SENATE BILL No. 296

DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

Citations Affected: IC 1-1-5.5-24; IC 4-15-10.5-12; IC 4-21.5.

Synopsis: Administrative proceedings. Provides that the office of administrative legal proceedings (OALP) is the ultimate authority for agencies subject to the jurisdiction of the OALP. Provides that a court conducting a judicial review hearing shall review questions of law and fact de novo. Makes conforming amendments.

Effective: July 1, 2025.

Garten, Baldwin, Koch, Holdman, Brown L, Freeman, Glick, Gaskill, Buck, Niemeyer, Alexander, Raatz, Charbonneau, Rogers, Bassler, Buchanan, Walker K, Donato, Doriot, Messmer, Zay, Crane, Tomes, Byrne, Johnson T, Deery, Carrasco, Maxwell

January 16, 2024, read first time and referred to Committee on Judiciary.



Second Regular Session of the 123rd General Assembly (2024)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2023 Regular Session of the General Assembly.

SENATE BILL No. 296

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning administrative law.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 1-1-5.5-24 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE
2	AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY
3	1, 2025]: Sec. 24. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), a
4	SECTION of this act does not apply to an administrative
5	proceeding or a proceeding for judicial review pending on June 30,
6	2025.
7	(b) A SECTION of this act applies to:
8	(1) an administrative proceeding or a proceeding for judicial
9	review commenced after June 30, 2025; and
0	(2) an administrative proceeding conducted after June 30,
1	2025, on remand from a court.
2	(c) After June 30, 2025, any reference to an act that an agency
3	in its capacity as the ultimate authority:
4	(1) may take;
5	(2) shall take;
6	(3) may not take; or
7	(4) shall not take;



1	shall be construed as a reference to an act that, as applicable, may,
2	shall, may not, or shall not be taken by the office of administrative
3	legal proceedings if the office of administrative legal proceedings
4	is the ultimate authority for that agency.
5	SECTION 2. IC 4-15-10.5-12, AS ADDED BY P.L.205-2019,
6	SECTION 4, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
7	JULY 1, 2025]: Sec. 12. (a) Beginning July 1, 2020, and Except as
8	provided in sections 1 and 2 of this chapter, the office has jurisdiction
9	over all administrative proceedings concerning agency administrative
10	actions under:
11	(1) IC 4-21.5; or
12	(2) any other statute that requires or allows the office to take
13	action.
14	(b) Notwithstanding anything in this chapter or any other statute to
15	the contrary:
16	(1) the office shall not be considered the ultimate authority in any
17	administrative proceeding; and
18	(2) a decision by the office in an administrative proceeding is not
19	a final agency action;
20	unless expressly designated by the agency. This subsection may not be
21	construed as preventing the rescission of an agency's delegation.
22	(b) Except as provided in subsection (c), the office is the ultimate
23	authority in any administrative proceedings under its jurisdiction.
24	Judicial review under IC 4-21.5 shall be taken directly from a final
25	decision of the office.
26	(c) The office is not the ultimate authority if:
27	(1) a particular agency or agency action is exempted under
28	Indiana law; or
29	(2) an agency is required by federal mandate, as a condition
30	of federal funding, to conduct or render a final order in an
31	adjudication.
32	SECTION 3. IC 4-21.5-1-15 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
33	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025]: Sec. 15. Subject to
34	IC 4-15-10.5-12, "ultimate authority" means:
35	(1) for an administrative proceeding under the office of
36	administrative law proceedings, the office of administrative
37	law proceedings; or
38	(2) for any other purpose, an individual or panel of individuals
39	in whom the final authority of an agency is vested by law or
40	executive order.
41	SECTION 4. IC 4-21.5-3-9, AS AMENDED BY P.L.13-2021,

SECTION 2, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE



42

JULY 1, 2025]: Sec. 9. (a) Except to the extent that a statute other than
this article limits an agency's discretion to select an administrative law
judge, the ultimate authority for an agency may:
(1) act as an administrative law judge;
(2) designate one (1) or more members of the ultimate authority
(if the ultimate authority is a panel of individuals) to act as an
administrative law judge; or
(3) before July 1, 2020, designate one (1) or more:
(A) attorneys licensed to practice law in Indiana; or
(B) persons who served as administrative law judges for a state
agency before January 1, 2014;
to act as an administrative law judge. After June 30, 2020, the
ultimate authority for an agency may request assignment of an
administrative law judge by the office of administrative law
proceedings.
A person designated under subdivision (3) is not required to be an
employee of the agency. A designation under subdivision (2) or (3)
may be made in advance of the commencement of any particular
proceeding for a generally described class of proceedings or may be
made for a particular proceeding. A general designation may provide
procedures for the assignment of designated individuals to particular
proceedings.
(b) An agency A person may not knowingly assign an individual to

- (b) An agency A person may not knowingly assign an individual to serve alone or with others as an administrative law judge who is subject to disqualification under this chapter.
- (c) If the administrative law judge assigned to the proceeding believes that the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, or believes that the judge's personal bias, prejudice, or knowledge of a disputed evidentiary fact might influence the decision, the administrative law judge shall:
 - (1) withdraw as the administrative law judge; or
 - (2) inform the parties of the potential basis for disqualification, place a brief statement of this basis on the record of the proceeding, and allow the parties an opportunity to petition for disqualification under subsection (d).
- (d) Any party to a proceeding may petition for the disqualification of an administrative law judge upon discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification under this chapter. The administrative law judge assigned to the proceeding shall determine whether to grant the petition, stating facts and reasons for the determination.
- (e) If the administrative law judge ruling on the disqualification issue is not the ultimate authority for the agency, the party petitioning



•
for disqualification may petition the ultimate authority, or, if the administrative law judge is employed or contracted with the office of administrative law proceedings, the director of the office of administrative law proceedings, in writing for review of the ruling within ten (10) days after notice of the ruling is served. The ultimate authority shall:
(1) conduct proceedings described by section 28 of this chapter;
or
(2) request that the director of the office of administrative law proceedings conduct proceedings described by section 28 of this

to review the petition and affirm, modify, or dissolve the ruling within thirty (30) days after the petition is filed. A determination by the ultimate authority or the director of the office of administrative law proceedings under this subsection is a final order subject to judicial review under IC 4-21.5-5.

- (f) If a substitute is required for an administrative law judge who is disqualified or becomes unavailable for any other reason, the substitute must be appointed in accordance with subsection (a).
- (g) Any action taken by a duly appointed substitute for a disqualified or unavailable administrative law judge is as effective as if taken by the latter.
- (h) If there is a reasonable likelihood that the ultimate authority will be called upon to:
 - (1) review; or

chapter;

- (2) issue a final order with respect to;
- a matter pending before or adjudicated by an administrative law judge, the provisions of section 11 of this chapter that apply to an administrative law judge or to a person communicating with an administrative law judge apply to a member of the ultimate authority and to a person communicating with a member of the ultimate authority.

SECTION 5. IC 4-21.5-3-27 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025]: Sec. 27. (a) If the administrative law judge is the ultimate authority for the agency, the ultimate authority's order disposing of a proceeding is a final order. If the administrative law judge is not the ultimate authority, the administrative law judge's order disposing of the proceeding becomes a final order when affirmed under section 29 of this chapter. Regardless of whether the order is final, it must comply with this section.

(b) This subsection applies only to an order not subject to subsection (c). The order must include, separately stated, findings of fact for all



aspects of the order, including the remedy prescribed and, if applicable, the action taken on a petition for stay of effectiveness. Findings of ultimate fact must be accompanied by a concise statement of the underlying basic facts of record to support the findings. The order must also include a statement of the available procedures and time limit for seeking administrative review of the order (if administrative review is available) and the procedures and time limits for seeking judicial review of the order under IC 4-21.5-5.

- (c) This subsection applies only to an order of the ultimate authority entered under IC 13, IC 14, or IC 25. The order must include separately stated findings of fact and, if a final order, conclusions of law for all aspects of the order, including the remedy prescribed and, if applicable, the action taken on a petition for stay of effectiveness. Findings of ultimate fact must be accompanied by a concise statement of the underlying basic facts of record to support the findings. Conclusions of law must consider prior final orders (other than negotiated orders) of the ultimate authority under the same or similar circumstances if those prior final orders are raised on the record in writing by a party and must state the reasons for deviations from those prior orders. The order must also include a statement of the available procedures and time limit for seeking administrative review of the order (if administrative review is available) and the procedures and time limits for seeking judicial review of the order under IC 4-21.5-5.
- (d) Findings must be based exclusively upon the evidence of record in the proceeding and on matters officially noticed in that proceeding. Findings must be based upon the kind of evidence that is substantial and reliable. The administrative law judge's experience, technical competence, and specialized knowledge may be used in evaluating evidence.
- (e) A substitute administrative law judge may issue the order under this section upon the record that was generated by a previous administrative law judge.
- (f) The administrative law judge may allow the parties a designated amount of time after conclusion of the hearing for the submission of proposed findings.
- (g) An order under this section shall be issued in writing within ninety (90) days after conclusion of the hearing or after submission of proposed findings in accordance with subsection (f), unless this period is waived or extended with the written consent of all parties or for good cause shown.
- (h) The administrative law judge shall have copies of the order under this section delivered to each party and to the ultimate authority



1	for the agency (if it is not rendered by the ultimate authority).
2	SECTION 6. IC 4-21.5-3-31 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
3	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025]: Sec. 31. (a) An agency
4	ultimate authority has jurisdiction to modify a final order under this
5	section before the earlier of the following:
6	(1) Thirty (30) days after the agency has served the final order
7	under section 27, 29, or 30 of this chapter.
8	(2) Another agency assumes jurisdiction over the final order

- (2) Another agency assumes jurisdiction over the final order under section 30 of this chapter.
- (3) A court assumes jurisdiction over the final order under IC 4-21.5-5.
- (b) A party may petition the ultimate authority for an agency for a stay of effectiveness of a final order. The ultimate authority or its designee may, before or after the order becomes effective, stay the final order in whole or in part.
- (c) A party may petition the ultimate authority for an agency for a rehearing of a final order. The ultimate authority or its designee may grant a petition for rehearing only if the petitioning party demonstrates that:
 - (1) the party is not in default under this chapter;
 - (2) newly discovered material evidence exists; and
 - (3) the evidence could not, by due diligence, have been discovered and produced at the hearing in the proceeding.

The rehearing may be limited to the issues directly affected by the newly discovered evidence. If the rehearing is conducted by a person other than the ultimate authority, section 29 of this chapter applies to review of the order resulting from the rehearing.

- (d) Clerical mistakes and other errors resulting from oversight or omission in a final order or other part of the record of a proceeding may be corrected by an ultimate authority or its designee on the motion of any party or on the motion of the ultimate authority or its designee.
- (e) An action of a petitioning party or an agency under this section neither tolls the period in which a party may object to a second agency under section 30 of this chapter nor tolls the period in which a party may petition for judicial review under IC 4-21.5-5. However, if a rehearing is granted under subsection (c), these periods are tolled and a new period begins on the date that a new final order is served.

SECTION 7. IC 4-21.5-5-11 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025]: Sec. 11. (a) Judicial review of disputed issues of fact must be confined to the agency record for the agency action supplemented by additional evidence taken under section 12 of this chapter. The court may not try the cause de novo or substitute



its judgment for that of the agency. The court shall decide al	1
questions of fact de novo based on the record developed during the	e
administrative hearing.	

(b) The court shall decide all questions of law de novo, including any interpretation of a federal or state constitutional provision, state statute, or agency rule, without deference to any previous interpretation made by the agency.

