

## LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB** 8 SLS 241ES

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action:

Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:

**Date:** January 16, 2024 8:11 AM

**Author:** WOMACK

Analyst: Kimberly Fruge

Dept./Agy.: Department of State

Subject: Redistricting of Louisiana Congressional Districts

Page 1 of 1

18

CONGRESS OR INCREASE GF EX See Note
Provides for redistricting of Louisiana congressional districts. (Item #1)(See Act)

Proposed law redraws district boundaries for the six congressional districts.

EXPENDITURES	2024-25	<u> 2025-26</u>	2026-27	<u>2027-28</u>	<u> 2028-29</u>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	INCREASE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total		<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
REVENUES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

Proposed law may result in a one-time increase in SGF expenditures to the Department of State (DoS) to mail out notifications to registered voters about changes to the judicial districts. Based on conversations with DoS, the LFO estimates the costs of the notification card, printing, and postage at \$0.65 per notification. There are an estimated 2.9 M voters in the state. The maximum cost exposure would thus equate to approximately \$1.89 M in FY 25 for notification cards, assuming every voter required notification. The table below represents the potential costs based on the potential number of voters impacted by the redistricting of the Supreme Court.

Percentage of Voters	Number of Voters	Potential Cost
75%	2,175,000	\$1,413,750
50%	1,450,000	\$942,500
25%	725,000	\$471,250
10%	290,000	\$188,500

In addition, if the compressed time frame of implementing proposed law creates additional costs for the department to cover the additional workload and any overtime costs, the department may incur additional expenditures of an indeterminable amount.

Proposed law may also result in a minimal increase in programing costs for the Department of State to update voting machines to reflect the new congressional districts. This cost can likely be absorbed within the department's existing operating budget.

The department is working to get estimates of any additional costs related to duplicative costs and/or increased workload. Once the LFO receives feedback from the department, this fiscal note will be updated accordingly.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	House	Alan M. Boderger
<b>x</b> 13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	$\mathbf{x}$ 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Alan M. Boxberger