Introduced Version

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION No.

DIGEST OF INTRODUCED RESOLUTION

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION opposing assisted medical suicide.

Ledbetter, Genda, Aylesworth, Barrett, Carbaugh, Cash, Greene, Heaton, Lauer, McGuire, Morris, Patterson, Snow, Sweet, Teshka

_____, read first time and referred to Committee on



20242230

Second Regular Session of the 123rd General Assembly (2024)

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION No.

1 A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION opposing assisted 2 medical suicide.

3 Whereas, Indiana has an unqualified interest in the 4 preservation of human life, and the state's prohibition on 5 assisted suicide, like all homicide laws, both reflects and 6 advances its commitment to this;

Whereas, Neither the Constitution of the State of Indiana nor
the Constitution of the United States contains a right to assisted
suicide and, thus, no individual has the right to authorize
another to kill him or her in violation of federal and state
criminal laws;

Whereas, Suicide is not a typical reaction to an acute problem or life circumstance, and many individuals who contemplate suicide, including the terminally ill, suffer from treatable mental disorders, most commonly clinical depression, which frequently goes undiagnosed and untreated by health care providers;

18 Whereas, In Oregon, 46 percent of patients seeking assisted 19 suicide changed their minds when their health care providers 20 intervened and appropriately addressed suicidal ideations by 21 treating their pain, depression, and/or other medical problems;

22 Whereas, Palliative care continues to improve and is nearly 23 always successful in relieving pain and allowing a person to die 24 naturally, comfortably, and in a dignified manner without a 25 change in the law;



2024

Whereas, The experiences in Oregon and the Netherlands
 explicitly demonstrate that palliative care options deteriorate
 with the legalization of assisted medical suicide;

Whereas, Indiana rejects abuses of palliative care through
futility care protocols as well as the use of terminal sedation
without life-sustaining care as seen in the Liverpool Care
Pathway;

8 Whereas, A health care provider's recommendation for 9 assisted medical suicide relies on the health care provider's 10 judgment, which can include prejudices and negative 11 perceptions, that a patient's life is not worth living, ultimately 12 contributing to the use of futility care protocols and 13 euthanasia;

Whereas, Indiana rejects the sliding-scale approach, which
asserts certain qualities of life are not worthy of equal legal
protections;

Whereas, The legalization of assisted medical suicide sends
a message that suicide is a socially acceptable response to
aging, terminal illnesses, disabilities, and depression and
subsequently imposes a duty to die;

Whereas, The medical profession as a whole opposes assisted
medical suicide because it is contrary to the medical
profession's role as healer and undermines the patient-provider
relationship;

25 Whereas, Assisted suicide is significantly less expensive than 26 other care options, and Oregon's experience demonstrates that 27 cost constraints can create financial incentives to limit care and 28 offer assisted medical suicide;

Whereas, As evidenced in Oregon, the private nature of end-of-life decisions makes it virtually impossible to police a health care provider's behavior to prevent abuses, making any number of safeguards insufficient; and

33 Whereas, A prohibition on assisted suicide, specifically 34 assisted medical suicide, is the only way to protect vulnerable 35 citizens from coerced suicide and euthanasia: Therefore,



2024

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly strongly opposes and condemns assisted medical suicide because the Indiana General Assembly has an unqualified interest in the preservation of human life.

8 SECTION 2. That the Indiana General Assembly strongly 9 opposes and condemns assisted medical suicide because 10 anything less than a prohibition leads to foreseeable abuses and 11 eventually to euthanasia by devaluing human life, particularly 12 the lives of the terminally ill, elderly, disabled, and depressed 13 whose lives are of no less value or quality than any other citizen 14 of this state.

SECTION 3. That the Indiana General Assembly strongly opposes and condemns assisted medical suicide even for terminally ill, mentally competent adults because assisted suicide undermines efforts to prevent the self-destructive act of suicide and hinders progress in effective health care provider interventions, including diagnosing and treating depression, managing pain, and providing palliative and hospice care.

SECTION 4. That the Indiana General Assembly strongly opposes and condemns assisted medical suicide because assisted suicide undermines the integrity and ethics of the medical profession, subverts a health care provider's role as healer, and compromises the patient-provider relationship. For these reasons and others, the medical community summarily rejects it.

SECTION 5. That the Principal Clerk of the House of
Representatives shall transmit copies of this resolution to the
Governor, the Indiana Department of Health, the Indiana
Medical Association, and the Indiana Department of Nursing.

