## SENATE BILL No. 87

## DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

**Citations Affected:** IC 31-9-2-67.5; IC 31-14; IC 31-17.

**Synopsis:** Physical custody and parenting time. Adds a rebuttable presumption in child custody proceedings that: (1) joint physical custody is in the best interests of the child; and (2) equal parenting time is in the best interests of the child. Provides that the default joint physical custody or parenting time schedule is to alternate weekly physical custody of the child, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.

Effective: July 1, 2019.

## Ford Jon

January 3, 2019, read first time and referred to Committee on Judiciary.



First Regular Session of the 121st General Assembly (2019)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2018 Regular and Special Session of the General Assembly.

## SENATE BILL No. 87

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning family law and juvenile law.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 31-9-2-67.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE
2	AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY
3	1, 2019]: Sec. 67.5. "Joint physical custody", for purposes of
4	IC 31-14 and IC 31-17, means that each parent or custodian has
5	equal, or nearly equal, periods of physical custody of the child.
6	SECTION 2. IC 31-14-13-2 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
7	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2019]: Sec. 2. (a) The court shall
8	determine custody in accordance with the best interests of the child. In
9	determining the child's best interests, there is not a presumption
10	favoring either parent, but there is a rebuttable presumption that
11	joint physical custody is in the best interests of the child. The court
12	shall consider all relevant factors, including the following:
13	(1) The age and sex of the child.
14	(2) The wishes of the child's parents.
15	(3) The wishes of the child, with more consideration given to the
16	child's wishes if the child is at least fourteen (14) years of age.
17	(4) The interaction and interrelationship of the child with:



1	(A) the child's parents;
2	(A) the child's siblings; and
3	(C) any other person who may significantly affect the child's
4	best interest.
5	(5) The child's adjustment to home, school, and community.
6	(6) The mental and physical health of all individuals involved.
7	(7) Evidence of a pattern of domestic or family violence by either
8	parent.
9	(8) Evidence that the child has been cared for by a de facto
10	custodian, and if the evidence is sufficient, the court shall
11	consider the factors described in section 2.5(b) of this chapter.
12	(b) If the court enters an order for joint physical custody, the
13	parents shall alternate weekly physical custody of the child, unless
14	the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the
15	court.
16	SECTION 3. IC 31-14-14-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.13-2016,
17	SECTION 8, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
18	JULY 1, 2019]: Sec. 1. (a) A noncustodial parent is entitled to
19	reasonable parenting time rights unless the court finds, after a hearing,
20	that parenting time might:
21	
22	(1) endanger the child's physical health and well-being; or
	(2) significantly impair the child's emotional development.
23 24	(b) The court may interview the child in chambers to assist the court
25	in determining the child's perception of whether parenting time by the
26	noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health or
27	significantly impair the child's emotional development.
	(c) In a hearing under subsection (a), there is a rebuttable
28	presumption that a person who has been convicted of:
29	(1) child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3); or
30	(2) child exploitation (IC 35-42-4-4(b) or IC 35-42-4-4(c));
31	might endanger the child's physical health and well-being or
32	significantly impair the child's emotional development.
33	(d) If a court grants parenting time rights to a person who has been
34	convicted of:
35	(1) child molesting (IC 35-42-4-3); or
36	(2) child exploitation (IC 35-42-4-4(b) or IC 35-42-4-4(c));
37	there is a rebuttable presumption that the parenting time with the child
38	must be supervised.
39	(e) The court may permit counsel to be present at the interview. If
40	counsel is present:
41	(1) a record may be made of the interview; and
42	(2) the interview may be made part of the record for purposes of



possible.  (g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.	1	appeal.
and well-being or significantly impair the child's emotiona development as described in subsection (a), there is a rebuttable presumption that it is in the best interests of the child for each parent to have parenting time in amounts as near to equal as possible.  (g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
development as described in subsection (a), there is a rebuttable presumption that it is in the best interests of the child for each parent to have parenting time in amounts as near to equal as possible.  (g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.		
presumption that it is in the best interests of the child for each parent to have parenting time in amounts as near to equal as possible.  (g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.		9 9 <b>.</b> .
parent to have parenting time in amounts as near to equal as possible.  (g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.		•
possible.  (g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.		presumption that it is in the best interests of the child for each
9 (g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.		parent to have parenting time in amounts as near to equal as
alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.		•
alternative schedule that is approved by the court.	9	(g) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10		alternative schedule that is approved by the court.
12 SECTION 4. IC 31-17-2-8, AS AMENDED BY P.L.194-2017	12	SECTION 4. IC 31-17-2-8, AS AMENDED BY P.L.194-2017,
13 SECTION 14, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE	13	SECTION 14, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
JULY 1, 2019]: Sec. 8. (a) The court shall determine custody and ente	14	JULY 1,2019]: Sec. 8. (a) The court shall determine custody and enter
a custody order in accordance with the best interests of the child. In	15	a custody order in accordance with the best interests of the child. In
determining the best interests of the child, there is no presumption	16	determining the best interests of the child, there is no presumption
favoring either parent, but there is a rebuttable presumption tha	17	favoring either parent, but there is a rebuttable presumption that
joint physical custody is in the best interests of the child. The cour	18	joint physical custody is in the best interests of the child. The court
shall consider all relevant factors, including the following:	19	7 - 7
20 (1) The age and sex of the child.	20	
21 (2) The wishes of the child's parent or parents.	21	· · ·
	22	(3) The wishes of the child, with more consideration given to the
child's wishes if the child is at least fourteen (14) years of age.		
24 (4) The interaction and interrelationship of the child with:	24	· · · · · ·
25 (A) the child's parent or parents;	25	•
26 (B) the child's sibling; and	26	
		(C) any other person who may significantly affect the child's
best interests.	28	
29 (5) The child's adjustment to the child's:		
30 (A) home;		· ·
31 (B) school; and		
32 (C) community.		
33 (6) The mental and physical health of all individuals involved.		` '
1 2		(7) Evidence of a pattern of domestic or family violence by either
35 parent.		
1		(8) Evidence that the child has been cared for by a de facto
		custodian, and if the evidence is sufficient, the court shall
consider the factors described in section 8.5(b) of this chapter.		
39 (9) A designation in a power of attorney of:		
40 (A) the child's parent; or		
41 (B) a person found to be a de facto custodian of the child.		* *
( ) I		(b) If the court enters an order for joint physical custody, the



parents shall alternate physical custody of the child weekly, unless
the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the
court.

SECTION 5. IC 31-17-4-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.68-2005, SECTION 45, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2019]: Sec. 1. (a) A parent not granted custody of the child is entitled to reasonable parenting time rights unless the court finds, after a hearing, that parenting time by the noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health or significantly impair the child's emotional development.

- (b) The court may interview the child in chambers to assist the court in determining the child's perception of whether parenting time by the noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health or significantly impair the child's emotional development.
- (c) The court may permit counsel to be present at the interview. If counsel is present:
  - (1) a record may be made of the interview; and
  - (2) the interview may be made part of the record for purposes of appeal.
- (d) If the court does not make a finding that parenting time by the noncustodial parent might endanger the child's physical health or significantly impair the child's emotional development as described in subsection (a), there is a rebuttable presumption that it is in the best interests of the child for each parent to have parenting time in amounts as near to equal as possible.
- (e) The default parenting time schedule is for parenting time to alternate weekly between the parents, unless the parents submit an alternative schedule that is approved by the court.

