HOUSE BILL No. 1284

DIGEST OF INTRODUCED BILL

Citations Affected: IC 34-30-31; IC 35-41-3-2.

Synopsis: Self-defense and the defense of others. Provides immunity for a justified use of force in certain instances. Requires a trial court to conduct a pretrial hearing concerning the application of the immunity when the defendant raises the justified use of force immunity as a defense. Requires a claimant or plaintiff to prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that a claim or action is not prohibited by the justified use of force immunity. Requires a court to dismiss a prohibited claim or action. Requires a court to award, in certain instances, reasonable attorney's fees and costs to a defendant when the justified use of force immunity is successfully raised. Makes conforming amendments.

Effective: July 1, 2019.

Lucas

January 10, 2019, read first time and referred to Committee on Judiciary.



2019

First Regular Session of the 121st General Assembly (2019)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2018 Regular and Special Session of the General Assembly.

HOUSE BILL No. 1284

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning civil procedure.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 34-30-31 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE
2	AS A NEW CHAPTER TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
3	JULY 1, 2019]:
4	Chapter 31. Immunity for Justified Use of Force
5	Sec. 1. (a) The justified use of force described under
6	IC 35-41-3-2 provides a complete immunity against any claim or
7	action initiated by a person who alleges to have been injured or
8	damaged by any such use of force.
9	(b) In no case shall any use of force justified under IC 35-41-3-2
10	give rise to any claim or action for damages or compensation
11	against a person, employer, or estate of a person using such force
12	by or on behalf of any person who:
13	(1) was attempting to commit, committing, or escaping after
14	the commission of a felony at the time such force was used; or
15	(2) was attempting to cause, causing, or escaping after causing
16	unlawful serious bodily injury to any other person at the time
17	such force was used.



This prohibition applies to any claim or action brought by the estate, personal representative, spouse, or family member of a person described in subdivision (1) or (2).

- (c) If a person raises the immunity set forth in subsection (a) as an affirmative defense, the trial court shall conduct an evidentiary hearing prior to trial to determine whether an action is prohibited by subsection (b). The burden of proof shall be upon the claimant to prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that the action is not prohibited by subsection (b). In any action commenced prior to July 1, 2019, the trial court shall freely grant a defendant leave to amend the defendant's answer to include the immunity defined by subsection (a) as an affirmative defense prior to trial.
- (d) If the court finds that a party has commenced a prohibited action and the action was commenced before, on, or after July 1, 2019, the finding constitutes conclusive evidence that the action is groundless, and the court shall dismiss the action with prejudice. If the court finds that the dismissed action was commenced or maintained after June 30, 2019, the court shall award to the defendant any reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in defending the action after June 30, 2019.

SECTION 2. IC 35-41-3-2, AS AMENDED BY P.L.13-2013, SECTION 139, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2019]: Sec. 2. (a) In enacting this section, the general assembly finds and declares that it is the policy of this state to recognize the unique character of a citizen's home and to ensure that a citizen feels secure in his or her own home against unlawful intrusion by another individual or a public servant. By reaffirming the long standing right of a citizen to protect his or her home against unlawful intrusion, however, the general assembly does not intend to diminish in any way the other robust self defense rights that citizens of this state have always enjoyed. Accordingly, the general assembly also finds and declares that it is the policy of this state that people have a right to defend themselves and third parties from physical harm and crime and that no person in this state shall be placed in legal jeopardy of any kind whatsoever for protecting the person or a third person by reasonable means necessary. The purpose of this section is to provide the citizens of this state with a lawful means of carrying out this policy. Provisions concerning civil immunity for the justified use of force as defined in this section are codified under IC 34-30-31.

- (b) As used in this section, "public servant" means a person described in IC 35-31.5-2-129 or IC 35-31.5-2-185.
 - (c) A person is justified in using reasonable force against any other



1	person to protect the person or a third person from what the person
2	reasonably believes to be the imminent use of unlawful force
3	However, a person:
4	(1) is justified in using deadly force; and
5	(2) does not have a duty to retreat;
6	if the person reasonably believes that that force is necessary to preven
7	serious bodily injury to the person or a third person or the commission
8	of a forcible felony. No person in this state shall be placed in legal
9	jeopardy of any kind whatsoever for protecting the person or a third
10	person by reasonable means necessary.
11	(d) A person:
12	(1) is justified in using reasonable force, including deadly force
13	against any other person; and
14	(2) does not have a duty to retreat;
15	if the person reasonably believes that the force is necessary to preven
16	or terminate the other person's unlawful entry of or attack on the
17	person's dwelling, curtilage, or occupied motor vehicle.
18	(e) With respect to property other than a dwelling, curtilage, or ar
19	occupied motor vehicle, a person is justified in using reasonable force
20	against any other person if the person reasonably believes that the force
21	is necessary to immediately prevent or terminate the other person's
22	trespass on or criminal interference with property lawfully in the
23	person's possession, lawfully in possession of a member of the person's
24	immediate family, or belonging to a person whose property the persor
25	has authority to protect. However, a person:
26	(1) is justified in using deadly force; and
27	(2) does not have a duty to retreat;
28	only if that force is justified under subsection (c).
29	(f) A person is justified in using reasonable force, including deadly
30	force, against any other person and does not have a duty to retreat if the
31	person reasonably believes that the force is necessary to prevent or stop
32	the other person from hijacking, attempting to hijack, or otherwise
33	seizing or attempting to seize unlawful control of an aircraft in flight
34	For purposes of this subsection, an aircraft is considered to be in fligh
35	while the aircraft is:
36	(1) on the ground in Indiana:
37	(A) after the doors of the aircraft are closed for takeoff; and
38	(B) until the aircraft takes off;
39	(2) in the airspace above Indiana; or
40	(3) on the ground in Indiana:
41	(A) after the aircraft lands; and
42	(B) before the doors of the aircraft are opened after landing.
. —	(2) series and access of the uncertain are opened after full diffe.



1	(g) Notwithstanding subsections (c) through (e), a person is not
2	justified in using force if:
3	(1) the person is committing or is escaping after the commission
4	of a crime;
5	(2) the person provokes unlawful action by another person with
6	intent to cause bodily injury to the other person; or
7	(3) the person has entered into combat with another person or is
8	the initial aggressor unless the person withdraws from the
9	encounter and communicates to the other person the intent to do
10	so and the other person nevertheless continues or threatens to
11	continue unlawful action.
12	(h) Notwithstanding subsection (f), a person is not justified in using
13	force if the person:
14	(1) is committing, or is escaping after the commission of, a crime;
15	(2) provokes unlawful action by another person, with intent to
16	cause bodily injury to the other person; or
17	(3) continues to combat another person after the other person
18	withdraws from the encounter and communicates the other
19	person's intent to stop hijacking, attempting to hijack, or
20	otherwise seizing or attempting to seize unlawful control of an
21	aircraft in flight.
22	(i) A person is justified in using reasonable force against a public
23	servant if the person reasonably believes the force is necessary to:
24	(1) protect the person or a third person from what the person
25	reasonably believes to be the imminent use of unlawful force;
26	(2) prevent or terminate the public servant's unlawful entry of or
27	attack on the person's dwelling, curtilage, or occupied motor
28	vehicle; or
29	(3) prevent or terminate the public servant's unlawful trespass on
30	or criminal interference with property lawfully in the person's
31	possession, lawfully in possession of a member of the person's
32	immediate family, or belonging to a person whose property the
33	person has authority to protect.
34	(j) Notwithstanding subsection (i), a person is not justified in using
35	force against a public servant if:
36	(1) the person is committing or is escaping after the commission
37	of a crime;
38	(2) the person provokes action by the public servant with intent to
39	cause bodily injury to the public servant;
40	(3) the person has entered into combat with the public servant or
41	is the initial aggressor, unless the person withdraws from the



42

2019

encounter and communicates to the public servant the intent to do

1	so and the public servant nevertheless continues or threatens to
2	continue unlawful action; or
3	(4) the person reasonably believes the public servant is:
4	(A) acting lawfully; or
5	(B) engaged in the lawful execution of the public servant's
6	official duties.
7	(k) A person is not justified in using deadly force against a public
8	servant whom the person knows or reasonably should know is a public
9	servant unless:
10	(1) the person reasonably believes that the public servant is:
11	(A) acting unlawfully; or
12	(B) not engaged in the execution of the public servant's official
13	duties; and
14	(2) the force is reasonably necessary to prevent serious bodily
15	injury to the person or a third person

