  |

|                            | HLS 10RS   | S-1000  |                                    |  |                | GROSSED<br>B NO. 1386 |
|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1<br>2                     | D.   | Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1   |                                    |  | \$             | 389,474               |
| 3<br>4<br>5                | E.   | Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Crimina Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefit as provided by R.S. 13:1347  |                                    |  | \$             | 370,933               |
| 6<br>7                     | F.   | Office and travel expenses of commissioners a provided by R.S. 13:1347  | ıs                                 |  | \$             | 10,000                |
| 8<br>9                     | G.   | Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347   | 1                                  |  | \$             | 63,209                |
| 10<br>11                   | H.   | Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for eac commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347  | :h                                 |  | \$             | 47,611                |
| 12<br>13                   | I.   | Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistant including related benefits  | nts,                               |  | \$             | 881,712               |
| 14                         | J.   | Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks  |                                    |  | \$             | 633,041               |
| 15                         | K.   | Salaries of four (4) secretaries  |                                    |  | \$             | 183,455               |
| 16                         | L.   | Sanity Commissions  |                                    |  | \$             | 163,956               |
| 17                         | M.   | Board of Jury Commissioners   |                                    |  | \$             | 354,690               |
| 18                         | SUBT   | OTAL  |                                    |  | \$             | 5,633,759             |
| 19                         | 14   | Juvenile and Family Court Judges  |                                    |  |                |                       |
| 20<br>21                   | A.   | Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court<br>Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691   |                                    |  | \$             | 1,743,611             |
| 22<br>23                   | В.   | Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691   |                                    |  | \$             | 498,175               |
| 24<br>25                   | C.   | Office expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694  |                                    |  | \$             | 100,800               |
| 26                         | SUBT   | OTAL  |                                    |  | \$             | 2,342,586             |
| 27                         | TOTAL D  | DISTRICT COURTS   |                                    |  | \$             | 42,591,877            |
| 28<br>29                   |  | OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFIC<br>FATUTE   | E EXP                              | ENSES A                                  | AS R           | EQUIRED               |
| 30<br>31                   |  | n Description: The category includes forty-seven city coufic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension   |                                    |  | ourt (         | New Orleans),         |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36 | access i<br>provide<br>indepen   | se Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Le to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expequality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, and the each a fair and just result and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confirmed and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and substantive law. | peditiou.<br>to main<br>alt by adh | s manner,<br>tain judici<br>erence to ti | to<br>al<br>he |                       |
| 37                         | Goal:  | To establish a more open and accessible system of justice   | e.                                 |  |                |                       |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41       | Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. |   |                                    |  |                |                       |
| 42<br>43                   |  | age of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating   | 2007                               | 2008                                     | 200            | 9                     |
| 44<br>45                   | act  | ions taken in FY 2008-2009 to assist pro<br>litigants   | 99.6%                              | 91.2%                                    | 98.            | 1%                    |

12 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 To encourage timely case management and processing. General Performance Information: 2007 2008 2009 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2008-2009 to reduce delays and improve the 99.7% 88% 94.2% timeliness of case processing 11 12 Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public. 13 Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. 14 15 16 General Performance Information: 2008 2009 2007 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that 17 their courts regularly provided public education and public 18 99.8% outreach services in FY 2008-2009 95 9% 94 2% 19 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2009 2007 2008 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2008-2009 to improve employee training and development 99.9% 88% 92.3% Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2008-2009 to install or 99.7% 86.3% 94.2% implement technologies 29 Payable out of the State General Fund: 30 Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875 31 2,412,714 32 02 Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) 33 Traffic and one (1) Parish Court 34 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 35 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively 389,156 36 TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE 2,801,870 37 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES NOT 38 REQUIRED BY STATUTE 39 Payable out of the State General Fund: 40 01 Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective \$ 41 Care Monitoring Program 552,226 42 **Program Description:** The program tracks and monitors child abuse and neglect cases in the Orleans 43 Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need of Services Program. 44 02 Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters 91,160 45 03 For the expenses of the Judges' 46 **Assistance Program** 30,000 47 Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to 48 judges with substance abuse problems. 49 TOTAL OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE 673,386

30

1 Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State 2 General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount 3 of Ten Million Eight Hundred Fifty Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty-Four and No/100 4 (\$10,850,764.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control 5 Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court. 6 Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and 7 appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for 8 so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state 9 general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other 10 warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants 11 for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this 12 Act. 13 B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary 14 in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state. 15 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 16 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 17 earnings, are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; 18 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state 19 general fund on or before September 1, 2011. 20 D. For Fiscal Year 2010-2011, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in 21 this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year 22 in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the 23 Supreme Court. 24 E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of 25 authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, 26 subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the 27 Supreme Court. 28 The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, 29 objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and

are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and

HLS 10RS-1000 ENGROSSED
HB NO. 1386

1 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by

- 2 Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.
- G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial
- 4 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the
- 5 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
- 6 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the
- 7 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the
- 8 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
- 9 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be
- 10 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency
- employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.
- Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2010; if vetoed by the governor
- and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1,
- 14 2010, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

## **DIGEST**

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

Fannin HB No. 1386

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2010-2011 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the Supreme Court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for FY 2010-2011 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$150,368,338 from the following sources: \$132,362,434 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$8,670,000 through interagency transfers from the Dept. of Social Services; and, \$9,335,904 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, Trial Court Case Management Fund, and the Patients' Compensation Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows, subject to the reduction provided for below:

| (1) | Louisiana Supreme Court           | \$71,851,273 |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| (2) | Courts of Appeal                  | 43,300,696   |
| (3) | District Courts                   | 34,615,532   |
| (4) | Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans | 5,633,759    |

HLS 10RS-1000 ENGROSSED
HB NO. 1386

| (5) | Juvenile and Family Courts             | 2,342,586            |
|-----|--|----------------------|
| (6) | Other Courts (Required by Statute)     | 2,801,870            |
| (7) | Other Courts (Not Required by Statute) | <u>673,386</u>       |
|     | TOTAL                                  | <u>\$161,219,102</u> |

Provides that the appropriations out of the State General Fund (Direct) contained in this Act shall be reduced by a total amount of \$10,850,764 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

Effective July 1, 2010.

## Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the <u>original</u> bill.

- 1. Provided for a change in means of financing to decrease State General Fund (Direct) and increase Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Social Services by \$8,670,000 for drug court maintenance and enhancement and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA).
- 2. Added requirement that appropriations contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$10,850,764 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.