## SENATE BILL No. 136

### DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

**Citations Affected:** IC 33-32-3-1; IC 33-40; IC 35-36; IC 35-37; IC 35-38; IC 35-50-2.

**Synopsis:** Death sentence elimination and life imprisonment. Abolishes the death penalty. Repeals the law concerning the imposition and execution of death sentences and makes conforming amendments. Specifies that if a person was sentenced to death and is awaiting execution of the death sentence, the person's death sentence is commuted to a sentence of life imprisonment without parole. Provides that when a defendant is charged with a murder for which the state seeks a sentence of life imprisonment without parole, the defendant may file a petition alleging that the defendant is an individual with mental retardation. Provides that if a defendant who is determined to be an individual with mental retardation is convicted of murder, the court may sentence the defendant only to a fixed term of imprisonment. Makes technical corrections.

**Effective:** Upon passage.

# Randolph

January 6, 2015, read first time and referred to Committee on Corrections & Criminal Law.



#### First Regular Session 119th General Assembly (2015)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2014 Regular Session and 2014 Second Regular Technical Session of the General Assembly.

## SENATE BILL No. 136

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning courts and court officers.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 33-32-3-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.78-2014,
2	SECTION 7, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
3	UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 1. (a) The clerk shall endorse the time of
4	filing on each writing required to be filed in the office of the clerk.
5	(b) The clerk shall carefully preserve in the office of the clerk all
6	records and writings pertaining to the clerk's official duties.
7	(c) The clerk shall procure, at the expense of the county, all
8	necessary judges' appearance, bar, judgment, and execution dockets,
9	order books, and final record books.
10	(d) The clerk shall enter in proper record books all orders,

- (e) Not more than fifteen (15) days after the cases are finally determined, the clerk shall enter in final record books a complete record of:
  - (1) all cases involving the title to land;

judgments, and decrees of the court.

(2) all criminal cases in which the punishment is death or



11

12

13

14

15

1 2	imprisonment, except where a nolle prosequi is entered or an
3	acquittal is had; and
4	(3) all other cases, at the request of either party and upon payment of the costs.
5	SECTION 2. IC 33-40-5-4 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
6	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 4. The commission
7	shall do the following:
8	e
9	(1) Make recommendations to the supreme court concerning standards for indigent defense services provided for defendants
9 10	
11	against whom the state has sought the death sentence under
12	IC 35-50-2-9, including the following:
13	(A) Determining indigency and eligibility for legal
13 14	representation. (D) Salastian and qualifications of atternava to represent
15	(B) Selection and qualifications of attorneys to represent
	indigent defendants at public expense.
16	(C) Determining conflicts of interest.
17	(D) Investigative, clerical, and other support services
18	necessary to provide adequate legal representation.
19	(2) (1) Adopt guidelines and standards for indigent defense
20	services under which the counties will be eligible for
21	reimbursement under IC 33-40-6, including the following:
22 23	(A) Determining indigency and the eligibility for legal
23	representation.
24	(B) The issuance and enforcement of orders requiring the
25	defendant to pay for the costs of court appointed legal
26	representation under IC 33-40-3.
27	(C) The use and expenditure of funds in the county
28	supplemental public defender services fund established under
29	IC 33-40-3-1.
30	(D) Qualifications of attorneys to represent indigent
31	defendants at public expense.
32	(E) Compensation rates for salaried, contractual, and assigned
33	counsel.
34	(F) Minimum and maximum caseloads of public defender
35	offices and contract attorneys.
36	(3) (2) Make recommendations concerning the delivery of
37	indigent defense services in Indiana.
38	(4) (3) Make an annual report to the governor, the general
39	assembly, and the supreme court on the operation of the public
40	defense fund.
41	The report to the general assembly under subdivision (4) (3) must be
42	in an electronic format under IC 5-14-6.



1	SECTION 3. IC 33-40-6-4 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
2	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 4. (a) A county
3	auditor may submit on a quarterly basis a certified request to the public
4	defender commission for reimbursement from the public defense fund
5	for an amount equal to fifty percent (50%) of the county's expenditures
6	for indigent defense services provided to a defendant against whom the
7	death sentence is sought under IC 35-50-2-9.
8	(b) (a) A county auditor may submit on a quarterly basis a certified
9	request to the public defender commission for reimbursement from the
10	public defense fund for an amount equal to forty percent (40%) of the
11	county's expenditures for indigent defense services provided in all
12	noncapital cases except misdemeanors.
13	(c) (b) A request under this section from a county described in
14	IC 33-40-7-1(3) may be limited to expenditures for indigent defense
15	services provided by a particular division of a court.
16	SECTION 4. IC 33-40-6-5 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
17	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 5. (a) Except as
18	provided under section 6 of this chapter, upon certification by a county
19	auditor and a determination by the public defender commission that the
20	request is in compliance with the guidelines and standards set by the
21	commission, the commission shall quarterly authorize an amount of
22	reimbursement due the county
23	(1) that is equal to fifty percent (50%) of the county's certified
24	expenditures for indigent defense services provided for a
25	defendant against whom the death sentence is sought under
26	<del>IC 35-50-2-9; and</del>
27	(2) that is equal to forty percent (40%) of the county's certified
28	expenditures for defense services provided in noncapital all cases
29	except misdemeanors.
30	The division of state court administration shall then certify to the
31	auditor of state the amount of reimbursement owed to a county under
32	this chapter.
33	(b) Upon receiving certification from the division of state court
34	administration, the auditor of state shall issue a warrant to the treasurer
35	of state for disbursement to the county of the amount certified.
36	SECTION 5. IC 33-40-6-6 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
37	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 6. The commission
38	shall give priority to certified claims for reimbursement in capital
39	cases. If the balance in the public defense fund is not adequate to fully
40	reimburse all certified claims in noncapital all cases, the commission
41	shall prorate reimbursement of certified claims in noncapital all cases.

SECTION 6. IC 33-40-7-11 IS AMENDED TO READ AS



42

- FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 11. (a) A county public defender board shall submit a written request for reimbursement to the county auditor. The request must set forth the total of the county's expenditures for indigent defense services to the county auditor and may be limited in a county described in section 1(3) of this chapter to expenditures for indigent defense services provided by a particular division of a court. The county auditor shall review the request and certify the total of the county's expenditures for indigent defense services to the Indiana public defender commission.
- (b) Upon certification by the Indiana public defender commission that the county's indigent defense services meet the commission's standards, the auditor of state shall issue a warrant to the treasurer of state for disbursement to the county of a sum equal to forty percent (40%) of the county's certified expenditures for indigent defense services provided in noncapital all cases except misdemeanors.
- (c) If a county's indigent defense services fail to meet the standards adopted by the Indiana public defender commission, the public defender commission shall notify the county public defender board and the county fiscal body of the failure to comply with the Indiana public defender commission's standards. Unless the county public defender board corrects the deficiencies to comply with the standards not more than ninety (90) days after the date of the notice, the county's eligibility for reimbursement from the public defense fund terminates at the close of that fiscal year.
- SECTION 7. IC 35-36-2-5, AS AMENDED BY P.L.114-2012, SECTION 75, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 5. (a) Except as provided by subsection (e), whenever a defendant is found guilty but mentally ill at the time of the crime or enters a plea to that effect that is accepted by the court, the court shall sentence the defendant in the same manner as a defendant found guilty of the offense.
- (b) Before sentencing the defendant under subsection (a), the court shall require the defendant to be evaluated by a physician licensed under IC 25-22.5 who practices psychiatric medicine, a licensed psychologist, or a community mental health center (as defined in IC 12-7-2-38). However, the court may waive this requirement if the defendant was evaluated by a physician licensed under IC 25-22.5 who practices psychiatric medicine, a licensed psychologist, or a community mental health center and the evaluation is contained in the record of the defendant's trial or plea agreement hearing.
- (c) If a defendant who is found guilty but mentally ill at the time of the crime is committed to the department of correction, the defendant



shall be further evaluated and then treated in such a manner as is
psychiatrically indicated for the defendant's mental illness. Treatment
may be provided by:

(1) the department of correction; or

- (2) the division of mental health and addiction after transfer under IC 11-10-4.
- (d) If a defendant who is found guilty but mentally ill at the time of the crime is placed on probation, the court may, in accordance with IC 35-38-2-2.3, require that the defendant undergo treatment.
- (e) As used in this subsection, "individual with mental retardation" means an individual who, before becoming twenty-two (22) years of age, manifests:
  - (1) significantly subaverage intellectual functioning; and
- (2) substantial impairment of adaptive behavior; that is documented in a court ordered evaluative report. If a court determines under IC 35-36-9 that a defendant who is charged with a murder for which the state seeks a death sentence of life imprisonment without parole is an individual with mental retardation, the court shall sentence the defendant under IC 35-50-2-3(a).
- (f) If a defendant is found guilty but mentally ill, the court shall transmit any information required by the division of state court administration to the division of state court administration for transmission to the NICS (as defined in IC 35-47-2.5-2.5) in accordance with IC 33-24-6-3.
- SECTION 8. IC 35-36-9-1 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 1. This chapter applies when a defendant is charged with a murder for which the state seeks a death sentence of life imprisonment without parole under IC 35-50-2-9.
- SECTION 9. IC 35-36-9-6, AS AMENDED BY P.L.99-2007, SECTION 205, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 6. If the court determines that the defendant is an individual with mental retardation under section 5 of this chapter, the part of the state's charging instrument filed under IC 35-50-2-9(a) that seeks a death sentence of life imprisonment without parole against the defendant shall be dismissed.
- SECTION 10. IC 35-37-1-3, AS AMENDED BY P.L.158-2013, SECTION 392, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 3. (a) In prosecutions for murder where the death penalty is sought, the defendant may challenge, peremptorily, twenty (20) jurors.
  - (b) (a) In prosecutions for murder where the death penalty is not



1	sought, and or Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4, or Level 5 felonies,
2	the defendant may challenge, peremptorily, ten (10) jurors.
3	(c) (b) In prosecutions for all other crimes, the defendant may
4	challenge, peremptorily, five (5) jurors.
5	(d) (c) When several defendants are tried together, they must join in
6	their challenges.
7	SECTION 11. IC 35-37-1-5 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
8	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 5. (a) The
9	following are good causes for challenge to any person called as a juror
10	in any criminal trial:
11	(1) That the person was a member of the grand jury that found the
12	indictment.
13	(2) That the person has formed or expressed an opinion as to the
14	guilt or innocence of the defendant. However, such an opinion is
15	subject to subsection (b).
16	(3) If the state is seeking a death sentence, that the person
17	entertains such conscientious opinions as would preclude the
18	person from recommending that the death penalty be imposed.
19	(4) (3) That the person is related within the fifth degree to the
20	person alleged to be the victim of the offense charged, to the
21	person on whose complaint the prosecution was instituted, or to
22	the defendant.
23	(5) (4) That the person has served on a trial jury which was sworn
24	in the same case against the same defendant, and which jury was
25	discharged after hearing the evidence, or rendered a verdict which
26	was set aside.
27	(6) (5) That the person served as a juror in a civil case brought
28	against the defendant for the same act.
29	(7) (6) That the person has been subpoenaed in good faith as a
30	witness in the case.
31	(8) (7) That the person is a mentally incompetent person.
32	(9) (8) That the person is an alien.
33	(10) (9) That the person has been called to sit on the jury at the
34	person's own solicitation or that of another.
35	(11) (10) That the person is biased or prejudiced for or against the
36	defendant.
37	
38	(12) (11) That the person does not have the qualifications for a
	juror prescribed by law.
39 40	(13) (12) That, from defective sight or hearing, ignorance of the
40 41	English language, or other cause, the person is unable to
41	comprehend the evidence and the instructions of the court.
42	(14) (13) That the person has a personal interest in the result of



the person has served on a jury within twelve (12) months immediately preceding the trial.  (b) If a person called as a juror states that the person has formed or expressed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, the court or the parties shall proceed to examine the juror on oath as to the grounds of the juror's opinion. If the juror's opinion appears to have been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdicing upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdicit;	1	the trial.
the person has served on a jury within twelve (12) months immediately preceding the trial.  (b) If a person called as a juror states that the person has formed or expressed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, the court or the parties shall proceed to examine the juror on oath as to the grounds of the juror's opinion. If the juror's opinion appears to have been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
immediately preceding the trial.  (b) If a person called as a juror states that the person has formed or expressed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, the court or the parties shall proceed to examine the juror on oath as to the grounds of the juror's opinion. If the juror's opinion appears to have been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdicing upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdicit;		
(b) If a person called as a juror states that the person has formed or expressed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, the court or the parties shall proceed to examine the juror on oath as to the grounds of the juror's opinion. If the juror's opinion appears to have been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications, comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
expressed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, the court or the parties shall proceed to examine the juror on oath as to the grounds of the juror's opinion. If the juror's opinion appears to have been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
court or the parties shall proceed to examine the juror on oath as to the grounds of the juror's opinion. If the juror's opinion appears to have been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdication upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
grounds of the juror's opinion. If the juror's opinion appears to have been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdication upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
been founded upon reading newspaper statements, communications, comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
comments, reports, rumors, or hearsay, and if:  (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon:  (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction;  (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or  (C) hearing a witness testify;  (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdicing upon the law and evidence; and  (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
11 (1) the juror's opinion appears not to have been founded upon: 12 (A) conversation with a witness of the transaction; 13 (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or 14 (C) hearing a witness testify; 15 (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdical upon the law and evidence; and 18 (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
(A) conversation with a witness of the transaction; (B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or (C) hearing a witness testify; (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(B) reading reports of a witness testimony; or (C) hearing a witness testify; (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
14 (C) hearing a witness testify; 15 (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and 18 (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
15 (2) the juror states on oath that the juror feels able notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and 18 (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
notwithstanding the juror's opinion, to render an impartial verdict upon the law and evidence; and (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		· · ·
upon the law and evidence; and (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
18 (3) the court is satisfied that the juror will render an impartial verdict;		
19 verdict;		•
,		•
70 the court may admit the juror as competent to serve in the case	20	the court may admit the juror as competent to serve in the case.
$\mathcal{I}$		SECTION 12. IC 35-37-5-6 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
		FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 6. (a) If a judge of
		a court of record in any other state, which by its laws has made
		provision for commanding a prisoner within that state to attend and
25 testify in this state, certifies under the seal of the court that:		
•		(1) there is a criminal prosecution pending in such court or that a
grand jury investigation has commenced;		
		(2) a person confined by the department of correction (other than
		a person awaiting execution of a sentence of death) is a material
witness in such prosecution or investigation; and		,
1 2 ,		(3) his <b>the prisoner's</b> presence is required for a specified number
32 of days;		
• /		a judge of a court with jurisdiction to try felony cases in the county
		where the person is confined, after notice to the attorney general, shall
, , ,		fix a time and place for a hearing and shall order the person having
custody of the prisoner to produce him the prisoner at the hearing.		
		(b) If at such hearing the judge determines that the prisoner is a
		material and necessary witness in the requesting state, the judge shall
		issue an order directing that the prisoner attend the court where the
E i		prosecution or investigation is pending, upon such terms and
41 conditions as the judge prescribes, including:		
3 6 1		(1) provision for the return of the prisoner at the conclusion of his



1	the prisoner's testimony;
2	(2) proper safeguards on his the prisoner's custody; and
3	(3) proper financial reimbursement or other payment by the
4	demanding jurisdiction for all expenses incurred in the production
5	and return of the prisoner.
6	(c) The attorney general is authorized to enter into agreements with
7	authorities of the demanding jurisdiction to insure ensure proper
8	compliance with the order of the court.
9	(d) If:
10	(1) a criminal action is pending in a court of record of this state by
11	reason of the filing of an indictment or affidavit or by reason of
12	the commencement of a grand jury proceeding or investigation;
13	(2) there is reasonable cause to believe that a person confined in
14	a correctional institution or prison of another state (other than a
15	person awaiting execution of a sentence of death or one confined
16	as mentally ill) possesses information material to such criminal
17	action;
18	(3) the attendance of such person as a witness in such action is
19	desired by a party; and
20	(4) the state in which such person is confined possesses a statute
21	equivalent to this section;
22	a judge of the court in which such action is pending may issue a
23	certificate certifying all such facts and that the attendance of such
24	person as a witness in such court is required for a specified number of
25	days. Such a certificate may be issued upon application of either the
26	state or defendant demonstrating all the facts specified in this section.
27	(e) Upon issuing such a certificate, the court may deliver it to a
28	court of such other state which, pursuant to the laws thereof, is
29	authorized to undertake legal action for the delivery of such prisoners
30	to this state as witnesses.
31	SECTION 13. IC 35-38-4-6, AS AMENDED BY P.L.106-2010,
32	SECTION 13, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
33	UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 6. (a) An appeal to the supreme court or to the
34	court of appeals from a judgment of conviction does not stay the
35	execution of the sentence, unless
36	(1) the punishment is to be death; or
37	(2) the judgment is for a fine and costs (including fees) only, in
38	which case the execution of the sentence may be stayed by an
39	order of the court.
40	(b) If the punishment is to be imprisonment and a fine and costs
41	(including fees), the execution of the sentence as to the fine and costs
42	(including fees) only may be stayed by the court.



1	(e) In the case of an appeal from a judgment in a capital case, the
2	order of suspension must specify the day until which the execution of
3	the sentence is stayed.
4	SECTION 14. IC 35-38-6 IS REPEALED [EFFECTIVE UPON
5	PASSAGE]. (Execution of Death Sentence).
6	SECTION 15. IC 35-50-2-3, AS AMENDED BY P.L.99-2007,
7	SECTION 212, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS
8 9	[EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 3. (a) A person who commits
10	murder shall be imprisoned for a fixed term of between forty-five (45)
	and sixty-five (65) years, with the advisory sentence being fifty-five
11 12	(55) years. In addition, the person may be fined not more than ten
	thousand dollars (\$10,000).
13	(b) Notwithstanding subsection (a), a person who was
14	(1) at least eighteen (18) sixteen (16) years of age at the time the
15	murder was committed may be sentenced to
16	(A) death; or
17	(B) life imprisonment without parole and
18	(2) at least sixteen (16) years of age but less than eighteen (18)
19	years of age at the time the murder was committed may be
20	sentenced to life imprisonment without parole;
21 22	under section 9 of this chapter unless a court determines under
23	IC 35-36-9 that the person is an individual with mental retardation.
	SECTION 16. IC 35-50-2-3.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA
24 25	CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS
	[EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 3.5. If a person:
26 27	(1) was sentenced to death under Indiana law before the
28	effective date of this section; and
29	(2) is awaiting execution of the death sentence on the effective date of this section;
30	the person's death sentence shall be commuted to a sentence of life
31	imprisonment without parole.
32	SECTION 17. IC 35-50-2-9, AS AMENDED BY P.L.168-2014,
33	SECTION 119, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS
34	[EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 9. (a) The state may seek either
35	a death sentence or a sentence of life imprisonment without parole for
36	murder by alleging, on a page separate from the rest of the charging
37	instrument, the existence of at least one (1) of the aggravating
38	circumstances listed in subsection (b). In the sentencing hearing after
	(-)

a person is convicted of murder, the state must prove beyond a

reasonable doubt the existence of at least one (1) of the aggravating

circumstances alleged. However, the state may not proceed against a defendant under this section if a court determines at a pretrial hearing



39

40

41

42

1	under IC 35-36-9 that the defendant is an individual with mental
2	retardation.
3	(b) The aggravating circumstances are as follows:
4	(1) The defendant committed the murder by intentionally killing
5	the victim while committing or attempting to commit any of the
6	following:
7	(A) Arson (IC 35-43-1-1).
8	(B) Burglary (IC 35-43-2-1).
9	(C) Child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3).
10	(D) Criminal deviate conduct (IC 35-42-4-2) (before its
11	repeal).
12	(E) Kidnapping (IC 35-42-3-2).
13	(F) Rape (IC 35-42-4-1).
14	(G) Robbery (IC 35-42-5-1).
15	(H) Carjacking (IC 35-42-5-2) (before its repeal).
16	(I) Criminal gang activity (IC 35-45-9-3).
17	(J) Dealing in cocaine or a narcotic drug (IC 35-48-4-1).
18	(K) Criminal confinement (IC 35-42-3-3).
19	(2) The defendant committed the murder by the unlawful
20	detonation of an explosive with intent to injure a person or
21	damage property.
22 23 24	(3) The defendant committed the murder by lying in wait.
23	(4) The defendant who committed the murder was hired to kill.
24	(5) The defendant committed the murder by hiring another person
25	to kill.
26 27	(6) The victim of the murder was a corrections employee,
27	probation officer, parole officer, community corrections worker,
28	home detention officer, fireman, firefighter, judge, or law
29	enforcement officer, and either:
30	(A) the victim was acting in the course of duty; or
31	(B) the murder was motivated by an act the victim performed
32	while acting in the course of duty.
33	(7) The defendant has been convicted of another murder.
34	(8) The defendant has committed another murder, at any time,
35	regardless of whether the defendant has been convicted of that
36	other murder.
37	(9) The defendant was:
38	(A) under the custody of the department of correction;
39	(B) under the custody of a county sheriff;
40	(C) on probation after receiving a sentence for the commission
41	of a felony; or
42	(D) on parole;



1	at the time the murder was committed.
2	(10) The defendant dismembered the victim.
3	(11) The defendant burned, mutilated, or tortured the victim while
4	the victim was alive.
5	(12) The victim of the murder was less than twelve (12) years of
6	age.
7	(13) The victim was a victim of any of the following offenses for
8	which the defendant was convicted:
9	(A) Battery committed before July 1, 2014, as a Class D felony
10	or as a Class C felony under IC 35-42-2-1 or battery
11	committed after June 30, 2014, as a Level 6 felony, a Level 5
12	felony, a Level 4 felony, or a Level 3 felony.
13	(B) Kidnapping (IC 35-42-3-2).
14	(C) Criminal confinement (IC 35-42-3-3).
15	(D) A sex crime under IC 35-42-4.
16	(14) The victim of the murder was listed by the state or known by
17	the defendant to be a witness against the defendant and the
18	defendant committed the murder with the intent to prevent the
19	person from testifying.
20	(15) The defendant committed the murder by intentionally
21	discharging a firearm (as defined in IC 35-47-1-5):
22	(A) into an inhabited dwelling; or
23	(B) from a vehicle.
24	(16) The victim of the murder was pregnant and the murder
25	resulted in the intentional killing of a fetus that has attained
26	viability (as defined in IC 16-18-2-365).
27	(c) The mitigating circumstances that may be considered under this
28	section are as follows:
29	(1) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal
30	conduct.
31	(2) The defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or
32	emotional disturbance when the murder was committed.
33	(3) The victim was a participant in or consented to the defendant's
34	conduct.
35	(4) The defendant was an accomplice in a murder committed by
36	another person, and the defendant's participation was relatively
37	minor.
38	(5) The defendant acted under the substantial domination of
39	another person.
40	(6) The defendant's capacity to appreciate the criminality of the
41	defendant's conduct or to conform that conduct to the
42	requirements of law was substantially impaired as a result of



1	mental disease or defect or of intoxication.
2	(7) The defendant was less than eighteen (18) years of age at the
3	time the murder was committed.
4	(8) Any other circumstances appropriate for consideration.
5	(d) If the defendant was convicted of murder in a jury trial, the jury
6	shall reconvene for the sentencing hearing. If the trial was to the court,
7	or the judgment was entered on a guilty plea, the court alone shall
8	conduct the sentencing hearing. The jury or the court may consider all
9	the evidence introduced at the trial stage of the proceedings, together
10	with new evidence presented at the sentencing hearing. The court shall
11	instruct the jury concerning the statutory penalties for murder and any
12	other offenses for which the defendant was convicted, the potential for
13	consecutive or concurrent sentencing, and the availability of good time
14	credit and clemency. The court shall instruct the jury that, in order for
15	the jury to recommend to the court that the death penalty or life
16	imprisonment without parole should be imposed, the jury must find at
17	least one (1) aggravating circumstance beyond a reasonable doubt as
18	described in subsection (1) (h) and shall provide a special verdict form
19	for each aggravating circumstance alleged. The defendant may present
20	any additional evidence relevant to:
21	(1) the aggravating circumstances alleged; or
22	(2) any of the mitigating circumstances listed in subsection (c).
23	(e) For a defendant sentenced after June 30, 2002, except as
24	provided by IC 35-36-9, if the hearing is by jury, the jury shall
25	recommend to the court whether the death penalty or life imprisonment
26	without parole or neither, should be imposed. The jury may recommend
27	(1) the death penalty; or
28	(2) life imprisonment without parole
29	only if it makes the findings described in subsection (1). (h). If the jury
30	reaches a sentencing recommendation, the court shall sentence the
31	defendant accordingly. After a court pronounces sentence, a
32	representative of the victim's family and friends may present a
33	statement regarding the impact of the crime on family and friends. The
34	impact statement may be submitted in writing or given orally by the
35	representative. The statement shall be given in the presence of the
36	defendant.
37	(f) If a jury is unable to agree on a sentence recommendation after
38	reasonable deliberations, the court shall discharge the jury and proceed
39	as if the hearing had been to the court alone.
40	(g) If the hearing is to the court alone, except as provided by
41	IC 35-36-9, the court shall

(1) sentence the defendant to death; or



1	(2) impose a term of life imprisonment without parole
2	only if it makes the findings described in subsection (1). (h).
3	(h) If a court sentences a defendant to death, the court shall order
4	the defendant's execution to be carried out not later than one (1) year
5	and one (1) day after the date the defendant was convicted. The
6	supreme court has exclusive jurisdiction to stay the execution of a
7	death sentence. If the supreme court stays the execution of a death
8	sentence, the supreme court shall order a new date for the defendant's
9	execution.
10	(i) If a person sentenced to death by a court files a petition for
11	post-conviction relief, the court, not later than ninety (90) days after the
12	date the petition is filed, shall set a date to hold a hearing to consider
13	the petition. If a court does not, within the ninety (90) day period, set
14	the date to hold the hearing to consider the petition, the court's failure
15	to set the hearing date is not a basis for additional post-conviction
16	relief. The attorney general shall answer the petition for post-conviction
17	relief on behalf of the state. At the request of the attorney general, a
18	prosecuting attorney shall assist the attorney general. The court shall
19	enter written findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning the
20	petition not later than ninety (90) days after the date the hearing
21	concludes. However, if the court determines that the petition is without
22	merit, the court may dismiss the petition within ninety (90) days
23	without conducting a hearing under this subsection.
24	(j) A death sentence is subject to automatic review by the supreme
25	court. The review, which shall be heard under rules adopted by the
26	supreme court, shall be given priority over all other cases. The supreme
27	court's review must take into consideration all claims that the:
28	(1) conviction or sentence was in violation of the:
29	(A) Constitution of the State of Indiana; or
30	(B) Constitution of the United States;
31	(2) sentencing court was without jurisdiction to impose a
32	sentence; and
33	(3) sentence:
34	(A) exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by law; or
35	(B) is otherwise erroneous.
36	If the supreme court cannot complete its review by the date set by the
37	sentencing court for the defendant's execution under subsection (h), the
38	supreme court shall stay the execution of the death sentence and set a
39	new date to carry out the defendant's execution.
40	(k) A person who has been sentenced to death and who has
41	completed state post-conviction review proceedings may file a written
42	petition with the supreme court seeking to present new evidence



challenging the person's guilt or the appropriateness of the death
sentence if the person serves notice on the attorney general. The
supreme court shall determine, with or without a hearing, whether the
person has presented previously undiscovered evidence that
undermines confidence in the conviction or the death sentence. If
necessary, the supreme court may remand the case to the trial court for
an evidentiary hearing to consider the new evidence and its effect on
the person's conviction and death sentence. The supreme court may not
make a determination in the person's favor nor make a decision to
remand the case to the trial court for an evidentiary hearing without
first providing the attorney general with an opportunity to be heard on
the matter

- (1) (h) Before a sentence may be imposed under this section, the jury, in a proceeding under subsection (e), or the court, in a proceeding under subsection (g), must find that:
  - (1) the state has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one (1) of the aggravating circumstances listed in subsection (b) exists; and
  - (2) any mitigating circumstances that exist are outweighed by the aggravating circumstance or circumstances.

SECTION 18. An emergency is declared for this act.

