# 

January 31, 2014

## **SENATE BILL No. 88**

DIGEST OF SB 88 (Updated January 30, 2014 9:04 am - DI 110)

Citations Affected: IC 35-36.

Synopsis: Mental health witnesses in criminal cases. Specifies who may be appointed as a mental health expert in a criminal case.

Effective: July 1, 2014.

# Alting

January 7, 2014, read first time and referred to Committee on Rules and Legislative Procedure.

January 14, 2014, amended; reassigned to Committee on Judiciary. January 23, 2014, reassigned to Committee on Public Policy. January 30, 2014, amended, reported favorably — Do Pass.



SB 88-LS 6151/DI 13

January 31, 2014

#### Second Regular Session 118th General Assembly (2014)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2013 Regular Session and 2013 First Regular Technical Session of the General Assembly.

# **SENATE BILL No. 88**

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

SECTION 1. IC 35-36-2-2 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 2. (a) At the trial of a
criminal case in which the defendant intends to interpose the defense
of insanity, evidence may be introduced to prove the defendant's sanity
or insanity at the time at which the defendant is alleged to have
committed the offense charged in the indictment or information.
(b) When notice of an incentive defense is filed in a case in which

(b) When notice of an insanity defense is filed in a case in which the state is not seeking a sentence of death or life imprisonment without parole, the court shall appoint two (2) or three (3) competent disinterested:

- (1) psychiatrists;
- 12 (2) psychologists endorsed by the state psychology board ashealth service providers in psychology; or
- 14 (3) physicians;
- 15 who have expertise in determining insanity.
- 16 At least one (1) of whom the individuals appointed under this

SB 88-LS 6151/DI 13



8

9

10

11

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

**subsection** must be a psychiatrist to or psychologist. The individuals appointed under this subsection shall examine the defendant and to testify at the trial. This testimony shall follow the presentation of the evidence for the prosecution and for the defense, including the testimony of any medical mental health experts employed by the state or by the defense.

7 (c) When notice of an insanity defense is filed in a case in which
8 the state is seeking a sentence of death or life imprisonment
9 without parole, the court shall appoint two (2) or three (3)
10 competent disinterested:

(1) psychiatrists;

(2) psychologists endorsed by the state psychology board as health service providers in psychology; or

(3) physicians;

1

2

3

4

5

6

11 12

13

14

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

who have expertise in determining insanity. Not more than one (1) individual appointed under this subsection may be a physician who is not a psychiatrist or psychologist. The individuals appointed under this subsection shall examine the defendant and testify at the trial. This testimony must follow the presentation of the evidence for the prosecution and for the defense, including the testimony of any mental health experts employed by the state or by the defense.

(c) (d) If a defendant does not adequately communicate, participate, and cooperate with the medical mental health witnesses appointed by the court after being ordered to do so by the court, the defendant may not present as evidence the testimony of any other medical mental health witness:

(1) with whom the defendant adequately communicated, participated, and cooperated; and

(2) whose opinion is based upon examinations of the defendant; unless the defendant shows by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant's failure to communicate, participate, or cooperate with the medical mental health witnesses appointed by the court was caused by the defendant's mental illness.

(d) (e) The medical mental health witnesses appointed by the court may be cross-examined by both the prosecution and the defense, and each side may introduce evidence in rebuttal to the testimony of such a medical mental health witness.



SB 88-LS 6151/DI 13

#### COMMITTEE REPORT

Madam President: The Senate Committee on Rules and Legislative Procedure, to which was referred Senate Bill No. 88, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the Senate with the recommendation that said bill be AMENDED as follows:

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

#### (SEE TEXT OF BILL)

and when so amended that said bill be reassigned to the Senate Committee on Judiciary.

(Reference is to SB 88 as introduced.)

LONG, Chairperson

### Report of the President Pro Tempore

Madam President: Pursuant to Senate Rule 68(b), I hereby report that Senate Bill 88, currently assigned to the Committee on Judiciary, be reassigned to the Committee on Public Policy.

LONG

### COMMITTEE REPORT

Madam President: The Senate Committee on Public Policy, to which was referred Senate Bill No. 88, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the Senate with the recommendation that said bill be AMENDED as follows:

Page 1, line 14, delete "." and insert ";

### who have expertise in determining insanity.".

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 88 as printed January 15, 2014.)

ALTING, Chairperson

Committee Vote: Yeas 9, Nays 0.

SB 88-LS 6151/DI 13

