1 2	State of Arkansas 90th General Assembly	A Bill	
3	Regular Session, 2015		HOUSE BILL 1967
4	C ,		
5	By: Representative Bentley		
6			
7		For An Act To Be Entitled	
8	AN ACT TO) REQUIRE THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION T	0
9	DISCONTIN	NUE THE ADOPTION OF THE NEXT GENERATION	
10	SCIENCE S	STANDARDS; TO MAINTAIN THE CURRENT SCIEN	CE
11	STANDARDS	S AND ONLY MAKE REVISIONS TO THE CURRENT	
12	STANDARDS	S WITH SCIENCE STANDARDS THAT ARE SUPERI	OR TO
13	THE ONES	CURRENTLY IN PLACE; TO DECLARE AN EMERG	ENCY;
14	AND FOR (OTHER PURPOSES.	
15			
16			
17		Subtitle	
18	ТО	REQUIRE THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION	
19	ТО	DISCONTINUE THE ADOPTION OF THE NEXT	
20	GEN	ERATION SCIENCE STANDARDS; AND TO	
21	DEC	LARE AN EMERGENCY.	
22			
23			
24	BE IT ENACTED BY THE	GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANS	AS:
25			
26	SECTION 1. DO	NOT CODIFY.	
27	<u>(a)(l) The The</u>	omas B. Fordham Institute, which testifi	<u>ed in favor of</u>
28	<u>Common Core State Sta</u>	andards at the Joint Education Committee	meeting in July
29	2013, has conducted s	significant research comparing the quali	<u>ty of state</u>
30	standards with Common	n Core State Standards.	
31	<u>(2)</u> The	ir reports include Final Evaluation of t	<u>he Next</u>
32	Generation Science St	candards, released on June 13, 2013, fol	lowed by
33	Commentary on Append	ix L: Alignment of the Next Generation S	<u>cience Standards</u>
34	with the Common Core	State Standards for Mathematics, releas	<u>ed on August 20,</u>
35	2013, which compare N	Next Generation Science Standards to cur	<u>rent state</u>
36	<u>science standards.</u>		



.

1	(b)(1) The Next Generation Science Standards were released in April
2	<u>2013.</u>
3	(2) The Fordham Institute evaluated Next Generation Science
4	Standards compared with all existing state standards, the District of
5	Columbia and two international science standards. They reported, "We
6	released that evaluation in June. It was the considered opinion of the review
7	team based on our criteria, that the Next Generation Science Standards
8	deserve a "C" grade. Among the serious challenges the analysts found in the
9	Next Generation Science Standards was an acute dearth of math content, even
10	in situations where math is essential to the study and proper understanding
11	of the science that students are being asked to master. The failure to
12	include essential math content is particularly troubling in light of
13	statements by the authors of the Next Generation Science Standards that they
14	intended to integrate mathematics into their new science expectations."
15	(c) Fordham's evaluation found the current science standards of twelve
16	(12) states (including Arkansas whose current science standards earned a
17	grade of "B") and the District of Columbia are "clearly superior" to Next
18	Generation Science Standards.
19	(d) For states that desire to improve science standards the Fordham
20	study advised, "If they are bent on replacing their science standards, the
21	Next Generation Science Standards is not the only available option, nor is it
22	necessarily the best one. We've noted previously six states received grades
23	of A or A- from Fordham's reviewers for their present science standards.
24	Other states might do well simply to adopt their standards."
25	
26	SECTION 2. Arkansas Code Title 6, Chapter 15, Subchapter 1, is amended
27	to add an additional section to read as follows:
28	6-15-103. Science standards.
29	(a) The State Board of Education shall immediately discontinue any and
30	all efforts to endorse, adopt, rebrand, and implement the Next Generation
31	Science Standards.
32	(b) The state board shall continue to use the science standards
33	currently in place and only revise the current standards with science
34	standards that have been nationally recognized as superior to the science
35	standards already adopted.
36	(c) A comprehensive set of standards shall not be endorsed, adopted or

2

03-07-2015 12:27:58 SAG321

1	implemented until a complete set of the standards is available for the
2	review, comment, and approval process, which shall include the state board,
3	the Department of Education, the Senate Committee on Education, the House
4	Committee on Education, and the public.
5	
6	SECTION 3. EMERGENCY CLAUSE. It is found and determined by the
7	General Assembly of the State of Arkansas that experts around the country
8	have studied the Next Generation Science Standards and have determined that
9	they are flawed; that the current science standards in the state are superior
10	to the Next Generation Science Standards; and that this act is immediately
11	necessary to prevent further adoption and implementation of the inferior Next
12	Generation Science Standards. Therefore, an emergency is declared to exist,
13	and this act being immediately necessary for the preservation of the public
14	peace, health, and safety shall become effective on:
15	(1) The date of its approval by the Governor;
16	(2) If the bill is neither approved nor vetoed by the Governor,
17	the expiration of the period of time during which the Governor may veto the
18	bill; or
19	(3) If the bill is vetoed by the Governor and the veto is
20	overridden, the date the last house overrides the veto.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	

3