First Regular Session Seventy-first General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

INTRODUCED

LLS NO. 17-0525.01 Brita Darling x2241

SENATE BILL 17-200

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Priola, Hill, Martinez Humenik, Neville T.

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

(None),

Senate Committees

Education

House Committees

	A BILL FOR AN ACT
101	CONCERNING RAISING ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE IN THE PUBLIC
102	SCHOOLS BY DISTRIBUTING UP TO ONE PERCENT OF PUBLIC
103	SCHOOL FUNDING BASED ON A COMPETITIVE DETERMINATION OF
104	ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE AMONG PEER SCHOOL DISTRICTS
105	COMMENCING IN THE 2018-19 BUDGET YEAR.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill creates the "Rewarding Excellence With Annual Redirected Dollars Act" or "REWARD Act" (program) to award

excellence funding to school districts that achieve a level of success in student performance above that of their peer districts. The bill defines "school district" to include school districts and the state charter school institute (institute).

The bill directs the department of education (department) to annually identify peer school districts by categorizing all school districts as either non-rural or rural, as defined in the bill, and then group the school districts within those categories based on demographically similar student populations. Once peer school districts are identified, the department shall compare those school districts to determine which school districts outperform their peer school districts using objective measures of student performance. The bill includes eligibility criteria for participation in the program.

The department shall annually award excellence funding to the top 10 highest-performing non-rural school districts and to the top 10 highest-performing rural school districts, for a total of 20 districts.

The program is funded by redirecting 1% of statewide total program funding, after application of the negative factor, for the applicable budget year. The department shall reduce the state's share of total program funding for the applicable budget year by the amount of excellence funding, proportionately reducing each school district's state share of total program funding.

The department shall distribute 35% of the excellence funding to the top 20 highest-performing school districts in equal shares, and the remaining 65% of excellence funding to those school districts on a per-pupil basis. School districts, including the institute, shall transfer to a district charter school or an institute charter school 100% of the charter school's per-pupil allocation of the total amount of excellence funding received by the school district or the institute.

The program is implemented beginning in the 2018-19 budget year, and excellence funding is based on the school districts' student performance in the budget year immediately preceding the applicable budget year.

The department shall reduce the amount of excellence funding by the department's reasonable and necessary direct costs incurred in implementing the program.

- 1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
- 2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, **add** 22-54-139 as
- 3 follows:
- 4 **22-54-139.** Excellence funding rewarding excellence program

-2- SB17-200

1	- creation - fund - short title - legislative declaration - definitions.
2	(1) THE SHORT TITLE OF THIS SECTION IS THE "REWARDING EXCELLENCE
3	WITH ANNUAL REDIRECTED DOLLARS ACT" OR "REWARD ACT".
4	(2) (a) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS THAT:
5	$(I)\ A\ \text{Highly effective education system is the cornerstone}$
6	OF SOCIETY. IF COLORADO'S STUDENTS DO NOT ACHIEVE THEIR FULL
7	POTENTIAL IN THE STATE'S ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
8	SYSTEM, COLORADO'S LONG-TERM ECONOMY AND QUALITY OF LIFE WILL
9	DECLINE.
10	(II) MANY OF COLORADO'S STUDENTS ARE NOT MEETING THEIR
11	FULL POTENTIAL. COLORADO'S STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT IS
12	GENERALLY MEDIOCRE. ACCORDING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,
13	IN 2016ONLY THIRTY PERCENT OF FIFTH-GRADE STUDENTS AND NINETEEN
14	PERCENT OF EIGHTH-GRADE STUDENTS MET THE STATE STANDARDS FOR
15	MATH PROFICIENCY. IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS, ONLY FORTY PERCENT
16	OF FIFTH-GRADE AND EIGHTH-GRADE STUDENTS MET STATE PROFICIENCY
17	STANDARDS.
18	(III) IN ADDITION, DESPITE INVESTING OVER ONE HUNDRED
19	THOUSAND DOLLARS OF LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL MONEY PER
20	STUDENT DURING A STUDENT'S PUBLIC SCHOOL EDUCATION, TWO-THIRDS
21	OF HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS GRADUATE BELOW TWELFTH-GRADE
22	PROFICIENCY LEVELS. FURTHER, ONLY TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT OF
23	COLORADO'S STUDENTS MEET COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS
24	BENCHMARKS WHEN THEY LEAVE HIGH SCHOOL.
25	(IV) NATIONALLY, COLORADO DOES NOT FARE MUCH BETTER,
26	HAVING THE SECOND-HIGHEST ACHIEVEMENT GAP BETWEEN WHITE AND
27	HISPANIC STUDENTS IN FOURTH-GRADE MATH PROFICIENCY, AND, AT

-3- SB17-200

1	SEVENTY-SEVEN PERCENT, A HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE THAT IS
2	BELOW THE NATIONAL AVERAGE GRADUATION RATE OF EIGHTY-THREE
3	PERCENT IN 2015.
4	(V) MOREOVER, AS A NATION, WE ARE NOT LIVING UP TO OUR
5	POTENTIAL. IN 2015, OUT OF SEVENTY-THREE COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING
6	IN INTERNATIONAL TESTING, THE UNITED STATES RANKED FORTIETH IN
7	MATH, TWENTY-FIFTH IN SCIENCE, AND TWENTY-FOURTH IN READING.
8	(VI) WHILE THERE IS ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT, SCHOOL FUNDING
9	LEVELS IN COLORADO DO NOT ACCOUNT FOR MEDIOCRE RESULTS.
10	COLORADO'S MEDIAN SCHOOL FUNDING, INCLUDING STATE, LOCAL, AND
11	FEDERAL SPENDING, IS MORE THAN \$10,200 PER PUPIL, AND MANY SCHOOL
12	DISTRICTS ARE ACHIEVING ABOVE-AVERAGE RESULTS WHILE FACING THE
13	SAME FUNDING CONSTRAINTS AS OTHER SCHOOL DISTRICTS;
14	(VII) THE TWENTY-FIRST-CENTURY WORLD REQUIRES A
15	KNOWLEDGE-BASED ECONOMY AND A WORLD-CLASS, EFFECTIVE STATE
16	EDUCATION SYSTEM THAT COMPETES TO SUCCEED;
17	(VIII) COLORADO MUST BREAK THE MOLD AND EMPLOY CREATIVE
18	METHODS OF CLOSING THE ACHIEVEMENT GAP WITHIN EXISTING FUNDING;
19	AND
20	(IX) COMPETITION IS GOOD IN A MARKET ECONOMY. FROM THE
21	BUSINESS WORLD TO THE SPORTS WORLD, COMPETITION LIFTS THE
22	PERFORMANCE OF ALL INVOLVED AND CAN LIFT THE ACADEMIC
23	PERFORMANCE OF ALL CHILDREN AND ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS.
24	(b) THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY DECLARES THAT
25	INCENTIVIZING EXCELLENCE THROUGH STATE FUNDING FOR PUBLIC
26	SCHOOLS ALLOWS THE STATE TO REWARD THOSE SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT
27	ARE BREAKING THE MOLD AND STRIVING TO ACHIEVE EXCELLENCE

-4- SB17-200

2	(3) AS USED IN THIS SECTION, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE
3	REQUIRES:
4	(a) "Eligible district" means a district or the state
5	CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE THAT MEETS THE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS
6	SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (5) OF THIS SECTION.
7	(b) "RURAL DISTRICT" MEANS A SCHOOL DISTRICT THAT THE
8	DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IDENTIFIES AS RURAL BASED ON THE
9	GEOGRAPHIC SIZE OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE DISTANCE OF THE
10	SCHOOL DISTRICT FROM THE NEAREST LARGE, URBANIZED AREA.
11	(4) (a) The department of education shall create and
12	IMPLEMENT THE REWARDING EXCELLENCE PROGRAM TO AWARD
13	EXCELLENCE FUNDING TO ELIGIBLE DISTRICTS THAT ACHIEVE A LEVEL OF
14	SUCCESS IN STUDENT PERFORMANCE ABOVE THAT OF THEIR PEER
15	DISTRICTS. TO IDENTIFY EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE, THE DEPARTMENT OF
16	EDUCATION, IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY PANEL
17	CREATED IN SECTION 22-11-202, SHALL:
18	(I) Annually identify peer districts by categorizing
19	ELIGIBLE DISTRICTS AS EITHER RURAL OR NON-RURAL, AND, WITHIN THOSE
20	TWO CATEGORIES, GROUPING DISTRICTS THAT HAVE DEMOGRAPHICALLY
21	SIMILAR STUDENT POPULATIONS, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT, AT A MINIMUM,
22	THE DISTRICT PERCENTAGE OF AT-RISK PUPILS; AND
23	(II) COMPARE ELIGIBLE DISTRICTS WITHIN SIMILAR DEMOGRAPHIC
24	GROUPS USING OBJECTIVE MEASURES OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE BASED
25	ON DATA FROM STATE ASSESSMENTS, INCLUDING TENTH- AND
26	ELEVENTH-GRADE ASSESSMENTS, ADMINISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION
27	22-7-1006.3 DURING THE BUDGET YEAR IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE

1

BEYOND THEIR PEERS.

-5- SB17-200

2	DEPARTMENT SHALL ANNUALLY DETERMINE THE OBJECTIVE MEASURES OF
3	STUDENT PERFORMANCE, WHICH MEASURES MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT
4	LIMITED TO THE PERFORMANCE MEASURES DESCRIBED IN SECTION
5	22-11-204.
6	(b) The districts that receive excellence funding in a
7	BUDGET YEAR ARE THE TEN HIGHEST-PERFORMING ELIGIBLE DISTRICTS
8	COMPARED TO THEIR DEMOGRAPHIC PEERS IN EACH CATEGORY, RURAL
9	AND NON-RURAL, FOR A TOTAL OF TWENTY DISTRICTS ANNUALLY.
10	(5) TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR EXCELLENCE FUNDING, A DISTRICT MUST:
11	(a) HOLD ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ACCREDITATION RATINGS
12	PURSUANT TO SECTION 22-11-207:
13	(I) ACCREDITED WITH DISTINCTION;
14	(II) Accredited;
15	(III) ACCREDITED WITH IMPROVEMENT PLAN;
16	(IV) ACCREDITED WITH PRIORITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN; OR
17	(V) ACCREDITED WITH TURNAROUND PLAN; AND
18	(b) HAVE AT LEAST A NINETY-FIVE PERCENT STUDENT
19	PARTICIPATION RATE ON THE STATE ASSESSMENTS, INCLUDING THE TENTH-
20	AND ELEVENTH-GRADE ASSESSMENTS, ADMINISTERED PURSUANT TO
21	SECTION 22-7-1006.3 DURING THE BUDGET YEAR IMMEDIATELY
22	PRECEDING THE BUDGET YEAR FOR WHICH EXCELLENCE FUNDING IS
23	AWARDED.
24	(6) (a) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (7) OF THIS SECTION,
25	BEGINNING WITH THE 2018-19 BUDGET YEAR, AND EACH BUDGET YEAR
26	THEREAFTER, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL AWARD TO THE TWENTY
27	HIGHEST-PERFORMING ELIGIBLE DISTRICTS IDENTIFIED PURSUANT TO

BUDGET YEAR FOR WHICH EXCELLENCE FUNDING IS AWARDED. THE

1

-6- SB17-200

1	SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS SECTION THE AMOUNT OF EXCELLENCE FUNDING
2	CALCULATED PURSUANT TO SECTION $22-54-104$ (7) FOR THE APPLICABLE
3	BUDGET YEAR, AS FOLLOWS:
4	(I) THIRTY-FIVE PERCENT DISTRIBUTED IN EQUAL SHARES TO EACH
5	IDENTIFIED ELIGIBLE DISTRICT; AND
6	(II) SIXTY-FIVE PERCENT DISTRIBUTED ON A PER-PUPIL BASIS TO
7	EACH IDENTIFIED ELIGIBLE DISTRICT BASED ON THE DISTRICT'S FUNDED
8	PUPIL COUNT.
9	(b) EACH DISTRICT, INCLUDING THE STATE CHARTER SCHOOL
10	INSTITUTE, SHALL DISTRIBUTE TO EACH DISTRICT CHARTER SCHOOL OR
11	EACH INSTITUTE CHARTER SCHOOL ONE HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE
12	DISTRICT CHARTER SCHOOL'S OR INSTITUTE CHARTER SCHOOL'S PER-PUPIL
13	ALLOCATION OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF EXCELLENCE FUNDING RECEIVED
14	BY THE DISTRICT OR THE STATE CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE PURSUANT TO
15	THIS SECTION.
16	(7) The department shall reduce the amount of
17	EXCELLENCE FUNDING AWARDED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS
18	SECTION BY THE AMOUNT OF THE DEPARTMENT'S REASONABLE AND
19	NECESSARY DIRECT COSTS INCURRED IN IMPLEMENTING THE REWARDING
20	EXCELLENCE PROGRAM CREATED IN THIS SECTION.
21	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 22-54-104, add (7)
22	as follows:
23	22-54-104. District total program - definitions. (7) FOR THE
24	2018-19 BUDGET YEAR AND EACH BUDGET YEAR THEREAFTER, THE
25	DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF THE STATE'S
26	SHARE OF STATEWIDE TOTAL PROGRAM FUNDING FOR THE APPLICABLE
27	BUDGET YEAR BY AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO ONE PERCENT OF THE ACTUAL

-7- SB17-200

1	STATEWIDE TOTAL PROGRAM FUNDING, AS DEFINED IN SUBSECTION
2	(5)(g)(VII)(A) of this section, for the applicable budget year. The
3	DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL DISTRIBUTE THE REDUCTION AMOUNT
4	AS EXCELLENCE FUNDING TO THE HIGHEST-PERFORMING DISTRICTS
5	THROUGH THE REWARDING EXCELLENCE PROGRAM CREATED IN SECTION
6	22-54-139.
7	(b) THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SHALL PROPORTIONATELY
8	REDUCE THE STATE'S SHARE OF EACH DISTRICT'S TOTAL PROGRAM
9	FUNDING FOR THE APPLICABLE BUDGET YEAR TO REFLECT THE AMOUNT OF
10	THE STATE'S SHARE OF TOTAL PROGRAM FUNDING REMAINING AFTER THE
11	APPLICATION OF THIS SUBSECTION (7).
12	SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 22-54-106, amend
13	(1)(b)(I); and add (1)(b)(III) as follows:
14	22-54-106. Local and state shares of district total program.
15	(1) (b) (I) Except as provided in subsections (11) and (12) SUBSECTIONS
16	(1)(b)(III), (11), AND (12) of this section, the state's share of a district's
17	total program is the difference between the district's total program and the
18	district's share of its total program.
19	(III) FOR THE 2018-19 BUDGET YEAR AND EACH BUDGET YEAR
20	THEREAFTER, THE STATE'S SHARE OF A DISTRICT'S TOTAL PROGRAM IS
21	REDUCED AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 22-54-104 (7).
22	SECTION 4. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act
23	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the
24	ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August
25	9, 2017, if adjournment sine die is on May 10, 2017); except that, if a
26	referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the
27	state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act

-8- SB17-200

- within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take effect
- 2 unless approved by the people at the general election to be held in
- November 2018 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the
- 4 official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.

-9- SB17-200