HOUSE No. 1243

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Linda Campbell

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the passage of the accompanying bill:

An Act updating the law relating to posting a security for seized animals in cruelty cases.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:
Linda Campbell	15th Essex
Louis L. Kafka	8th Norfolk
Carlo Basile	1st Suffolk
William N. Brownsberger	Second Suffolk and Middlesex
Jason M. Lewis	31st Middlesex
Cleon H. Turner	1st Barnstable
Denise Provost	27th Middlesex
Eugene L. O'Flaherty	2nd Suffolk
William C. Galvin	6th Norfolk
Peter V. Kocot	1st Hampshire
Kathi-Anne Reinstein	16th Suffolk
Mark J. Cusack	5th Norfolk
Tom Sannicandro	7th Middlesex
Martin J. Walsh	13th Suffolk
David Paul Linsky	5th Middlesex
Lori A. Ehrlich	8th Essex
Kenneth I. Gordon	21st Middlesex
Brian R. Mannal	2nd Barnstable

Christine E. Canavan	10th Plymouth
Michael D. Brady	9th Plymouth
William Smitty Pignatelli	4th Berkshire
Katherine M. Clark	Fifth Middlesex
Kevin G. Honan	17th Suffolk
Cheryl A. Coakley-Rivera	10th Hampden
Jonathan Hecht	29th Middlesex
Carl M. Sciortino, Jr.	34th Middlesex
Denise Andrews	2nd Franklin
James M. Murphy	4th Norfolk
Timothy R. Madden	Barnstable, Dukes and Nantucket
Thomas J. Calter	12th Plymouth
John P. Fresolo	16th Worcester
James J. Dwyer	30th Middlesex
James M. Cantwell	4th Plymouth
John J. Lawn, Jr.	10th Middlesex
Paul R. Heroux	2nd Bristol
Bruce E. Tarr	First Essex and Middlesex
John W. Scibak	2nd Hampshire
Sean Garballey	23rd Middlesex
Patricia D. Jehlen	Second Middlesex
Byron Rushing	9th Suffolk
Brian M. Ashe	2nd Hampden
Kay Khan	11th Middlesex

HOUSE No. 1243

By Ms. Campbell of Methuen, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 1243) of Linda Campbell and others relative to the posting of security for seized animals in cruelty cases. The Judiciary.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the Year Two Thousand Thirteen

An Act updating the law relating to posting a security for seized animals in cruelty cases.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

- Chapter 272 of the General Laws is hereby replacing section 104 with the following 1 section:-2
- 3 Section 104. (a) As used in this section the word "Authority" shall mean an organization or authorized agent thereof that seizes or impounds an animal pursuant to the General Laws.
- 5 (b) If an animal is lawfully seized or impounded pursuant to the General Laws relating to 6 cruelty to animals or animal fighting resulting in the issuance of a criminal complaint or a criminal indictment, the authority or prosecuting agency, including the district attorney or 8 attorney general, may file a petition with the court that is exercising jurisdiction over the criminal complaint or criminal indictment requesting that the person from whom an animal is seized or a 10 person claiming an interest in the seized animal, be ordered to post a security. The authority shall 11 serve a copy of the petition on the person from whom the animal was seized, or if the person 12 cannot be found, by posting of copy at the place where the animal was taken into custody. The authority shall also serve a copy of the petition on the district attorney or the attorney general, whichever is appropriate. The court may order that person to post a security.
- 15 (c) The security shall be in an amount sufficient to secure payment for all reasonable 16 expenses incurred, and to be incurred, by the authority having custody of the seized animal from the date of seizure or impoundment and thereafter for a period of at least 30 days. The amount of 18 the security shall be determined by the court upon the recommendation of the authority. Reasonable expenses shall include, but shall not be limited to, estimated medical care, shelter,
- 20 and board.

- 21 (d) When security is posted in accordance with this section, the authority may draw from 22 the security the actual reasonable costs incurred for medical care, shelter, and board. If the 23 expenses already incurred by the seizing authority at the time of judicial decision on the petition 24 exceed the petitioned for security amount, the court may permit the security amount to be paid in 25 its entirety to the seizing authority through the court, or directly from the respondent to the 26 authority, as the court deems appropriate in the interest of justice.
 - (e) If the court orders the posting of security, the security shall be posted with the clerk within 10 business days of the court's decision on the petition. The respondent's failure to post security as determined within the appointed time shall be deemed an immediate forfeiture of the seized animal to the authority, with the full force and effect of a court order. The court may waive the security requirement or reduce the amount of the security for good cause shown.

27

28

29

30 31

- 32 (f) Posting of the security shall not prevent the authority from disposing of the seized or 33 impounded animal for humane reasons and in a humane manner before the expiration of the 34 period covered by the security.
- (g) The authority may humanely dispose of the animal at the end of the period for which expenses are covered by the security, if the court orders the disposition. If the disposition order is denied, the court may require the owner or custodian or any other person claiming interest in the animal, to provide additional security to secure payment of reasonable expenses and to extend the period of time pending adjudication by the court of the charges against the person from whom the animal was seized.
- 41 (h) The owner or custodian of an animal humanely euthanized pursuant to this section 42 shall not be entitled to recover damages or the actual value of the animal if the owner or 43 custodian failed to post security.
- (i) The court may direct a refund to the person who posted the security in whole or part for any expenses not incurred by the authority. The court shall direct a refund to the person who posted security upon acquittal of the charges.