## First Regular Session Seventy-first General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

# ENGROSSED

This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted on Second Reading in the House of Introduction HOUSE BILL 17-1303

LLS NO. 17-0591.03 Jane Ritter x4342

## **HOUSE SPONSORSHIP**

Wist and Lee, Van Winkle

## SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Gardner and Kagan, Cooke

House Committees Judiciary Appropriations **Senate Committees** 

# A BILL FOR AN ACT

### 101 CONCERNING THE JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM, AND,

102 IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

### **Bill Summary**

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <u>http://leg.colorado.gov</u>.)

The bill addresses issues related to the state commission on judicial performance and the various district commissions on judicial performance (state commission, district commissions, or collectively all commissions), including:

Procedures and duties common to all commissions are combined in a more user-friendly fashion;

HOUSE Amended 2nd Reading May 2, 2017

- ! The current membership of all commissions is left in place as-is until February 1, 2019, at which time all commission members must be reappointed. The structure for appointing members to all commissions is streamlined and, if an original appointing authority fails to fill a vacancy within 45 days, the governor shall make the new appointment.
- ! Duties of all commissions are streamlined, and both the state and multiple district commissions may work collaboratively to develop uniform judicial evaluation procedures and techniques, systemwide judicial training programs, and guidelines and procedures for the continuous collection of data for use in the judicial evaluation process;
- ! The state commission is tasked with developing surveys to provide to persons who are affected by justices and judges and to develop guidelines and procedures to make such surveys readily available to those persons. The state commission shall develop guidelines and procedures to provide attorneys, pro se litigants, and clients with accessible and timely opportunities to review the surveys.
- ! The bill adds senior, retired judges who have returned to temporary judicial duties per contract with the judicial department, as allowed by statute, to the list of judges that commissions are to evaluate;
- ! Judicial performance evaluation criteria is retained, as is the requirement for all commissions to perform election-retention-year evaluations as well as initial and interim evaluations. Narratives and recommendations stemming from such evaluations are still required, and the option to develop an individual improvement plan for a judge who receives a "does not meet performance standard" recommendation is authorized.
- ! The state commission is required to gather and maintain statewide data and post a report of the data on its website at least 30 days prior to each retention election; and
- ! Beginning in January 2019, and every 2 years thereafter, the judicial department shall include a summary of the commissions' activities in the department's "State Measurement for Accountable, Responsive, and Transparent (SMART) Government Act" presentation to the joint judicial committee.

2

SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, repeal and reenact,

<sup>1</sup> Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

1	with amendments, article 5.5 of title 13 as follows:
2	ARTICLE 5.5
3	<b>Commissions on Judicial Performance</b>
4	13-5.5-101. Legislative declaration. (1) IT IS THE INTENT OF THE
5	GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO PROVIDE:
6	(a) A COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL
7	PERFORMANCE;
8	(b) Information to the people of Colorado regarding the
9	PERFORMANCE OF JUDGES, JUSTICES, AND SENIOR JUDGES THROUGHOUT
10	THE STATE; AND
11	(c) TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR JUDGES, JUSTICES,
12	AND SENIOR JUDGES THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF COLORADO.
13	(2) THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS AND DECLARES
14	THAT IT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND IS A MATTER OF STATEWIDE
15	CONCERN TO:
16	(a) PROVIDE JUDGES, JUSTICES, AND SENIOR JUDGES WITH USEFUL
17	INFORMATION CONCERNING THEIR OWN PERFORMANCES, ALONG WITH
18	TRAINING RESOURCES TO IMPROVE JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE AS
19	NECESSARY;
20	(b) ESTABLISH A COMPREHENSIVE SYSTEM OF EVALUATING
21	JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE TO PROVIDE PERSONS VOTING ON THE RETENTION
22	OF JUDGES, JUSTICES, AND SENIOR JUDGES WITH FAIR, RESPONSIBLE, AND
23	CONSTRUCTIVE INFORMATION ABOUT INDIVIDUAL JUDICIAL
24	PERFORMANCE;
25	(c) ESTABLISH AN INDEPENDENT OFFICE ON JUDICIAL
26	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION WITH FULL AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT THE

27 PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE 5.5; AND

-3-

(d) CONDUCT STATEWIDE JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS,
 AS WELL AS JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS WITHIN EACH JUDICIAL
 DISTRICT, USING UNIFORM CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO THE
 PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE 5.5.

5 13-5.5-102. Definitions. As used in this article 5.5, unless
6 THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES:

7 (1) "ATTORNEY" MEANS A PERSON ADMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW
8 BEFORE THE COURTS OF THIS STATE.

9 (2) "Commission" means both the state and district 10 Commissions on judicial performance, established in section 11 13-5.5-104, unless the usage otherwise specifies the state 12 Commission or a district commission.

13 (3) "COMMISSIONER" MEANS AN APPOINTED MEMBER OF THE
14 STATE COMMISSION OR ONE OF THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONS ON JUDICIAL
15 PERFORMANCE ESTABLISHED IN SECTION 13-5.5-104.

16 (4) "DEPARTMENT" MEANS THE STATE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

17 (5) "EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR" MEANS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
18 THE OFFICE ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CREATED IN SECTION
19 13-5.5-103.

20 (6) "FUND" MEANS THE STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
21 PERFORMANCE CASH FUND, CREATED IN SECTION 13-5.5-115.

(7) "IMPROVEMENT PLAN" MEANS AN INDIVIDUAL JUDICIAL
IMPROVEMENT PLAN DEVELOPED AND IMPLEMENTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 13-5.5-110.

(8) "INTERIM EVALUATION" MEANS AN INTERIM EVALUATION
CONDUCTED BY A COMMISSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-109 DURING
A FULL TERM OF OFFICE OF A JUSTICE OR JUDGE.

-4-

(9) "JUDGE" INCLUDES ALL ACTIVE AND SENIOR JUDGES, AS
 DEFINED IN SUBSECTION (12) OF THIS SECTION.

3 (10) "JUSTICE" MEANS A JUSTICE SERVING ON THE SUPREME COURT
4 OF COLORADO.

5 (11) "OFFICE" MEANS THE OFFICE ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE
6 EVALUATION CREATED IN SECTION 13-5.5-103.

7 (12) "RETENTION YEAR EVALUATION" MEANS A JUDICIAL
8 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CONDUCTED BY A COMMISSION PURSUANT TO
9 SECTION 13-5.5-108 OF A JUSTICE OR JUDGE WHOSE TERM IS TO EXPIRE
10 AND WHO MUST STAND FOR RETENTION ELECTION.

11 (13) "SENIOR JUDGE" MEANS A RETIRED JUDGE WHO HAS
12 RETURNED TO TEMPORARY JUDICIAL DUTIES PURSUANT TO SECTION
13 24-51-1105.

(14) "VOLUNTEER COURTROOM OBSERVER PROGRAM" MEANS A
SYSTEMWIDE PROGRAM COMPRISED OF VOLUNTEERS WHO PROVIDE
COURTROOM OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR USE BY STATE AND DISTRICT
COMMISSIONS IN JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS. THE STATE
COMMISSION SHALL DEVELOP RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES FOR
THE VOLUNTEER COURTROOM OBSERVER PROGRAM PURSUANT TO SECTION
13-5.5-105 (2)(i).

13-5.5-103. Office on judicial performance evaluation executive director - duties - oversight. (1) THE OFFICE ON JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION IS ESTABLISHED IN THE JUDICIAL
DEPARTMENT. THE STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE,
ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-104, SHALL OVERSEE THE
OFFICE.

27 (2) THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL APPOINT AN EXECUTIVE

-5-

1 DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SERVES AT THE 2 PLEASURE OF THE STATE COMMISSION. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S 3 COMPENSATION IS THE SAME AS THAT WHICH THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY 4 ESTABLISHES FOR A JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT. THE STATE 5 COMMISSION SHALL NOT REDUCE THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S 6 COMPENSATION DURING THE TIME THAT HE OR SHE SERVES AS EXECUTIVE 7 DIRECTOR. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHALL HIRE ADDITIONAL STAFF FOR 8 THE OFFICE AS NECESSARY AND AS APPROVED BY THE STATE COMMISSION. 9 (3) SUBJECT TO THE STATE COMMISSION'S SUPERVISION, THE 10 OFFICE SHALL: 11 (a) STAFF THE STATE AND DISTRICT COMMISSIONS WHEN DIRECTED 12 TO DO SO BY THE STATE COMMISSION; 13 (b) TRAIN STATE AND DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS AS NEEDED AND 14 REQUESTED; 15 (c) COLLECT AND DISSEMINATE DATA ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE 16 EVALUATIONS, INCLUDING JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE SURVEYS DEVELOPED, 17 COLLECTED, AND DISTRIBUTED, PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-105 (2); 18 (d) CONDUCT PUBLIC EDUCATION EFFORTS CONCERNING THE 19 JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROCESS AND THE 20 RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY THE STATE AND DISTRICT COMMISSIONS; 21 (e) MEASURE PUBLIC AWARENESS OF THE JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE 22 EVALUATION PROCESS THROUGH REGULAR POLLING; AND 23 (f) COMPLETE ANY OTHER DUTIES AS ASSIGNED BY THE STATE 24 COMMISSION 25 (4) OFFICE EXPENSES ARE PAID FOR FROM THE STATE COMMISSION 26 ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE CASH FUND CREATED PURSUANT TO SECTION 27 13-5.5-114.

-6-

1	13-5.5-104. State commission on judicial performance -
2	district commissions on judicial performance - established -
3	membership - terms - immunity - conflicts - repeal. (1) THE STATE
4	COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE IS ESTABLISHED, AND A DISTRICT
5	COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE IS ESTABLISHED IN EACH
6	JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE. IN APPOINTING THE MEMBERSHIP OF
7	EACH COMMISSION, THE APPOINTING ENTITIES MUST, TO THE EXTENT
8	PRACTICABLE, INCLUDE PERSONS FROM THROUGHOUT THE STATE OR
9	JUDICIAL DISTRICT AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES AND TAKE INTO
10	CONSIDERATION RACE, GENDER, AND THE ETHNIC DIVERSITY OF THE STATE
11	OR DISTRICT. JUSTICES AND JUDGES ACTIVELY PERFORMING JUDICIAL
12	DUTIES MAY NOT BE APPOINTED TO SERVE ON A COMMISSION. FORMER
13	JUSTICES AND JUDGES ARE ELIGIBLE TO BE APPOINTED AS ATTORNEY
14	COMMISSIONERS; EXCEPT THAT A FORMER JUSTICE OR JUDGE MAY NOT BE
15	ASSIGNED OR APPOINTED TO PERFORM JUDICIAL DUTIES WHILE SERVING ON
16	A COMMISSION.
17	(2)(a) EACH COMMISSION CONSISTS OF TEN MEMBERS, APPOINTED
18	AS FOLLOWS:
19	(I) THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES SHALL
20	APPOINT ONE ATTORNEY AND ONE NONATTORNEY;
21	(II) The president of the senate shall appoint one attorney
22	AND ONE NONATTORNEY;
23	(III) THE GOVERNOR SHALL APPOINT ONE ATTORNEY AND TWO
24	NONATTORNEYS; AND
25	(IV) THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT SHALL APPOINT
26	ONE ATTORNEY AND TWO NONATTORNEYS.
27	(b) (I) THE TERMS OF COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED PRIOR TO THE

1 EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS SECTION SHALL CONTINUE THROUGH JANUARY 2 31, 2019. 3 (II) NOTWITHSTANDING THE TERM FOR WHICH THE COMMISSIONER 4 WAS APPOINTED, THE GOVERNOR'S ATTORNEY APPOINTMENT TO A 5 DISTRICT COMMISSION MADE PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (2)(a)(III) OF THIS 6 SECTION AND THE CHIEF JUSTICE'S TWO NONATTORNEY APPOINTMENTS TO 7 A DISTRICT COMMISSION MADE PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (2)(a)(IV) OF 8 THIS SECTION EXPIRE ON JANUARY 31, 2019. 9 (III) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED FOR IN SUBSECTION (2)(b)(II) OF THIS 10 SECTION, THE TERM OF A COMMISSIONER APPOINTED PRIOR TO JANUARY 11 31, 2019, SHALL CONTINUE AFTER THIS SUBSECTION (2) IS REPEALED UNTIL 12 SUCH TIME AS THE COMMISSIONER'S TERM WAS ORIGINALLY SET TO 13 EXPIRE. (c) THIS SUBSECTION (2) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 31, 14 15 2019. 16 (3) (a) THE STATE COMMISSION CONSISTS OF ELEVEN MEMBERS, 17 APPOINTED ON OR BEFORE MARCH 1, 2019, AS FOLLOWS: 18 (I) THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES SHALL 19 APPOINT ONE ATTORNEY AND ONE NONATTORNEY; 20 (II) THE MINORITY LEADER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 21 SHALL APPOINT ONE NONATTORNEY; 22 (III) THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE SHALL APPOINT ONE 23 ATTORNEY AND ONE NONATTORNEY; 24 (IV) THE MINORITY LEADER OF THE SENATE SHALL APPOINT ONE 25 NONATTORNEY; 26 (V) THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT SHALL APPOINT

TWO ATTORNEYS; AND

1	(VI) THE GOVERNOR SHALL APPOINT TWO NONATTORNEYS AND
2	ONE ATTORNEY.
3	(b) THE TERMS OF STATE COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED PRIOR TO
4	JANUARY 31, 2019, SHALL CONTINUE UNTIL SUCH TIME AS HIS OR HER
5	TERM WAS ORIGINALLY SET TO EXPIRE; EXCEPT THAT THE TERM OF THE
6	NONATTORNEY APPOINTED BY THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
7	PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION $(2)(a)(IV)$ of this section expires on
8	JANUARY 31, 2019.
9	(c) This subsection (3) becomes effective February 1, 2019.
10	(4) (a) EACH DISTRICT COMMISSION CONSISTS OF TEN MEMBERS,
11	APPOINTED ON OR BEFORE MARCH 1, 2019, AS FOLLOWS:
12	(I) THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES SHALL
13	APPOINT ONE ATTORNEY AND ONE NONATTORNEY;
14	(II) The president of the senate shall appoint one attorney
15	AND ONE NONATTORNEY;
16	(III) THE MINORITY LEADER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
17	SHALL APPOINT ONE NONATTORNEY;
18	(IV) The minority leader of the senate shall appoint one
19	NONATTORNEY;
20	(V) THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT SHALL APPOINT
21	TWO ATTORNEYS; AND
22	(VI) THE GOVERNOR SHALL APPOINT TWO NONATTORNEYS.
23	(b) THE TERMS OF DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED PRIOR TO
24	January 31, 2019, shall continue until such time as his or her
25	TERM WAS ORIGINALLY SET TO EXPIRE; EXCEPT THAT THE FOLLOWING
26	COMMISSIONERS' TERMS EXPIRE ON JANUARY 31, 2019:
27	(I) The nonattorney appointed by the chief justice of the

SUPREME COURT PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (2)(a)(IV) OF THIS SECTION;
 AND

3 (II) THE ATTORNEY APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR PURSUANT TO
4 SUBSECTION (2)(a)(III) OF THIS SECTION.

(c) THIS SUBSECTION (4) BECOMES EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1, 2019.
(5) (a) THE TERM FOR A COMMISSIONER IS FOUR YEARS AND
EXPIRES ON NOVEMBER 30 OF AN ODD-NUMBERED YEAR. THE TERM OF A
COMMISSIONER APPOINTED TO REPLACE A MEMBER AT THE END OF THE
COMMISSIONER'S TERM BEGINS ON DECEMBER 1 OF THE SAME YEAR.

10 THE ORIGINAL APPOINTING AUTHORITY SHALL FILL ANY (b) 11 VACANCY ON A COMMISSION, BUT A COMMISSIONER SHALL NOT SERVE 12 MORE THAN TWO FULL TERMS INCLUDING ANY BALANCE REMAINING ON AN 13 UNEXPIRED TERM IF THE INITIAL APPOINTMENT WAS TO FILL A VACANCY. 14 WITHIN FIVE DAYS AFTER A VACANCY ARISES ON A COMMISSION, THE 15 COMMISSION WITH THE VACANCY SHALL NOTIFY THE ORIGINAL 16 APPOINTING AUTHORITY OF THE VACANCY. THE ORIGINAL APPOINTING 17 AUTHORITY SHALL MAKE AN APPOINTMENT WITHIN FORTY-FIVE DAYS 18 AFTER THE DATE OF THE VACANCY. IF THE ORIGINAL APPOINTING 19 AUTHORITY FAILS TO MAKE THE APPOINTMENT WITHIN FORTY-FIVE DAYS 20 AFTER THE DATE OF THE VACANCY, THE GOVERNOR SHALL MAKE THE 21 APPOINTMENT.

(c) THE APPOINTING AUTHORITY MAY REMOVE A COMMISSIONER
WHOM HE OR SHE APPOINTED FOR CAUSE.

24 (6) EACH COMMISSION SHALL ELECT A CHAIR EVERY TWO YEARS
25 BY A VOTE OF THE MEMBERSHIP.

26 (7) STATE AND DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE

27 STATE OR A DISTRICT COMMISSION ARE IMMUNE FROM SUIT IN ANY

ACTION, CIVIL OR CRIMINAL, BASED UPON OFFICIAL ACTS PERFORMED IN
 GOOD FAITH AS COMMISSIONERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE STATE OR A
 DISTRICT COMMISSION.

4 (8) A COMMISSIONER SHALL RECUSE HIMSELF OR HERSELF FROM
5 AN EVALUATION OF THE PERSON WHO APPOINTED THE COMMISSIONER TO
6 THE COMMISSION.

7

8 **13-5.5-105.** Powers and duties of the state and district 9 **commissions - rules.** (1) IN ADDITION TO ANY OTHER POWERS 10 CONFERRED OR DUTIES ASSIGNED UPON THE SEPARATE COMMISSIONS BY 11 THIS ARTICLE 5.5, ALL COMMISSIONS HAVE THE FOLLOWING POWERS AND 12 DUTIES:

13 (a) TO REVIEW ANY AVAILABLE CASE MANAGEMENT DATA AND
14 STATISTICS PROVIDED BY THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR, THE STATE
15 COMMISSION, AND DISTRICT COMMISSIONS RELATED TO INDIVIDUAL
16 JUSTICES AND JUDGES;

17 (b) TO REVIEW WRITTEN JUDICIAL OPINIONS AND ORDERS
18 AUTHORIZED BY JUSTICES AND JUDGES UNDER THE COMMISSION'S
19 OVERSIGHT;

20 (c) TO COLLECT INFORMATION FROM COURTROOM OBSERVATION
21 BY COMMISSIONERS OF JUSTICES AND JUDGES, AS WELL AS INFORMATION
22 PROVIDED TO THE COMMISSIONS BY THE VOLUNTEER COURTROOM
23 OBSERVER PROGRAM;

24 (d) TO INTERVIEW JUSTICES AND JUDGES UNDER THE COMMISSION'S
25 OVERSIGHT AND TO ACCEPT INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTATION FROM
26 INTERESTED PERSONS AS NECESSARY, INCLUDING JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE
27 SURVEYS;

(e) TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS AND PREPARE NARRATIVES THAT
 REFLECT THE RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS OF JUSTICES AND
 JUDGES; AND

4 (f) AT AN INDIVIDUAL COMMISSION'S DISCRETION AFTER IT 5 COMPLETES AN INTERIM EVALUATION OF A JUSTICE OR JUDGE PURSUANT 6 TO SECTION 13-5.5-109, TO RECOMMEND THAT THE CHIEF JUSTICE OR 7 APPROPRIATE CHIEF JUDGE DEVELOP AN INDIVIDUAL JUDICIAL 8 IMPROVEMENT PLAN PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-110;

9 (2) IN ADDITION TO OTHER POWERS CONFERRED AND DUTIES 10 IMPOSED UPON THE STATE COMMISSION BY THIS ARTICLE 5.5 AND SECTION 11 13-5.5-106, THE STATE COMMISSION HAS THE FOLLOWING POWERS AND 12 DUTIES:

13 (a) TO APPOINT AND SUPERVISE THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
14 OFFICE ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION;

(b) TO ASSIST THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR IN MANAGING THE OFFICE
AND PROVIDING FISCAL OVERSIGHT OF THE OFFICE'S OPERATING BUDGET;
(c) TO REVIEW DATA, PREPARE NARRATIVES, AND MAKE
RECOMMENDATIONS RELATED TO INDIVIDUAL SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
AND JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTIONS
13-5.5-108 AND 13-5.5-109;

(d) (I) TO DEVELOP SURVEYS FOR PERSONS AFFECTED BY JUSTICES
AND JUDGES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ATTORNEYS; JURORS;
REPRESENTED AND UNREPRESENTED LITIGANTS; LAW ENFORCEMENT
PERSONNEL; ATTORNEYS WITHIN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEYS' AND PUBLIC
DEFENDERS' OFFICES; EMPLOYEES OF THE COURT; COURT INTERPRETERS;
EMPLOYEES OF PROBATION OFFICES; EMPLOYEES OF LOCAL DEPARTMENTS
OF SOCIAL SERVICES; AND VICTIMS OF CRIMES, AS DEFINED IN SECTION

1 24-4.1-302 (5);

2 (II) TO DEVELOP RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES TO MAKE
3 THE RESULTS OF SURVEYS DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION
4 (2)(d) READILY AVAILABLE TO ALL PARTIES SET FORTH IN SUBSECTION
5 (2)(d)(I) OF THIS SECTION;

6 (III) TO DEVELOP RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES TO
7 PROVIDE ATTORNEYS, PRO SE LITIGANTS, AND CLIENTS WITH ACCESSIBLE
8 AND TIMELY OPPORTUNITIES TO REVIEW THE SURVEYS DEVELOPED
9 PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (2)(d); AND

(IV) TO DEVELOP RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES TO MAKE
 THE SURVEYS DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (2)(d) AND ANY
 AVAILABLE SURVEY REPORTS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC;

13 (e) TO DETERMINE THE VALIDITY OF COMPLETED SURVEYS
14 DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (2), REPORT TO THE DISTRICT
15 COMMISSIONS ON THE VALIDITY OF THE SURVEYS FOR THEIR DISTRICTS,
16 AND PREPARE ALTERNATIVES TO SURVEYS WHERE SAMPLE POPULATIONS
17 ARE INADEQUATE TO PRODUCE VALID RESULTS;

18 (f) TO PRODUCE AND DISTRIBUTE SURVEY REPORTS AND PUBLIC
19 NARRATIVES THAT REFLECT THE RESULTS OF EACH JUDICIAL
20 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION;

(g) TO DEVELOP RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES FOR THE
REVIEW OF THE DELIBERATION PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED BY THE
DISTRICT COMMISSIONS; EXCEPT THAT THE STATE COMMISSION DOES NOT
HAVE THE POWER OR DUTY TO REVIEW ACTUAL DETERMINATIONS MADE
BY A DISTRICT COMMISSION;

26 (h) TO PROMULGATE RULES PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-10627 CONCERNING:

-13-

1303

1 (I) THE EVALUATION OF JUSTICES AND JUDGES BASED ON 2 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CRITERIA SET FORTH IN SECTION 13-5.5-107; 3 (II) THE CREATION OF A STANDARDS MATRIX RELATED TO THE 4 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CRITERIA SET FORTH IN SECTION 13-5.5-107 5 AND A CLEAR DESCRIPTION OF THE THRESHOLDS FOR THE 6 RECOMMENDATIONS OF "MEETS PERFORMANCE STANDARD" OR "DOES NOT MEET PERFORMANCE STANDARD" AND HOW THAT INFORMATION WILL BE 7 8 MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC; AND

9 (III) THE CONTINUOUS COLLECTION OF DATA FOR USE IN THE
10 EVALUATION PROCESS, INCLUDING SURVEYS DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO
11 SUBSECTION (2)(d) OF THIS SECTION;

(i) TO DEVELOP RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES
CONCERNING A SYSTEMWIDE JUDICIAL TRAINING PROGRAM AND A
SYSTEMWIDE VOLUNTEER COURTROOM OBSERVER PROGRAM; AND

15

(j) TO PREPARE A REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-114.

16 (3) IN ADDITION TO OTHER POWERS CONFERRED AND DUTIES
17 IMPOSED UPON A DISTRICT COMMISSION BY THIS ARTICLE 5.5, IN
18 CONFORMITY WITH THE RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED
19 BY THE STATE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-106 AND THE
20 STATE COMMISSION'S REVIEW OF THE DELIBERATION PROCEDURES
21 PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION, EACH DISTRICT
22 COMMISSION HAS THE FOLLOWING POWERS AND DUTIES:

(a) TO OBTAIN INFORMATION FROM PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS
REGARDING JUDGES' HANDLING OF CASES WITH RESPECT TO THE JUDGES'
FAIRNESS, PATIENCE WITH PRO SE PARTIES, GENDER NEUTRALITY, RACIAL
DISPARITY, AND HANDLING OF EMOTIONAL PARTIES;

27 (b) TO REVIEW DATA, PREPARE NARRATIVES, AND MAKE

-14-

1303

EVALUATIONS RELATED TO JUDGES PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF
 SECTIONS 13-5.5-108 AND 13-5.5-109; AND

3 (c) UPON COMPLETING THE REQUIRED RECOMMENDATIONS AND
4 NARRATIVES PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION, TO COLLECT
5 ALL DOCUMENTS AND OTHER INFORMATION, INCLUDING ALL SURVEYS AND
6 COPIES, RECEIVED REGARDING EACH JUDGE WHO WAS EVALUATED AND
7 FORWARD SUCH DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO THE STATE
8 COMMISSION WITHIN THIRTY DAYS.

9 (4) UNLESS RECUSED PURSUANT TO A PROVISION OF THIS ARTICLE 10 5.5, EACH COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE AND DISTRICT COMMISSIONS HAS 11 THE DISCRETION TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE OF A JUSTICE OR JUDGE 12 UNDER THE COMMISSION'S OVERSIGHT AND VOTE AS TO WHETHER THE 13 JUSTICE OR JUDGE MEETS THE PERFORMANCE STANDARD BASED UPON THE 14 COMMISSIONER'S REVIEW OF ALL OF THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE 15 COMMISSION.

16 13-5.5-106. Rules, guidelines, and procedures. (1) THE STATE
17 COMMISSION SHALL ADOPT RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES AS
18 NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT AND EFFECTUATE THE PROVISIONS OF THIS
19 ARTICLE 5.5, INCLUDING RULES, GUIDELINES, AND PROCEDURES
20 GOVERNING THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONS.

(2) THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL CONSIDER PROPOSED RULES,
GUIDELINES, OR PROCEDURES FROM THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT; EXCEPT
THAT NOTHING IN THIS SECTION REQUIRES THE STATE COMMISSION TO
SEEK APPROVAL FROM THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. THE STATE
COMMISSION RETAINS THE AUTHORITY FOR THE ADOPTION OF FINAL RULES,
GUIDELINES, OR PROCEDURES. THE STATE COMMISSION MAY, AT ITS
DISCRETION AND WITHIN EXISTING APPROPRIATIONS AND RESOURCES,

RETAIN INDEPENDENT LEGAL COUNSEL TO REVIEW ANY RULES,
 GUIDELINES, OR PROCEDURES ADOPTED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION OR
 SECTION 13-5.5-105.

4 (3) THE STATE COMMISSION MAY ADOPT RULES, GUIDELINES, OR
5 PROCEDURES THAT PROVIDE GUIDANCE TO COMMISSIONERS REGARDING
6 THE REVIEW OR INTERPRETATION OF INFORMATION OBTAINED AS A RESULT
7 OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS AND THE CRITERIA CONTAINED IN SECTION
8 13-5.5-107. ANY SUCH RULES, GUIDELINES, OR PROCEDURES MUST:

9 (a) TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE RELIABILITY OF SURVEY DATA
10 AND BE CONSISTENT WITH SECTION 13-5.5-105; AND

(b) NOT DIVEST ANY COMMISSIONER OF HIS OR HER ULTIMATE
AUTHORITY TO DECIDE WHETHER A JUSTICE OR JUDGE MEETS THE
MINIMUM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, AS ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE AND
DISTRICT COMMISSIONS.

15 (4) THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL POST A NOTICE OF THE
16 PROPOSED RULE, GUIDELINE, OR PROCEDURE, ALLOW FOR A PERIOD FOR
17 PUBLIC COMMENT, AND GIVE THE PUBLIC AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS
18 THE STATE COMMISSION CONCERNING THE PROPOSED RULE, GUIDELINE, OR
19 PROCEDURE AT A PUBLIC HEARING.

13-5.5-107. Judicial performance evaluation criteria. (1) THE
STATE COMMISSION AND EACH DISTRICT COMMISSION SHALL EVALUATE
EACH JUSTICE AND JUDGE IN COLORADO UTILIZING THE POWERS AND
DUTIES CONFERRED UPON EACH COMMISSION IN SECTION 13-5.5-105. THE
EVALUATIONS MUST ONLY INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING PERFORMANCE
EVALUATION CRITERIA:

26 (a) INTEGRITY, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO WHETHER THE27 JUSTICE OR JUDGE:

-16-

1 (I) AVOIDS IMPROPRIETY OR THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY;

2 (II) DISPLAYS FAIRNESS AND IMPARTIALITY TOWARD ALL 3 PARTICIPANTS; AND

4 (III) AVOIDS EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS;

5 (b) LEGAL KNOWLEDGE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
6 WHETHER THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE:

7 (I) DEMONSTRATES, THROUGH WELL-REASONED OPINIONS AND
8 COURTROOM CONDUCT, AN UNDERSTANDING OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW AND
9 RELEVANT RULES OF PROCEDURE AND EVIDENCE;

10 (II) DEMONSTRATES, THROUGH WELL-REASONED OPINIONS AND
11 COURTROOM CONDUCT, ATTENTIVENESS TO FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES
12 BEFORE THE COURT; AND

(III) ADHERES TO PRECEDENT OR CLEARLY EXPLAINS THE LEGAL
BASIS FOR DEPARTURE FROM PRECEDENT AND APPROPRIATELY APPLIES
STATUTES OR OTHER SOURCES OF LEGAL AUTHORITY;

16 (c) COMMUNICATION SKILLS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
17 WHETHER THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE:

18 (I) PRESENTS CLEARLY WRITTEN AND UNDERSTANDABLE OPINIONS,
19 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDERS;

20 (II) PRESENTS CLEARLY STATED AND UNDERSTANDABLE
21 QUESTIONS OR STATEMENTS DURING ORAL ARGUMENTS OR
22 PRESENTATIONS, AND, FOR TRIAL JUDGES, CLEARLY EXPLAINS ALL ORAL
23 DECISIONS; AND

24 (III) CLEARLY PRESENTS INFORMATION TO THE JURY, AS
25 NECESSARY;

26 (d) JUDICIAL TEMPERAMENT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
27 WHETHER THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE:

1303

- (I) DEMONSTRATES COURTESY TOWARD ATTORNEYS, LITIGANTS,
   COURT STAFF, AND OTHERS IN THE COURTROOM; AND
- 3 (II) MAINTAINS AND REQUIRES ORDER, PUNCTUALITY, AND
  4 APPROPRIATE DECORUM IN THE COURTROOM;
- 5 (e) ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED
  6 TO WHETHER THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE:

7 (I) DEMONSTRATES PREPARATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS, TRIALS,
8 AND HEARINGS, AS WELL AS ATTENTIVENESS TO AND APPROPRIATE
9 CONTROL OVER JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS;

10 (II) MANAGES WORKLOAD AND COURT TIME EFFECTIVELY AND
11 EFFICIENTLY;

(III) ISSUES OPINIONS, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND ORDERS IN A TIMELY MANNER AND WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DELAY;
(IV) PARTICIPATES IN A PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE COURT'S
WORKLOAD, TAKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR MORE THAN HIS OR HER OWN
CASELOAD, AND IS WILLING TO ASSIST OTHER JUSTICES OR JUDGES; AND

17 (V) UNDERSTANDS AND COMPLIES, AS NECESSARY, WITH18 DIRECTIVES OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT; AND

(f) SERVICE TO THE LEGAL PROFESSION AND THE PUBLIC BY
PARTICIPATING IN SERVICE-ORIENTED EFFORTS DESIGNED TO EDUCATE THE
PUBLIC ABOUT THE LEGAL SYSTEM AND IMPROVE THE LEGAL SYSTEM.

13-5.5-108. Judicial performance evaluations in retention
election years - procedure - recommendations. (1) JUDICIAL
PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS FOR JUSTICES OR JUDGES WHOSE TERMS ARE
TO EXPIRE AND WHO MUST STAND FOR RETENTION ELECTION ARE
CONDUCTED AS FOLLOWS:

27 (a) The state commission shall conduct a judicial

-18-

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF EACH SUCH JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME
 COURT AND JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS; AND

3 (b) THE DISTRICT COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT A JUDICIAL
4 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR EACH DISTRICT JUDGE AND COUNTY
5 JUDGE.

6 (2) (a) THE APPLICABLE COMMISSION SHALL COMPLETE A
7 RETENTION YEAR EVALUATION AND RELATED NARRATIVE TO BE
8 COMMUNICATED TO THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE NO LATER THAN FORTY-FIVE
9 DAYS PRIOR TO THE LAST DAY AVAILABLE FOR THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE TO
10 DECLARE HIS OR HER INTENT TO STAND FOR RETENTION.

11 (b) THE NARRATIVE PREPARED FOR A RETENTION YEAR 12 EVALUATION MUST INCLUDE AN ASSESSMENT OF THE JUSTICE'S OR JUDGE'S 13 STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES WITH RESPECT TO THE JUDICIAL 14 PERFORMANCE CRITERIA CONTAINED IN SECTION 13-5.5-107, A DISCUSSION 15 REGARDING ANY DEFICIENCY IDENTIFIED IN AN INTERIM EVALUATION 16 PREPARED PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-109, A REVIEW OF ANY 17 IMPROVEMENT PLAN DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-110, AND 18 A STATEMENT OF WHETHER THE APPLICABLE COMMISSION CONCLUDES 19 THAT ANY DEFICIENCY IDENTIFIED HAS BEEN SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSED, 20 OR A STATEMENT FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE OR APPROPRIATE CHIEF JUDGE 21 THAT AN IMPROVEMENT PLAN, IF ANY, WAS SATISFACTORILY FOLLOWED 22 BY THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE.

(c) THE APPLICABLE COMMISSION SHALL GRANT EACH JUSTICE OR
JUDGE WHO RECEIVES A RETENTION YEAR EVALUATION THE OPPORTUNITY
TO MEET WITH THE COMMISSION OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
EVALUATION NO LATER THAN TEN DAYS FOLLOWING HIS OR HER RECEIPT
OF THE EVALUATION. IF THE MEETING IS HELD OR A RESPONSE IS MADE,

1 THE APPLICABLE COMMISSION MAY REVISE ITS EVALUATION.

2 (3) AFTER THE REQUIREMENTS OF SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION 3 ARE MET, THE APPLICABLE COMMISSION SHALL MAKE A RECOMMENDATION 4 REGARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF EACH JUSTICE OR JUDGE WHO 5 DECLARES HIS OR HER INTENT TO STAND FOR RETENTION. THE 6 RECOMMENDATIONS MUST BE STATED AS "MEETS PERFORMANCE 7 STANDARD" OR "DOES NOT MEET PERFORMANCE STANDARD". FOR A 8 JUSTICE OR JUDGE TO RECEIVE A DESIGNATION OF "DOES NOT MEET PERFORMANCE STANDARD", THERE MUST BE A MAJORITY VOTE BY THE 9 10 COMMISSION MEMBERS THAT THE PARTICULAR JUSTICE OR JUDGE SHOULD 11 RECEIVE SUCH A RECOMMENDATION.

(4) DISTRICT COMMISSIONS SHALL FORWARD RECOMMENDATIONS,
NARRATIVES, AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION, INCLUDING ANY
COMPLETED JUDICIAL SURVEYS, TO THE STATE COMMISSION ACCORDING
TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 13-5.5-105.

16 (5) THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL RELEASE THE NARRATIVE, THE 17 RECOMMENDATION, AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION RELATED 18 TO A RETENTION YEAR EVALUATION, INCLUDING THE INFORMATION 19 FORWARDED PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-105, TO THE PUBLIC NO LATER 20 THAN TWO MONTHS PRIOR TO THE RETENTION ELECTION. THE STATE 21 COMMISSION SHALL ARRANGE TO HAVE THE NARRATIVE AND 22 RECOMMENDATION FOR EACH JUSTICE AND JUDGE STANDING FOR 23 RETENTION PRINTED IN THE BALLOT INFORMATION BOOKLET PREPARED 24 PURSUANT TO SECTION 1-40-124.5 AND MAILED TO ELECTORS PURSUANT 25 TO SECTION 1-40-125.

26 13-5.5-109. Judicial performance evaluations in interim years
27 between elections - procedure. (1) WITHIN THE FIRST TWO YEARS OF A

-20-

JUSTICE'S OR JUDGE'S APPOINTMENT TO THE BENCH, THE APPROPRIATE
 COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT AN INITIAL EVALUATION OF EACH JUSTICE
 AND EACH JUDGE. THE APPROPRIATE COMMISSION SHALL COMPLETE AND
 COMMUNICATE ITS JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE INTERIM EVALUATIONS AS
 FOLLOWS:

6 (a) THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL COMMUNICATE ITS FINDINGS,
7 INCLUDING ANY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT PLANS, TO THE
8 CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OR THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE COURT
9 OF APPEALS AND THE APPELLATE JUSTICE OR JUDGE WHO WAS EVALUATED;
10 AND

(b) THE APPLICABLE DISTRICT COMMISSION SHALL COMMUNICATE
ITS FINDINGS, INCLUDING ANY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT
PLANS, TO THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT AND THE JUDGE WHO WAS
EVALUATED.

15 (2) IF A COMMISSION RECOMMENDS AN IMPROVEMENT PLAN, THE
16 PROCEDURE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION FOR SUCH A PLAN WILL
17 FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES SET FORTH IN SECTION 13-5.5-110.

18 (3) THE APPROPRIATE COMMISSION, AT ITS DISCRETION, MAY
19 CONDUCT A SUBSEQUENT INTERIM EVALUATION OF EACH JUSTICE AND
20 EACH JUDGE DURING THE YEARS BETWEEN WHEN THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE
21 STANDS FOR RETENTION, IF APPLICABLE.

(4) THE APPROPRIATE COMMISSION SHALL GRANT EACH JUSTICE OR
JUDGE WHO RECEIVES AN INITIAL OR INTERIM EVALUATION THE
OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH THE COMMISSION OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO
THE INITIAL OR INTERIM EVALUATION NO LATER THAN TEN DAYS
FOLLOWING THE JUSTICE'S OR JUDGE'S RECEIPT OF THE INITIAL OR INTERIM
EVALUATION. IF A MEETING IS HELD OR A RESPONSE IS MADE, THE

APPROPRIATE COMMISSION MAY REVISE ITS INITIAL OR INTERIM
 EVALUATION.

3 **13-5.5-110.** Individual judicial improvement plans. (1) (a) IF 4 THE STATE COMMISSION OR A DISTRICT COMMISSION RECOMMENDS, 5 PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-109(1), THAT A JUSTICE OR JUDGE RECEIVE 6 AN INDIVIDUAL JUDICIAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, THE COMMISSION SHALL 7 COMMUNICATE SUCH RECOMMENDATION TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE OR 8 APPROPRIATE CHIEF JUDGE. THE CHIEF JUSTICE OR CHIEF JUDGE SHALL 9 THEN DEVELOP AN IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR SUCH JUDGE AND SHALL SEND 10 THE IMPROVEMENT PLAN TO THE STATE COMMISSION FOR REVIEW. AFTER 11 THE STATE COMMISSION REVIEWS AND APPROVES THE IMPROVEMENT 12 PLAN, THE CHIEF JUSTICE OR CHIEF JUDGE SHALL HAVE THE 13 **RESPONSIBILITY FOR IMPLEMENTING AND OVERSEEING THE IMPROVEMENT** 14 PLAN. 15 (b) ONCE THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE HAS COMPLETED THE

19 IMPROVEMENT PLAN, THE CHIEF JUSTICE OR CHIEF JUDGE SHALL CONVEY
17 THE RESULTS OF THE IMPROVEMENT PLAN ACTIVITIES TO THE APPROPRIATE
18 COMMISSION, WHICH WILL THEN MAINTAIN A COPY OF THE IMPROVEMENT
19 PLAN AND THE STATEMENT OF RESULTS IN ITS FILES.

(2) IF A JUSTICE OR JUDGE IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE AN
IMPROVEMENT PLAN PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION, AND HE OR SHE FAILS TO
SATISFACTORILY COMPLETE THE REQUIREMENTS OF SUCH IMPROVEMENT
PLAN, THE APPROPRIATE COMMISSION SHALL AUTOMATICALLY ISSUE A
"DOES NOT MEET PERFORMANCE STANDARD" DESIGNATION ON HIS OR HER
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SUMMARY.

26 13-5.5-111. Judicial performance evaluations - senior judges.
27 (1) EVERY THIRD YEAR FOLLOWING THE INITIAL APPOINTMENT OF A

1 SENIOR JUDGE TO THE BENCH THROUGH A CONTRACT PURSUANT TO 2 SECTION 24-51-1105, THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT A 3 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE SENIOR JUDGE BASED ON THE JUDICIAL 4 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CRITERIA SET FORTH IN SECTION 13-5.5-107. 5 THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL COMPLETE THE PERFORMANCE 6 EVALUATION OF SUCH SENIOR JUDGE AND COMMUNICATE THE RELATED 7 NARRATIVE TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE NO LATER THAN FORTY-FIVE DAYS 8 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE SENIOR JUDGE'S CONTRACT FOR THAT 9 YEAR. THE NARRATIVE MUST INCLUDE AN ASSESSMENT OF THE SENIOR 10 JUDGE'S STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES WITH RESPECT TO THE JUDICIAL 11 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CRITERIA SET FORTH IN SECTION 13-5.5-107. 12 (2) THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO 13 THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT REGARDING THE 14 SENIOR JUDGE'S PERFORMANCE. THE RECOMMENDATIONS MUST BE STATED 15 AS "MEETS PERFORMANCE STANDARD" OR "DOES NOT MEET PERFORMANCE 16 STANDARD".

17 **13-5.5-112. Recusal.** (1) A COMMISSIONER SHALL DISCLOSE TO 18 HIS OR HER COMMISSION ANY PROFESSIONAL OR PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP 19 WITH A JUSTICE OR JUDGE THAT MAY AFFECT AN UNBIASED EVALUATION 20 OF THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE, INCLUDING INVOLVEMENT WITH ANY 21 LITIGATION INVOLVING THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE AND THE COMMISSIONER. 22 THE COMMISSIONER'S FAMILY, OR THE COMMISSIONER'S FINANCIAL 23 INTERESTS. A COMMISSION MAY REQUIRE, UPON A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF 24 THE OTHER COMMISSIONERS, THE RECUSAL OF ONE OF ITS COMMISSIONERS 25 BECAUSE OF A RELATIONSHIP WITH A JUSTICE OR JUDGE.

26 (2) A JUSTICE OR JUDGE WHO IS BEING EVALUATED BY A STATE OR
 27 DISTRICT COMMISSION MAY NOT RECUSE HIMSELF OR HERSELF FROM A

-23-

CASE SOLELY ON THE BASIS THAT AN ATTORNEY, PARTY, OR WITNESS IN
 THE CASE IS A COMMISSIONER ON THE EVALUATING COMMISSION.

3 13-5.5-113. **Confidentiality.** (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN 4 SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION, ALL SELF-EVALUATIONS, PERSONAL 5 INFORMATION PROTECTED UNDER SECTION 24-72-204 (3)(a)(II), 6 ADDITIONAL ORAL OR WRITTEN INFORMATION, CONTENT OF ANY JUDICIAL 7 IMPROVEMENT PLANS, AND ANY MATTER DISCUSSED IN EXECUTIVE 8 SESSION IS CONFIDENTIAL EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE SPECIFICALLY PROVIDED 9 BY RULE. ALL SURVEYS MUST ALLOW FOR THE PARTICIPANT'S NAME TO 10 REMAIN CONFIDENTIAL. COMMENTS IN SURVEYS ARE CONFIDENTIAL, BUT 11 MAY BE SUMMARIZED IN AGGREGATE FOR USE IN JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE 12 EVALUATION NARRATIVES. A COMMISSIONER SHALL NOT PUBLICLY 13 DISCUSS THE EVALUATION OF A PARTICULAR JUSTICE OR JUDGE.

14 (2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION, ALL
15 RECOMMENDATIONS AND NARRATIVES ARE CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL
16 RELEASED TO THE PUBLIC ON THE FIRST DAY FOLLOWING THE DEADLINE
17 FOR JUSTICES AND JUDGES TO DECLARE THEIR INTENT TO STAND FOR
18 RETENTION.

19 (3) INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT
20 TO THIS ARTICLE 5.5 MAY BE RELEASED ONLY UNDER THE FOLLOWING
21 CIRCUMSTANCES:

22 (a) TO THE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY REGULATION COMMITTEE,
23 AS PROVIDED BY RULE OF THE STATE COMMISSION;

24 (b) TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE, AS PROVIDED BY
25 RULE OF THE STATE COMMISSION; OR

26 (c) WITH THE CONSENT OF THE JUSTICE OR JUDGE BEING
27 EVALUATED.

-24-

13-5.5-114. Reporting requirements - "State Measurement for
 Accountable, Responsive, and Transparent (SMART) Government
 Act" report. (1) THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL GATHER AND MAINTAIN
 STATEWIDE DATA AND POST A STATISTICAL REPORT OF THE STATEWIDE
 DATA ON ITS WEBSITE NO LATER THAN THIRTY DAYS PRIOR TO EACH
 RETENTION ELECTION. THE REPORT MUST SPECIFY, AT A MINIMUM:

7 (a) THE TOTAL NUMBER OF JUSTICES AND JUDGES WHO WERE
8 ELIGIBLE TO STAND FOR RETENTION AND THE NUMBER WHO DECLARED
9 THEIR INTENT TO STAND FOR REELECTION;

10 (b) THE TOTAL NUMBER OF JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS
11 OF JUSTICES AND JUDGES PERFORMED BY THE STATE AND DISTRICT
12 COMMISSIONS;

13 (c) THE TOTAL NUMBER OF JUSTICES AND JUDGES WHO WERE
14 EVALUATED BUT DID NOT STAND FOR RETENTION; AND

15 (d) THE TOTAL NUMBER OF JUSTICES AND JUDGES WHO RECEIVED
16 A "MEETS PERFORMANCE STANDARD" OR "DOES NOT MEET PERFORMANCE
17 STANDARD" RECOMMENDATION, RESPECTIVELY.

18 (2) BEGINNING IN JANUARY 2019, AND EVERY TWO YEARS
19 THEREAFTER, THE STATE COMMISSION SHALL REPORT ON THE ACTIVITIES
20 OF THE COMMISSIONERS TO THE JOINT JUDICIARY COMMITTEE OF THE
21 GENERAL ASSEMBLY AS PART OF ITS "STATE MEASUREMENT FOR
22 ACCOUNTABLE, RESPONSIVE, AND TRANSPARENT (SMART)
23 GOVERNMENT ACT" PRESENTATION REQUIRED BY SECTION 2-7-203.

13-5.5-115. State commission on judicial performance cash
 fund - acceptance of private or federal grants - general
 appropriations. THE STATE COMMISSION IS AUTHORIZED TO ACCEPT ANY
 GRANTS OF FEDERAL OR PRIVATE FUNDS MADE AVAILABLE FOR ANY

1 PURPOSE CONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE 5.5. ANY 2 MONEY RECEIVED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION MUST BE TRANSMITTED TO 3 THE STATE TREASURER, WHO SHALL CREDIT THE SAME TO THE STATE 4 COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE CASH FUND, WHICH IS HEREBY 5 CREATED. THE FUND ALSO INCLUDES THE AMOUNT OF THE INCREASES IN 6 DOCKET FEES COLLECTED PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13-32-105 (1) AND 7 42-4-1710 (4)(a). ANY INTEREST DERIVED FROM THE DEPOSIT AND 8 INVESTMENT OF MONEY IN THE FUND IS CREDITED TO THE FUND. ANY 9 UNEXPENDED AND UNENCUMBERED MONEY REMAINING IN THE FUND AT 10 THE END OF ANY FISCAL YEAR REMAINS IN THE FUND AND SHALL NOT BE 11 CREDITED OR TRANSFERRED TO THE GENERAL FUND OR ANOTHER FUND. 12 MONEY IN THE FUND MAY BE EXPENDED BY THE STATE COMMISSION, 13 SUBJECT TO ANNUAL APPROPRIATION BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, FOR 14 THE PURPOSES OF THIS ARTICLE 5.5. IN ADDITION, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY 15 MAY MAKE ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE GENERAL FUND FOR THE 16 PURPOSES OF THIS ARTICLE 5.5.

17 **13-5.5-116.** Private right of action. (1) FINAL ACTIONS OF THE 18 STATE COMMISSION ARE SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR IN THIS SECTION. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "FINAL ACTION" MEANS 19 20 A RULE, GUIDELINE, OR PROCEDURE ADOPTED BY THE STATE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO THIS ARTICLE 5.5. A "FINAL ACTION" DOES NOT INCLUDE A 21 22 FINAL RECOMMENDATION REGARDING A JUSTICE OR A JUDGE THAT IS MADE 23 BY THE STATE COMMISSION OR A DISTRICT COMMISSION PURSUANT TO 24 SECTION 13-5.5-108 OR 13-5.5-109, AN IMPROVEMENT PLAN DEVELOPED 25 PURSUANT TO SECTION 13-5.5-109, SURVEYS DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO 26 SECTION 13-5.5-105 (2)(d), OR ANY ASPECT OF AN INDIVIDUAL JUSTICE'S 27 OR JUDGE'S INDIVIDUAL JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION.

1 (2) A PERSON ADVERSELY AFFECTED OR AGGRIEVED BY A FINAL 2 ACTION OF THE STATE COMMISSION MAY COMMENCE AN ACTION FOR 3 JUDICIAL REVIEW IN THE DENVER DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THIRTY-FIVE 4 DAYS AFTER SUCH ACTION BECOMES EFFECTIVE. UPON A FINDING BY THE 5 COURT THAT IRREPARABLE INJURY WOULD OTHERWISE RESULT, THE 6 REVIEWING COURT SHALL POSTPONE THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE STATE 7 COMMISSION'S ACTION TO PRESERVE THE RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES, PENDING 8 CONCLUSION OF THE REVIEW PROCEEDINGS.

9 (3) IF THE COURT FINDS NO ERROR, IT SHALL AFFIRM THE STATE 10 COMMISSION'S FINAL ACTION. IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THE STATE 11 COMMISSION'S ACTION IS ARBITRARY OR CAPRICIOUS; A DENIAL OF A 12 STATUTORY RIGHT; CONTRARY TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT, POWER, 13 PRIVILEGE, OR IMMUNITY; IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY JURISDICTION, 14 AUTHORITY, PURPOSES, OR LIMITATIONS; NOT IN ACCORD WITH THE 15 PROCEDURES OR PROCEDURAL LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN THIS ARTICLE 5.5 16 OR AS OTHERWISE REQUIRED BY LAW; AN ABUSE OR CLEARLY 17 UNWARRANTED EXERCISE OF DISCRETION; BASED UPON FINDINGS OF FACT 18 THAT ARE CLEARLY ERRONEOUS ON THE WHOLE RECORD; UNSUPPORTED 19 BY SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE WHEN THE RECORD IS CONSIDERED AS A 20 WHOLE; OR OTHERWISE CONTRARY TO LAW, THEN THE COURT SHALL HOLD 21 THE ACTION UNLAWFUL, SET IT ASIDE, RESTRAIN ENFORCEMENT, AND 22 AFFORD SUCH OTHER RELIEF AS MAY BE APPROPRIATE. IN ALL CASES 23 UNDER REVIEW, THE COURT SHALL DETERMINE ALL QUESTIONS OF LAW, 24 INTERPRET THE STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS INVOLVED, 25 AND APPLY THE INTERPRETATION TO THE FACTS DULY FOUND OR 26 ESTABLISHED.

27

-27-

SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 13-3-101, amend (5)
 as follows:

3 13-3-101. State court administrator. (5) The state court 4 administrator shall provide to the director of research of the legislative 5 council criminal justice information and statistics and any other related 6 data requested by the director. The state court administrator shall provide 7 to the state commission on judicial performance and to district 8 commissions on judicial performance, ESTABLISHED IN SECTION 9 13-5.5-104, case management statistics for justices and judges WHO ARE 10 being evaluated.

SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 13-32-105, amend
(1)(b) as follows:

13 **13-32-105.** Docket fees in criminal actions. (1) (b) On and after 14 June 6, 2003, the docket fee in all criminal actions in all courts of record, 15 except the county court, court of appeals, and the supreme court, shall be 16 Is increased by five dollars and the docket fee in county court criminal actions shall be IS increased by three dollars. The additional revenue 17 18 generated by the docket fee increases shall be transmitted to the state 19 treasurer for deposit in the state commission on judicial performance cash 20 fund created in section 13-5.5-107 SECTION 13-5.5-115.

21 SECTION 4. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 24-75-402, amend
22 (5)(r) as follows:

23 24-75-402. Cash funds - limit on uncommitted reserves 24 reduction in amount of fees - exclusions - repeal. (5) Notwithstanding
 25 any provision of this section to the contrary, the following cash funds are
 26 excluded from the limitations specified in this section:

27

(r) The state commission on judicial performance cash fund

created in section 13-5.5-107, C.R.S. SECTION 13-5.5-115, until this
 paragraph (r) SUBSECTION (5)(r) is repealed, effective July 1, 2017;

3 SECTION 5. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 42-4-1710, amend
4 (4)(a)(II) as follows:

5 42-4-1710. Failure to pay penalty for traffic infractions failure of parent or guardian to sign penalty assessment notice -6 7 procedures. (4) (a) (II) On and after June 6, 2003, the docket fee 8 assessed in subparagraph (I) of this paragraph (a) shall be SUBSECTION 9 (4)(a)(I) OF THIS SECTION IS increased by three dollars. The additional 10 revenue generated by the docket fee shall be transmitted to the state 11 treasurer for deposit in the state commission on judicial performance cash fund created in section 13-5.5-107, C.R.S. SECTION 13-5.5-115. 12

SECTION 6. Appropriation. For the 2017-18 state fiscal year,
 \$24,500 is appropriated to the judicial department. This appropriation is
 from the general fund. To implement this act, the department may use this
 appropriation for the office of judicial performance evaluation.

17 **SECTION 7.** Act subject to petition - effective date. This 18 act takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the 19 ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August 20 9, 2017, if adjournment sine die is on May 10, 2017); except that, if a 21 referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the 22 state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act 23 within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take effect 24 unless approved by the people at the general election to be held in 25 November 2018 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the 26 official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.

27