

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note



Fiscal Note On: **HB 434** HLS 15RS 725
 Bill Text Version: **ORIGINAL**
 Opp. Chamb. Action:
 Proposed Amd.:
 Sub. Bill For.:

Date: June 7, 2015	3:43 PM	Author: JAMES
Dept./Agy.: Revenue		Analyst: Deborah Vivien
Subject: Suspends Business Utilities exemptions from 2%		

TAX/SALES & USE OR +\$209,100,000 GF RV See Note Page 1 of 1
 Suspends a certain portion of the sales and use tax exemption for nonresidential utilities

Current law exempts from 4% state sales tax the purchase of electricity, steam and water by industrial users (business utilities).

Proposed law suspends the exemptions on business utilities for 1.97% of state sales and use tax imposed by R.S. 47:321, and 331. The suspension also includes exemptions to taxes imposed by the Tourism Promotion District of 0.03%.

EXPENDITURES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

REVENUES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$209,100,000	\$213,300,000	\$217,600,000	\$222,000,000	\$226,400,000	\$1,088,400,000
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$5,200,000	\$5,300,000	\$5,400,000	\$5,500,000	\$5,600,000	\$27,000,000
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$214,300,000	\$218,600,000	\$223,000,000	\$227,500,000	\$232,000,000	\$1,115,400,000

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

The Department of Revenue data indicates that the bill will increase SGF revenue by about \$214M in FY 16 by suspending exemptions on a 2% sales tax rate on business utilities. The figures are from the Tax Exemption Budget (TEB), which increases actual FY 14 exemptions by 2% to estimate FY 16, though total sales tax remittances did not grow as fast. In addition, about \$2M was subtracted from the total to allow for vendors compensation payments (0.935% of sales tax remitted) and \$3.2M for the Tourism and Promotion District levy for a net SGF impact of about \$209M.

Business Utilities, Water and Steam	\$214,302,000	\$218,588,040	\$222,959,801	\$227,418,997	\$231,967,377
less: Tourism Promotion District Levy	(3,214,530)	(3,278,821)	(3,334,397)	(3,411,285)	(3,479,511)
Additional Vendor's Compensation	(1,943,612)	(1,982,484)	(2,022,134)	(2,062,577)	(2,103,828)
Expected Annual SGF impact (rounded)	\$209,100,000	\$213,300,000	\$217,600,000	\$222,000,000	\$226,400,000

Collections are likely to be low in the first month or two of this suspension, then ramp up as compliance improves. A material portion of what is foregone at the beginning of the fiscal year will be recouped in the accrual period at the end of the fiscal year.

- Senate Dual Referral Rules House
- 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}
- 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}
- 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
- 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 Rev. Red. to State {H & S}
- 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Gregory V. Albrecht
Gregory V. Albrecht
Chief Economist