1	State of Arkansas	A D'11	
2	92nd General Assembly	A Bill	
3	Regular Session, 2019		HOUSE BILL 1966
4			
5	By: Representatives A. Collins,	Murdock	
6			
7		For An Act To Be Entitled	
8	AN ACT TO R	EINSTATE COVERAGE IN THE ARKANSAS WO	RKS
9	PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO LOST COVERAGE DUE TO		
10	FAILURE TO	MEET THE WORK REQUIREMENTS; TO NOTIF	Y
11	INDIVIDUALS	WHO LOST COVERAGE DUE TO FAILURE TO	MEET
12	THE WORK RE	QUIREMENTS OF THE FEDERAL COURT DECI	SION;
13	TO DECLARE	AN EMERGENCY; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES	•
14			
15		G 1 4 1	
16		Subtitle	
17		INSTATE COVERAGE IN THE ARKANSAS	
18		PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO LOST	
19		AGE DUE TO FAILURE TO MEET THE WORK	
20	·	REMENTS; TO NOTIFY INDIVIDUALS OF	
21		EDERAL COURT DECISION; AND TO	
22	DECLAR	RE AN EMERGENCY.	
23			
24			
25	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GE	NERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKAN	SAS:
26 2 <b>7</b>	anamiou i no vo		6
27			statement of
28	participants of the Ark	<del></del>	
29		Assembly finds that:	2 1 (
30		cal Acts 2017 (1st Ex. Sess.), Nos.	<u> </u>
31		of Human Services to submit a state	_
32		Centers for Medicare and Medicaid S	
33 34	certain activities and	irement for eligible individuals wit	ii exemptions for
35		nters for Medicare and Medicaid Serv	ices approved the
36	<del> </del>	rk requirement in the Arkansas Works	

1	<u>5, 2018;</u>	
2	(3) The works requirements became effective on:	
3	(A) June 1, 2018, for individuals who are thirty (30) to	
4	forty-nine (49) years of age; and	
5	(B) January 1, 2019, for individuals who were twenty (20)	
6	to twenty-nine (29) years of age;	
7	(4) Since the work requirement was implemented, approximately	
8	eighteen thousand (18,000) individuals have lost coverage in the Arkansas	
9	Works Program for some period of time for failure to meet the work	
10	requirement;	
11	(5) In August 2018, several residents of Arkansas filed a	
12	lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia,	
13	asserting that the work requirement in the Arkansas Works Program was	
14	"arbitrary and capricious, in excess of statutory authority, and in violation	
15	of the Take Care Clause of the United States Constitution";	
16	(6) On March 27, 2019, the federal court issued an opinion,	
17	Gresham v. Azar, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51496, holding that the approval from	
18	the United States Government for the work requirement in the Arkansas Works	
19	Program was "arbitrary and capricious" and requiring that the work	
20	requirement be stopped immediately;	
21	(7) The federal court decision ruled that the loss of coverage	
22	in the Arkansas Works Program to the approximately eighteen thousand (18,000)	
23	individuals who lost coverage before March 27, 2019, was unlawful;	
24	(8) Governor Asa Hutchinson has stated that, to comply with the	
25	court decision, the state will not remove anyone else from the Arkansas Works	
26	Program for failure to meet the work requirement; and	
27	(9) It is uncertain whether individuals who lost coverage due to	
28	failure to meet the work requirement before March 27, 2019, will be notified	
29	of the federal court decision or their eligibility to apply for coverage in	
30	the Arkansas Works Program due to the federal court decision, Gresham v.	
31	Azar, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51496.	
32	(b) It is the intent of the General Assembly to reinstate coverage in	
33	the Arkansas Works Program for the individuals who lost coverage due to	
34	failure to meet the work requirement before March 27, 2019, and to notify	
35	each individual who lost coverage due to failure to meet the work requirement	
36	before March 27, 2019, regarding the federal court decision.	

1	(c) The Department of Human Services shall:
2	(1)(A) Reinstate coverage in the Arkansas Works Program for
3	individuals who lost coverage due to failure to meet the work requirement
4	before March 27, 2019.
5	(B) The reinstatement of coverage as described in
6	subdivision (c)(l)(A) of this section is not an additional requirement of the
7	individuals to reapply for coverage in the Arkansas Works Program;
8	(2) Notify through mail, telephone, and email, if known, for
9	each individual who lost coverage due to failure to meet the work requirement
10	before March 27, 2019, that:
11	(A) A federal court held that the work requirement in the
12	Arkansas Works Program were unlawful; and
13	(B) The individual is now reinstated in the Arkansas Works
14	Program;
15	(3) Publish a notice in a newspaper having general circulation
16	in the state and on the website of the department regarding the federal court
17	decision, the nullification of the work requirement of the Arkansas Works
18	Program, and the subsequent reinstatement of individuals who lost coverage
19	due to failure to meet the work requirement before March 27, 2019; and
20	(4) Submit a state plan amendment, waiver, or wavier amendment
21	as necessary to implement this section.
22	
23	SECTION 2. EMERGENCY CLAUSE. It is found and determined by the
24	General Assembly of the State of Arkansas that a federal court decision,
25	Gresham v. Azar, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51496, issued on March 27, 2019, has
26	caused disruptive challenges to the State of Arkansas by holding invalid the
27	work requirement in the Arkansas Works Program; that approximately eighteen
28	thousand (18,000) individuals have lost coverage in the Arkansas Works
29	Program due to the work requirement since June 1, 2018; that these
30	approximately eighteen thousand (18,000) individuals are part of the low-
31	income and vulnerable populations in Arkansas that the state has an interest
32	in providing healthcare coverage; that based on the federal court decision,
33	these individuals were unjustly removed from coverage in Arkansas despite
34	being part of the low-income and vulnerable populations in Arkansas; that
35	this act would reinstate the coverage in the Arkansas Works Program for these
36	individuals, and that this act is immediately necessary to ensure that these

T	approximately eighteen thousand (18,000) individuals who are part of the low-
2	income and vulnerable populations are timely reinstated with coverage in the
3	Arkansas Works Program without additional steps being taken by the
4	individuals based on the federal court decision. Therefore, an emergency is
5	declared to exist, and this act being immediately necessary for the
6	preservation of the public peace, health, and safety shall become effective
7	on:
8	(1) The date of its approval by the Governor;
9	(2) If the bill is neither approved nor vetoed by the Governor,
10	the expiration of the period of time during which the Governor may veto the
11	bill; or
12	(3) If the bill is vetoed by the Governor and the veto is
13	overridden, the date the last house overrides the veto.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	